LEX LOCALIS-JOURNAL OF LOCAL SELF-GOVERNMENT
ISSN:1581-5374 E-ISSN:1855-363X
VOL. 24, NO. S1 (2026)

South African Digital Entrepreneurship: Innovation, Market Platform And Inclusive Growth

Nguyen Hoang Tien[1], Michał Sebastian Bańka*[2]

[1]Ho Chi Minh City University of Industry and Trade, Vietnam
[2]University College of Professional Education, Poland Bogdan Nogalski
WSB Merito University Gdansk, Poland
*Corresponding author

Abstract:
The persistent emigration of skilled professionals from South Africa since the 1990s, often dubbed narrowly as a debilitating brain drain, has generated national anxiety regarding human capital flight and economic stagnation. The article examines this migration phenomenon, particularly the white South African diaspora, proposing that this globally integrated community represents a powerful, yet underutilized, resource for national economic scaling and capacity building. We introduce the concept of diaspora-powered scaling to analyze, by structured engagement, how it is possible to transform the outward migration trend into a dynamic mechanism for inward circulatory transfer of critical talent.

Keywords: Diaspora, entrepreneurship, capital, knowledge, South Africa.

Introduction

1. White South African Emigration

Crush (2000) has analyzed the escalating phenomenon of brain drain in South Africa. He quantified the significant loss of skilled professionals, including doctors, engineers, and educators, seeking better job opportunities and political stability abroad. The exodus created a development challenge due to the loss of human capital necessary for economic growth and public service. Crush emphasized the need for regional cooperation and policy shifts that address the root causes of emigration while exploring ways to prevent this loss via diaspora engagement.

Crush and McDonald (2002) examined the rise of transnationalism among new immigrants in post-apartheid South Africa. The authors argued that these transnational networks facilitate the flow of goods, capital, and ideas that benefit both host and home nations. By documenting their economic activities and social connections, the study calls for a policy shift from exclusion to engagement, recognizing transnationalism as a permanent and productive feature in South Africa.

De Jong and Steinmetz (2004) examined the drivers and consequences of the brain drain in South Africa. Authors investigated push and pull factors, such as crime, political change, and salary disparities that motivate skilled professionals to emigrate, causing detrimental impact on South Africa's economy. Authors also discussed the potential of brain gain via remittances and eventual return of skilled professionals. Addressing the root causes of emigration is vital, and so is the developing institutional frameworks to leverage diaspora for national development.

Gelderblom (2006) provided a sociological and economic exploration of the international migration to South Africa. He examined migration as complex social process shaped by networks, individual strategies, and institutional barriers. The work addressed the socio-economic impact of both skilled emigration and immigration. By analyzing the structural drivers of migration, the work highlighted the need for a policy shift to better integrate social development with mobility management across borders.

Kaplan (2006) provided an evidence-based analysis of brain drain, quantifying the outflow of skilled workers to the developed world. He argued that official statistics often understate the true emigration scale that hampers national innovation and growth. Kaplan examined specific implications for South Africa, noting that the loss of skilled professionals creates a vacuum within key industries. To mitigate these effects, author suggests a policy shift to encourage the return of talent and leverage the diaspora as a strategic asset for knowledge transfer.

Mattes and Richmond (2000) provided an in-depth survey of the attitudes and motivations regarding emigration of skilled South Africans. Authors revealed that this is driven by a complex interplay of concerns for declining safety, quality of public services, and political uncertainty. The study emphasized that the brain drain is a crisis of confidence in the country's future. Unless South Africa addresses fundamental quality-of-life and security issues, it will continue to struggle with the loss of the human capital essential for its post-apartheid transformation.

Schoombee (2009) provided methodological analysis of brain drain in South Africa. The work evaluates the migration of high-skilled human capital through the lens of endogenous growth models, arguing that the persistent loss of talent creates significant negative externalities for the national economy. Schoombee identified key push factors, from safety concerns to labor market constraints and compared them against pull incentives. The brain drain is not merely a loss of labor, but a depletion of innovation potential required for sustainable development. This work advocated for policy approach that balances domestic retention with the necessity of integrating South Africa into global knowledge-sharing networks.

Schoombee (2010) utilized a Computable General Equilibrium (CGE) model to quantify the macroeconomic impact of skilled emigration from South Africa. The study simulates various emigration scenarios to observe how the departure of highly skilled labor affects GDP, investment, and labor market. The brain drains act as a significant drag on economic growth. Furthermore, skilled emigration exacerbates domestic income inequality by increasing the scarcity, and thus the relative wages, of high-skilled workers at home. Without effective intervention to retain talent, the cumulative losses will continue to undermine South Africa's long-term development objectives.

Simelane (2007) investigated dual challenge of brain drain and the role of foreign skilled labor in post-apartheid South Africa. He argued that while the emigration of home-made talent created a critical skills gap, the restrictive immigration policies hindered the country's ability to attract and retain highly skilled foreign professionals. The study highlights how brain drain and brain gain of foreign talent are inextricably linked. Failure to facilitate the entry of foreign experts exacerbates the negative impacts of domestic emigration. South Africa must adopt a more proactive immigration framework to compensate for human capital flight, viewing foreign skilled labor as a necessary bridge to maintain economic stability and foster national development.

Stark et al. (1997) presented a theoretical model to analyze relationship between migrants' savings, remittances, and the optimal duration of migration. They argue that a migrant's decision on the duration of stay in a host country is determined by the interplay between the purchasing power of their savings and relative costs of living in the host versus home country. Migrants often maximize their utility by choosing a specific optimal duration that allows for the accumulation of savings intended for investment at home. This framework provided crucial insights into how brain circulation and capital return are influenced by disparities between nations.

Tregenna (2007) provided a comprehensive analysis of contribution of skilled immigrants and emigrants in South Africa. The study quantified the net impact of brain drain versus brain gain examining how the movement of high-level human capital affects national productivity and fiscal stability. Author highlighted that while the loss of domestic skilled labor poses significant risks, the arrival of foreign skilled workers can mitigate; current policy often fails to balance these two flows effectively. For South Africa to achieve sustainable growth, it must implement an integrated labor market strategy that incentivizes the retention of local experts while proactively recruiting international talent to fill the gaps.

Visagie (2017) conducted a quantitative analysis of skilled emigration in post-apartheid South Africa. Author examined the demographic and professional profiles of emigrants to identify which segments of the population are most likely to leave. The findings that emigration is particularly prevalent among high-earning, highly educated professionals, confirm that the brain drain remains a significant challenge for South African economy. Author also explored the socio-political factors, noting that while economic incentives are primary, governance and institutional quality significantly influence the decision to emigrate. South Africa's ability to maintain a competitive labor market depends on its capacity to offer both rewards and a stable, inclusive institutional environment for skilled professionals.

In summary, studies on South African emigration, particularly those targeting white populations, reveal the following core issues. Firstly, apartheid stripped white people of special status and certain privileges compared to the past, making them feel more advantageous when migrating to developed countries where white people constitute the majority. This has led to a phenomenon called brain drain in South Africa, viewed from various perspectives, not necessarily negative (brain gain), creating both development challenges and opportunities for the country itself through emigration to and immigration (brain circulation) from many countries around the world. Therefore, in addition to improving the institutional environment and socio-economic conditions, South Africa needs open policies, sufficiently smart and flexible legal framework to maximize its development advantages facing this context. It is necessary to leverage social resources from the diaspora and returnees scattered across the world, especially in the UK, to move towards a balanced skilled labor market and comprehensive sustainable development.

2. Diaspora Formation

Freinkman and Herrington (2002) investigated the potential of South African diaspora networks as a driver for domestic growth. The skilled diaspora represents a vast, underutilized reservoir of intellectual and financial capital to be systematically mobilized. The study identified key mechanisms for this, such as venture investment in local start-ups, knowledge transferring via professional networks, and trade promotion. The study suggests that formalizing these connections can transform brain drain into brain gain for home country. Proactive government support and institutional frameworks are essential to incentivize the diaspora to contribute to South Africa’s global competitiveness.

Fourie (2011) explored the specific role of South African diaspora in technology transfer and innovation. The diaspora serves as a critical conduit for social remittances, the flow of ideas, values, and best practices that are essential for upgrading technological capabilities at home. Diaspora members often act as bridge-builders between South African research institutions and global innovation hubs. By participating in joint research projects, mentoring local entrepreneurs, and facilitating access to international patents and venture capital, the diaspora accelerates the adoption of technologies. South Africa’s innovation policy must include mechanisms that leverage the expertise of diaspora citizens as an engine for technological leapfrogging.

Geyer (2017) explored the complex sociological terrain of white South African diaspora, focusing on politics of race, identity, and belonging. For white emigrants, the move abroad is a response to a perceived loss of political and cultural status in post-apartheid South Africa. The study highlighted that diaspora’s relationship with homeland is mediated by racialized perceptions of risk and governance, shaping their willingness to engage in the nation’s future development.

Heller (2014) tried to understand the identity politics of post-apartheid white South African migrants. They exist in a state of ideological tension, oscillating between a settler identity marked by historical roots and colonial privilege and a diaspora identity defined by displacement and the need to integrate into global societies. The decision to emigrate is often framed as a forced choice, allowing migrants to maintain a sense of victimhood despite their global mobility. The settle-diaspora divide complicates their potential role in South Africa's development, as their engagement is frequently contingent on the restoration of former socio-political influence.

Horowitz (2016) investigated how transnational networks contribute to the reproduction of whiteness among the South African diaspora in the UK. Migration does not necessarily dismantle racial hierarchies; instead, the diaspora uses transnational social and professional connections to maintain privileged identity in their host country. By congregating in specific neighborhoods and professional circles, the diaspora reinforces a sense of racial exclusivity that mirrors the racialized social landscapes at home. The transnational ties serve as a mechanism for preserving historical privilege across borders, shaping both integrations in the UK and continued, racialized orientation toward South Africa.

Kaplan and O'Brien (2004) evaluated South African Network of Skills Abroad (SANSA) as a pioneering model for diaspora knowledge networks (DKNs). The authors argued that SANSA represents a strategic shift from reversing brain drain to fostering brain circulation by connecting skilled expatriates with domestic developmental needs. The study detailed how to facilitate the transfer of intellectual capital through collaborative research, student supervision, and professional consultation without physical return home. By analyzing SANSA's structure and impact, Authors highlighted the role of DKNs in mitigating the effects of human capital flight. They conclude that such networks are essential for developing countries to tap into the expertise of global citizens, turning diaspora into a dynamic extension of the national human resource base.

McDonald (2005) provide a critical analysis of the brain drain vs. brain circulation debate in South Africa. Traditional, alarmist views of human capital flight often overlook the potential for brain gain and brain circulation through transnational networks. Regional migration policies often hinder the mobility they seek to manage, suggesting that a more nuanced understanding of migrant motivations is necessary. For South Africa and its neighbors, the goal should be to create institutional environments where skills can flow more freely across borders. By embracing the reality of global mobility, South Africa can better leverage the diverse mobile talents to address development challenges.

Ndlovu-Gatsheni (2014) examined the crisis of white identity in South Africa through the lens of global colonialism. He argues that the transition from settlers to migrants signifies a profound shift in how white South Africans perceive their status in a post-apartheid society. The emigration of white professionals is often an attempt to escape the crisis of losing historical privilege, seeking new spaces where their identity is not under scrutiny. Author also explored how this diaspora continues to be influenced by colonial patterns, even as they integrate globally. White diaspora represents a complex intersection of historical colonialism and global mobility, where the search for new identities is often a move to preserve old forms of security and power in a changing world.

Olwig and Blegvad (2014) examined the multifaceted nature of South African diaspora. They argue that the experiences of South African migrants are shaped by policy shift of their home country and their diverse backgrounds. Navigating relationship with the post-apartheid state often maintain strong transnational ties while forging new identities in host societies. By exploring the tension between national belonging and global mobility, the diaspora is not a monolithic group but a collection of communities with varied motivations for emigration and homeland engaging mode.

Terreblanche (2002) provided historical analysis of reasons of socio-economic inequality in South Africa from 1652 to 2002. Inequality is not an accidental byproduct of development, but a structural feature designed through centuries of colonial and apartheid policies to ensure white economic hegemony. Author detailed mechanisms such as land dispossession, labor exploitation, and racialized capital accumulation that consolidated wealth in the hands of a small minority. Critically, he evaluates the post-apartheid transition, suggesting that while political power shifted, the underlying economic structures remained largely intact, leading to neo-liberal compromise that failed to alleviate mass poverty. True reconciliation requires profound structural redistribution to dismantle the legacy of systemic exclusion and build an inclusive economic future.

Van Zyl (2011) explored the identity crisis of white South African emigrants as they navigate life in host countries following the end of apartheid. Many white migrants feel lost in transition, experiencing a profound sense of displacement as they struggle to reconcile their past status with their new roles in global societies. The study highlighted the psychological and social challenges of migration, where the move abroad is often driven by fears of marginalization and a loss of cultural belonging. These emigrants reconstruct their identities through nostalgia and the preservation of ethnic ties within their new communities. The ongoing identity crisis within white diaspora impacts their ability to integrate abroad and complicates their connection to South Africa, as they remain caught between a lost past and an uncertain future.

Visser and Du Plessis (2010) analyzed the socio-economic and cultural experiences of the white South African diaspora in Australia with shared colonial histories, climate, and perceived safety. The study explored the degree to which these migrants maintain transnational ties to South Africa, finding that while they often integrate successfully into the Australian labor market, they remain emotionally and culturally anchored to their origins. The study highlighted the paradox of being at home in a new country while mourning a lost homeland. The white South African diaspora in Australia is a highly skilled but culturally distinct group whose identity is continuously reshaped by the interplay of Australian assimilation and South African nostalgia.

In short summary, the South African diaspora is not a monolithic group but a collection of communities with varied motivations for emigration and a homeland-engaging mode. The diaspora represents the financial and intellectual resources overseas that need to be mobilized for the cause of national development via startup investment, technology transfer, innovation, professional mentoring networks, trade and investment promotion. With government support and a new institutional framework promoting multilateral mobility, the situation can be transformed from brain drain into brain gain, benefiting the country. For example, the South African Network of Skills Abroad (SANSA) and diaspora knowledge networks (DKNs) reverse brain drains into brain gain via fostering brain circulation, connecting skilled expatriates with domestic developmental needs. However, on the other side, the diaspora could use transnational social and professional connections to maintain privileged identity in their host country, reinforcing a sense of racial exclusivity as it existed before apartheid.

3. South Africa's Diaspora Scaling due to Talent, Capital and Knowledge

Bhorat and Rooney (2018) have explored the entrepreneurial potential of South Africa's diaspora networks. High-skilled emigrants represent a great opportunity for national development, acting as active conduits for venture capital, mentorship, and global market access. Engagement with them can foster brain gain by connecting local start-ups with international expertise. South Africa should prioritize institutional bridges to lower barriers for diaspora investment. Leveraging these transnational ties is essential for scaling domestic businesses and enhancing competitiveness globally.

Black and Skeldon (2009) argued that migration and traditional brain drain are an intrinsic part of development process. They highlight positive economic and social impacts of diasporas, focusing on how transnational social networks facilitate the flow of remittances, knowledge, and investment back home. Authors advocate brain circulation, where mobility creates global linkages that reduce poverty and stimulate growth. Development policies should remove restrictive migration control and focus on leveraging diaspora ties maximizing their development potential.

Cattaneo and Schuknecht (2010) analyzed the critical role of diaspora in economic development at home. Emigrants are uniquely positioned to invest due to less information asymmetries that deter foreign investors. Diverse investment channels include diaspora bonds, direct investment in SMEs, and transfer of venture capital. While remittances provide immediate support, diaspora investment fosters long-term growth via technology transfer and institutional change. Governments must create stable environments and instruments to effectively mobilize the diaspora's capital for national development.

Hein de Haas (2006) evaluated South Africa's migration policies in the context of the brain drain. Post-apartheid immigration laws have been overly restrictive, inadvertently hindering the ability to attract the skilled labor to offset emigration. The tide of human capital flight cannot be turned by restrictive measures. Underlying socio-economic drivers must be addressed to adopt an open approach to welcome skilled immigration. Policy shift with proactive management of human mobility is required to view both emigration and immigration as opportunities for development, posing no threat to national stability.

Docquier and Rapoport (2007) analyzed the effects of skilled migration on the aggregate productivity of developing nations. They introduced the concept of beneficial brain drain. The authors argue that the prospect of emigration can incentivize human capital formation at home by individual investment in education to access global markets. While excessive emigration is harmful, a moderate and optimized level of skilled migration can lead to net brain gain.

Gibson (2012) explored how South African diaspora professionals bridge the gap to share knowledge with their home country. The research investigated the motivations and mechanisms that allow expatriates to transfer technical expertise and best practices back to South Africa. Digital platforms and professional networks are essential for facilitating this with virtual collaboration to mitigate the loss of human capital. Diaspora professionals act as vital conduits for innovation, helping domestic firms stay competitive. Formalizing these knowledge-sharing pathways is key to national growth of South Africa.

Hiebert (2007) examined economic contribution of South African immigrants to Canada. High-skilled South African migrants utilize their financial assets and entrepreneurial experience to stimulate growth in their host country while maintaining investment links at home. Immigrants often possess significant capital which facilitates their rapid integration into Canadian economy. The movement of this capital is a complex transnational process where the return of investment can benefit both nations through enhanced trade linkages and business networks. Understanding capital flows is essential for creating migration policies recognizing the importance of highly mobile, wealthy diaspora groups.

Lowell and Findlay (2002) presented a comprehensive policy for managing skilled migration from developing countries, known as the six R's: Retention, Return, Recruitment, Remittances, Rights, and Research. Traditional brain drain perspectives are insufficient, as migration impacts are multifaceted. Countries can mitigate losses through Retention and Return policies while maximizing gains through Remittances and Recruitment. Protecting the Rights of migrants and investing in Research are vital for informed decision-making. A balanced, multi-pronged approach is necessary to ensure that global movement of high-skilled workers contributes to sustainable development.

Lucas (2004) investigated the nexus between migration and economic development, using South Africa as a primary case study. The migration of both low-skilled and high-skilled labor affects labor markets and national productivity. While emigration can lead to short-term labor shortages, it also facilitates capital inflows and enhances trade relations. Author concluded that for migration to drive development, South Africa must align its immigration policies with its broader economic goals, ensuring that labor mobility supports regional integration and industrial growth.

Given entrepreneurial potential of South Africa’s diaspora networks, they could easily act as active conduits for venture capital, innovation and mentorship, and global market access to scale up domestic firms. Additionally, they connect local start-ups with expertise and best practices. Moreover, they use transnational social networks to facilitate the flow of remittances, knowledge, and investment back home. Finally, they are prone to invest in homeland due to less information asymmetries than foreign investors, fostering long-term economic growth via technology transfer and institutional change. As a result, policy shift with proactive mobility management is required to view them as opportunities for national economic development.

4. Policy Recommendation and Conclusion

Recommendation

Breytenbach (2017) examined the specific role of South African expatriate community in facilitating reverse FDI and venture capital, specifically targeting high-growth sectors. Social remittances of expertise are often more stable than traditional FDI because they are driven by long-term commitment and local insight. Diaspora networks lower the risk perception for international investors, effectively acting as a bridge for global venture capital. South Africa should formalize these investment channels to better leverage financial power of its diaspora for scaling domestic industries.

Cloete (2020) provided a critical analysis of the diaspora engagement in South Africa. While government acknowledges the potential of its global citizens, existing policy frameworks are often fragmented and lack institutional coherence. It is due to the tension between political rhetoric and the practical implementation of diaspora strategies. Secondly, brain drain is frequently viewed through a security or loss-based lens rather than a developmental one. To truly harness the diaspora's potential, South Africa must align diaspora engagement with national development, emphasizing the need for political will and regulatory incentives to foster lasting transnational cooperation.

Goudge et al. (2003) investigate the profound impact of the brain drain on South Africa's public health sector. Utilizing qualitative interviews and secondary data, authors document how the emigration of doctors and nurses has led to severe staffing shortages, increased workloads, and a decline in the quality of patient care. The study highlights the high fiscal cost of training health professionals who then seek better working conditions and higher salaries in developed countries. Authors argue that this exodus undermines the equity goals of the post-apartheid health transition. The research concludes that purely restrictive policies are ineffective. Instead, South Africa must address the systemic push factors, such as poor infrastructure and safety concerns while collaborating to support health system strengthening.

Govender (2014) critically evaluated South Africa’s legislative attempts to manage the brain drain with Critical Skills Visa. Author argued that while the visa aims to attract international talent to fill domestic gaps, its implementation is bureaucratic and exclusionary. He suggested that a purely skills-based approach fails to address underlying socio-economic reasons for emigration and the complexities of brain circulation. South Africa needs a more holistic policy framework that balances national economic interests, advocating for a streamlined immigration system that integrates into broader developmental state agenda.

Jowell (2001) examined the impact of the brain drain within South African IT sector. The primary motivations for emigration are not solely economic but are heavily influenced by concerns over crime, political stability, and the long-term education prospects for children. The critical shortage of IT skills resulting from this exodus hampers the country's ability to compete in the global digital economy, stifling innovation and infrastructure development. Retention strategies must go beyond salary increases and address the broader set of factors that drive professionals to seek opportunities internationally.

Kriger and Breytenbach (2013) investigated the phenomenon of reverse migration and its impact on the South African economy. Authors explored the motivations of skilled expatriates who choose to return home. Returnees bring back significant human capital, including global networks, advanced expertise, and international experience. These individuals act as catalysts for innovation and institutional change. South Africa should develop reintegration policies that lower the barriers for returning professionals, effectively turning brain drain into a sustainable brain gain.

Pires (2016) investigated how diaspora networks function as sources of knowledge for innovation within South African start-ups. The study examined the role of transnational linkages in providing local entrepreneurs with access to global market, expertise, and business intelligence that is unavailable domestically. These networks facilitate a knowledge bridge, allowing start-ups to bypass developmental constraints and scale more rapidly. Successful entrepreneurs frequently leverage diaspora ties to secure international partnerships and pilot their innovations in foreign markets. Diaspora-driven knowledge transfer is a critical driver of competitiveness in South Africa and policies should actively support them.

Rogerson (2015) re-evaluated South African brain drain by focusing on its impact within the creative economy. While the emigration of creative talent is often viewed as a net loss, it also creates opportunities for brain circulation and the expansion of South Africa’s cultural footprint. The creative professionals maintain transnational ties that facilitate the export of cultural goods and the inflow of global aesthetic trends. Creative sector requires specific policy that recognizes talent mobility as a catalyst for innovation rather than just a depletion of resources. By reframing migration, South Africa can better leverage its creative diaspora to enhance its development and global competitiveness.

South African diaspora (expatriate community) has been facilitating reverse FDI and venture capital, specifically in high-growth sectors. Social remittances, including expertise and networks, are often more stable than traditional FDI because expatriates when returning, they are driven by long-term commitment and local insight of home market. As a policy recommendation, South Africa must align diaspora engagement with national socio-economic development, expressing political will and implementing open, flexible policy and pull factors based regulatory incentives, recognizing talent mobility as a catalyst for innovation to foster lasting transnational cooperation between the host counties and homeland.

Conclusion

Apartheid has stripped white South African people of their economic hegemony and some of their previous privileges, forcing them to migrate to other developed countries in search of better living conditions. This has led to a brain drain phenomenon here in South Africa, which is not necessarily viewed negatively, but rather as creating enormous opportunities for the country's development (brain gain). Diaspora (expatriate community) has been the most important source of financial and intellectual resources overseas that needs to be mobilized to develop home country through startup investments, technology transfer, innovation, career counseling networks, trade promotion, and investment. With government support and new institutional framework supporting and promoting mobility, the brain drain situation can be transformed into a beneficial brain gain for the country.

References:

1. Bhorat, H., & Rooney, C. (2018). The Potential of Diaspora Networks for Entrepreneurial Development in South Africa. WIDER Working Paper.
2. Black, R., & Skeldon, R. (2009). Diasporas and Development: The Economic and Social Impact of Migration. Routledge.
3. Breytenbach, W. (2017). The Role of South African Expats in Reverse FDI and Venture Capital Funding. African Journal of Economic Policy, 24(1), 15-30.
4. Cloete, D. (2020). The Political Economy of Diaspora Engagement: A Critical Analysis of South Africa's Policy Frameworks. Politikon: South African Journal of Political Studies, 47(3), 321-338.
5. Crush, J. (2000). The Brain Drain and Southern Africa. IDRC Reports.
6. Crush, J., & McDonald, D. A. (2002). Transnationalism and New African Immigration to South Africa. SAMP Migration Policy Series No. 24.
7. Cattaneo, O., & Schuknecht, L. (2010). Diaspora Investment and Economic Development. World Bank Report.
8. De Haas, H. (2006). Turning the Tide? South Africa's Immigration Policy and the Brain Drain. African Studies Review, 49(2), 27-46.
9. Docquier, F., & Rapoport, H. (2007). Skilled Migration and Aggregate Productivity: An Empirical Analysis of Brain Gain and Brain Drain. Journal of Development Economics, 84(2), 522-543.
10. De Jong, C. H., & Steinmetz, L. R. (2004). An Analysis of the Brain Drain: A South African Perspective. South African Journal of Economics, 72(5), 1017-1044.
11. Freinkman, L., & Herrington, M. (2002). Networking the South African Diaspora for Economic Growth. World Bank/UCT Graduate School of Business Initiative Report.
12. Fourie, F. (2011). The Role of the South African Diaspora in Technology Transfer and Innovation. SA Journal of Science and Technology, 30(2), 1-8.
13. Gelderblom, D. (2006). A Place Called Home: The Social and Economic Dynamics of International Migration to South Africa. Routledge.
14. Geyer, M. J. (2017). White Skin, Black Country: The Politics of Race and Identity in South Africa's Diaspora. PhD Dissertation, University of Amsterdam.
15. Gibson, M. M. (2012). Bridging the Distance: The Role of South African Diaspora Professionals in Knowledge Sharing. International Journal of Knowledge Management, 8(4), 18-36.
16. Goudge, J., et al. (2003). The Impact of the Brain Drain on South Africa's Health Sector. Social Science & Medicine, 57(1), 107-118.
17. Govender, R. (2014). Policy Responses to the Brain Drain in South Africa: A Focus on the Critical Skills Visa. African Human Rights Law Journal, 14(2), 527-548.
18. Hiebert, D. (2007). The Contribution of Immigrants to Economic Growth in Canada: The Return of South African Capital. Journal of International Migration and Integration, 8(4), 369-387.

19. Heller, J. (2014). The Settler-Diaspora Divide: Understanding the Post-Apartheid White South African Migrant. Ethnic and Racial Studies, 37(14), 2530-2545.
20. Horowitz, J. (2016). Transnational Networks and the Reproduction of Whiteness: The South African Diaspora in the UK. Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies, 42(5), 793-808.
21. Jowell, F. D. (2001). The Brain Drain and South African Information Technology. South African Computer Journal, 26, 7-14.
22. Kriger, R., & Breytenbach, W. (2013). Reverse Migration: The Impact of Returning Skilled South Africans. Journal of Contemporary African Studies, 31(1), 94-110.
23. Kaplan, D. (2006). South Africa's Brain Drain: Evidence and Implications. South African Journal of Science, 102(9/10), 478-482.
24. Kaplan, D., & O'Brien, P. (2004). The South African Network of Skills Abroad (SANSA). UNESCO-TWAS Report on Diaspora Knowledge Networks.
25. Lowell, B. L., & Findlay, A. (2002). Migration of Highly Skilled Workers from Developing Countries: The Six R's (Retention, Return, Recruitment, Remittances, Rights, Research). ILO Synthesis Report.
26. Lucas, R. E. (2004). International Migration and Economic Development: Lessons from South Africa. Brookings Trade Forum.
27. McDonald, D. A. (2005). Brain Drain, Brain Gain, or Brain Circulation: Implications for Southern Africa. Africa Today, 51(3), 3-14.
28. Mattes, R., & Richmond, W. (2000). The Brain Drain: What do Skilled South Africans Think? Migration Policy Series No. 19. Southern African Migration Project (SAMP).
29. Ndlovu-Gatsheni, S. J. (2014). Global Coloniality and the Crisis of (White) Identity in South Africa: From Settlers to Migrants. African Studies, 73(3), 398-412.
30. Olwig, K., & Blegvad, S. (2014). The Nation, the Diaspora and the Transnational: Perspectives on the South African Diaspora. In A Companion to Diaspora and Transnationalism. Wiley-Blackwell.
31. Pires, J. R. (2016). Diaspora Networks as Sources of Knowledge for Innovation in South African Start-ups. Industry and Higher Education, 30(5), 323-332.
32. Rogerson, C. M. (2015). Reframing the South African 'Brain Drain': Skilled Labour Migration and the Creative Economy. Urban Forum, 26(4), 341-356.
33. Schoombee, J. (2009). The Brain Drain: A Theoretical and Empirical Perspective. Master's Thesis, University of Pretoria.
34. Schoombee, J. (2010). The Macroeconomic Impact of Skilled Emigration from South Africa: A CGE Analysis. Economic Research Southern Africa Working Paper 166.
35. Simelane, T. S. (2007). The Brain Drain and Foreign Skilled Labour in South Africa. Africa Development, 32(3), 115-132.
36. Stark, O., Helmenstein, C., & Yegorov, V. (1997). Migrants' Savings, Remittances, and the Optimal Duration of Migration. Journal of Development Economics, 55(1), 161-182.
37. Terreblanche, S. (2002). A History of Inequality in South Africa, 1652-2002. University of Natal Press.
38. Tregenna, F. (2007). The Economic Contribution of Skilled Immigrants and Emigrants in South Africa. Development Southern Africa, 241-260.
39. Van Zyl, J. A. (2011). Lost in Transition: The Identity Crisis of White South African Emigrants. African Identities, 9(1), 37-51.
40. Visagie, J. (2017). Skilled Emigration in Post-Apartheid South Africa: A Quantitative Analysis. African Journal of Economic and Management Studies, 8(1), 84-102.
41. Visser, M., & Du Plessis, S. (2010). A Home Away from Home: The White South African Diaspora in Australia. South African Journal of International Affairs, 17(3), 351-366.