

## THE FAMILY AS MEDIATOR: A THEORETICAL MODEL FOR ENHANCING RESILIENCE IN SCHOOL-AGE CHILDREN WITH LEARNING DISABILITIES AGAINST CULTURAL ALIENATION IN LIQUID MODERNITY

Khalil ELAGUAB <sup>1</sup>, Madjda OMRANE <sup>2</sup>, Hadda OMRANE <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Blida 2 (Algeria)

<sup>2</sup>University of djelfa (Algeria)

<sup>3</sup>University of Algeria 2 (Algeria)

ek.elaguab@univ-blida2.com<sup>1</sup>

madjda.omrane@djelfa-univ.dz<sup>2</sup>

omranchayat15@gmail.com<sup>3</sup>

Received: 23/06/2025 ; Accepted: 14/11/2025

### Abstract

While study on resilience in individuals with learning disabilities and the characteristics of liquid modernity has expanded, their interaction—specifically, how families can mediate this dynamic to mitigate cultural alienation—remains a significant theoretical gap. This paper contributes to the literature by proposing an integrated theoretical model that positions the family as an active agent in fostering psycho-social resilience. It argues that through deliberate mediation, families can equip School-age children with learning disabilities to navigate and resist the alienating currents of liquid societies, as conceptualized by Bauman. Employing a critical analytical review methodology, the study synthesizes literature from developmental psychology, special education, and sociology. The core finding is that a shift from a passive caregiving role to one of strategic "resilience engineering" and "cultural mediation" enables the family to construct a vital buffer against identity fragmentation and belongingness deficits. The proposed tripartite model outlines mechanisms for building a positive identity, developing internal coping competencies, and enacting strategic advocacy within external systems. This paper concludes by underscoring the model's implications for family-centered interventions and policy, and calls for empirical study to test its propositions in diverse socio-cultural contexts.

**Keywords:** Psychological Resilience, Learning Disabilities, School-age children, Cultural Alienation, Liquid Modernity, Family Mediation, Ecological Systems, Theoretical Model.

### 1. Introduction:

#### Conceptual Framework and Problem Statement

The contours of contemporary society are shaped by profound and fundamental transformations, with one of its most prominent analytical frameworks being the concept of "liquid modernity" by sociologist **Zygmunt Bauman (2000)**. In this context, traditional, stable social structures dissolve, replaced by fleeting relationships, mutable values, and fluid identities that form and change at an unprecedented pace. This flowing, fluid context produces what can be described as **"cultural alienation"**—a state of self-estrangement and a sense of rupture from normative frameworks, shared values, and a coherent social fabric, causing the individual to lose their sense of belonging and clear position within the world **(Kalekin-Fishman & Langman, 2015)**. Society transforms from an incubator of identity into a space that imposes on individuals the burden of constructing and shaping their own identities, in an individual journey fraught with uncertainty and constant doubt.

School-age children with learning disabilities (LD) face compounded challenges in confronting this landscape. They struggle not only with the intrinsic cognitive and processing difficulties that affect their academic trajectory and social performance, but also bear the secondary, and often more damaging, burden: social stigma, repeated experiences of failure in traditional educational environments, and a

persistent feeling of inadequacy. These factors collectively lead to a specific form of alienation, extending beyond alienation from the general culture to encompass **alienation from the self**, where the pupil gradually loses their sense of personal agency and self-worth **(Mughrabi, 2023)**. In the face of these converging storms—internal ones related to the disability and external ones related to the nature of the era—**psycho-social resilience** emerges as a critical developmental necessity. This resilience is defined as the capacity for positive adaptation and the maintenance of functional developmental trajectories despite significant adversity and challenges **(Masten, 2014)**. It is not a fixed personal trait, but rather a dynamic process and an outcome of the individual's interaction with the resources in their surrounding environment.

Hence, the central problem of this theoretical paper arises, which can be formulated through two interrelated questions: First, how does the condition of liquid modernity exacerbate the risk of exposure to cultural and self-alienation in **School-age children with learning disabilities**, and how does the fluidity of the external world interact with their internal fragility resulting from repeated negative experiences? Second, and more crucially: how can the **family system** be reconceptualized and mobilized as a primary and active mediator to enhance resilience and build a first line of defense against the fierce winds of alienation?

This paper adopts a central hypothesis that the family, when consciously shifts from a traditional, reactive caregiving role to adopting new strategic roles as "resilience engineer" and "cultural interpreter," transforms from a passive supportive unit into an **active mediating agent**. This agent can create a vital protective buffer against the fragmenting forces that liquid modernity exerts on identity and the sense of belonging. Through this deliberate mediation, the family becomes capable of equipping the pupil with tools for interpretation, guidance, and resistance, to help them navigate the currents of liquid society without being swept away from the shore of their own self and values. This paper seeks, through a theoretical synthesis of developmental psychology, special education, and sociology, to propose a model that outlines the mechanisms of this family mediation, thereby offering a theoretical contribution that attempts to fill a gap in the literature concerning the intersection of these important fields of knowledge.

## 2. Methodology: A Critical Analytical Synthesis

This study is grounded in a Critical Analytical Literature Review methodology, as conceptualized by Snyder (2019). This approach transcends a mere descriptive summary of existing literature. It is a rigorous, systematic process of interrogation and synthesis, designed specifically to integrate knowledge across disparate but relevant disciplines for the purpose of constructing a novel, coherent theoretical framework. Given the paper's objective—to bridge developmental psychology, special education, and sociology—this methodology is uniquely suited to deconstruct and reassemble concepts at their intersection. The process was executed in two interconnected, iterative phases to ensure both breadth and depth of analysis, as outlined in Table 1.

**Table 1: Methodological Framework and Analytical Phases**

Phase	Objective	Procedures	Outputs
<b>Phase 1: Retrieval &amp; Selection</b>	To survey foundational and contemporary literature within the targeted domains.	1. Targeted search across three domains: Resilience theory, Special Education/LD, Sociology of late modernity. 2. Application of criteria prioritizing seminal works	<b>A multidisciplinary bibliographic corpus.</b>

		and post-2010 empirical studies. 3. Selection based on direct relevance to the interdisciplinary intersection.	
<b>Phase 2: Thematic Analysis &amp; Synthesis</b>	To deconstruct core concepts, deduce interdisciplinary connections, and construct the theoretical model.	1. Deconstructing Core Constructs: Critical analysis of definitions and debates around resilience, alienation, liquidity. 2. Identifying Cross-Disciplinary Connections: Exploring how sociological concepts (e.g., liquidity) illuminate challenges in psychology and education. 3. Model Building: Synthesizing insights through abductive reasoning to develop the integrated model.	<b>The Tripartite Family Mediation Model with testable propositions.</b>

**2.1. Phase One: Strategic Literature Retrieval and Deliberate Selection**

A targeted, multi-pronged search strategy was employed to capture the foundational and contemporary scholarship within three core, intersecting domains:

**Resilience and Developmental Psychopathology:** The search focused on ecological and systemic models (e.g., Ungar, 2011; Masten, 2014) that frame resilience as a process embedded in social context, moving beyond individual trait-based explanations. This provided the foundational *\*why\** of family influence.

\* **Special Education and Learning Disabilities:** Emphasis was placed on literature addressing the socio-emotional and psychosocial sequelae of LD (e.g., Mughrabi, 2023), and crucially, on studies adopting a family-system perspective (e.g., Al-Hroub, 2020). This domain furnished the specific *\*who\** and the contextual challenges.

\* **Sociology of Late Modernity:** The search centered on theories of liquid modernity (Bauman, 2000, 2007) and contemporary analyses of alienation and identity (e.g., Kalekin-Fishman & Langman, 2015). This lens provided the macro-level *\*context\**, describing the societal forces shaping the environment in which families and School-age children operate.

Selection criteria were applied to ensure analytical rigor. Seminal theoretical works that defined the core constructs (e.g., Bauman on liquidity, Vygotsky on mediation) were prioritized. Furthermore, recent empirical studies (primarily post-2010) were sought to ground the theoretical propositions in contemporary evidence. The key filter was ***\*\*conceptual relevance to the intersection\*\****: sources were included only if they directly informed the relationship between LD, resilience processes, family dynamics, or the socio-cultural conditions of late modernity.

## 2.2. Phase Two: Thematic Analysis and Inductive-Deductive Synthesis

The collected corpus was subjected to a thematic critical analysis, a process involving both inductive and deductive reasoning. This was not a passive review but an active engagement with the texts, involving:

\* **Deconstructing Core Constructs:** Key terms—resilience, alienation, liquidity, mediation—were analyzed across disciplines. We examined their definitions, operationalizations, inherent tensions, and the scholarly debates surrounding them. For instance, how does psychological resilience (Masten) interact with the sociological condition of alienation?

\* **Identifying Cross-Disciplinary Connections and Tensions:** This stage involved exploring conceptual bridges. For example: How does Bauman's notion of "liquid identity" illuminate the specific identity struggles faced by School-age children with LD, as described in special education literature? Conversely, how do family-systems perspectives in psychology challenge or refine the often impersonal macro-sociological narrative of liquidity?

\* **Model Building through Abductive Reasoning:** The synthesis moved beyond connection to construction. Insights from the three domains were integrated not merely additively, but dialectically. The proposed **Tripartite Family Mediation Model** emerged abductively—inferring the best possible explanation (the model) from the observed set of interdisciplinary facts and theories. This methodology ensures the model is not speculative but is theoretically grounded in a robust synthesis of existing knowledge, while its explicit propositions remain empirically testable in future study.

## 3. Theoretical Foundations: An Intersectional Lens

The proposed model does not rest on a single theoretical pillar but on the **convergence and dialogue** of three foundational perspectives. This intersectional lens is crucial for capturing the multi-layered reality of the pupil's experience.

\* **The Social-Ecological Model of Resilience (Ungar, 2011):** This framework is the bedrock of the model's **why**. It decisively shifts the locus of resilience from an internal, trait-like "grit" to a process of mutually adaptive transactions between the individual and their environment. It posits that resilience is nurtured when the environment provides the necessary psychological, social, and cultural resources. Crucially, it identifies the family as the most proximal and powerful "niche"—the primary ecological system responsible for provisioning these resources (relationships, security, opportunities for mastery) that enable positive adaptation amidst adversity.

\* **Vygotskian Socio-Cultural Theory (Vygotsky, 1978):** This theory provides the **how** of the family's action. It posits that cognitive and psychological development occurs first on the social plane (between people) before being internalized. The family, as the community of "more knowledgeable others," is thus cast in the essential role of the mediator. They scaffold the child's understanding by interpreting the world, breaking down tasks, and providing "tools for thought." In the context of this model, the family mediates not just academic concepts but, more critically, the **interpretation of social experiences, the management of emotion, and the construction of a viable self-narrative** within the child's Zone of Proximal Development.

\* **Bauman's Liquid Modernity (Bauman, 2000, 2007):** This perspective provides the indispensable context. It diagnoses the macro-societal condition: a world where social bonds are fragile and provisional, commitments are hesitant, and the project of identity is privatized, perpetual, and burdened with uncertainty. This liquidity erodes traditional, stable support systems (like extended kin or lifelong community) and amplifies the existential task of constructing a coherent self. For a pupil with LD, this context magnifies risks of marginalization. Thus, Bauman's lens frames the urgency and necessity of the family's mediating role, positioning it as a crucial counter-structure to societal fragmentation.

**Table 2: Convergence of Theoretical Foundations for the Proposed Model**

<b>Theoretical Framework</b>	<b>Explanatory Dimension</b>	<b>Role Assigned to the Family</b>	<b>Contribution to the Model</b>
<b>Social-Ecological Model of Resilience (Ungar, 2011)</b>	The Supportive Context: Resilience as an outcome of person-environment transactions.	The Most Powerful Niche: The primary provider of essential resources (relationships, security, opportunities for mastery).	Provides the "why" – positions the family as the primary enabler and facilitator of resilience.
<b>Vygotskian Socio-Cultural Theory (Vygotsky, 1978)</b>	The Mechanism of Development: Higher-order psychological functions develop through social mediation.	The Essential Mediator: Scaffolds understanding, interprets the world, and provides "tools for thought" within the Zone of Proximal Development.	Provides the "how" – defines the family's active role as a cultural and psychological interpreter/coach.
<b>Bauman's Liquid Modernity (Bauman, 2000)</b>	The Macro-Societal Context: A world of fragile bonds, tentative commitments, and a perpetually unfinished identity project.	The Imperative for Action: The family as a crucial counter-structure to societal fragmentation and a source of stability.	Provides the "context and urgency" – frames the external pressures that make family mediation vitally necessary.

#### 4. The Tripartite Family Mediation Model

To effectively counteract the centrifugal forces of external liquidity, the family must intentionally engineer an internal environment characterized by structured support, coherent meaning, and adaptive preparation. The proposed model outlines three concurrent, dynamically interrelated mechanisms through which this mediation occurs. These mechanisms operate not in isolation but in continuous feedback, constituting a holistic mediation system.

##### 4.1. Mediating Identity: Constructing a Coherent, Empowered Self-Narrative

This mechanism is fundamentally prophylactic, aimed at immunizing the pupil's developing self-concept against the dual pathogens of external stigmatization and the internalized, fragmenting pressures of liquid identity. Its specific strategies are detailed in Table 3.

**Table 3: Identity Mediation Mechanisms**

<b>Mechanism</b>	<b>Practical Application</b>	<b>Psycho-Social Function</b>	<b>Expected Outcomes</b>
<b>Curating an Empowering Family Narrative</b>	<b>Consciously redefining success to value effort, perseverance, and personal growth over narrow</b>	<b>Building a "culture of calibrated achievement"; reinforcing an internal locus of</b>	<b>An identity anchored in personal effort and trajectory, resistant to external, comparative judgments.</b>

	<b>academic metrics. Celebrating incremental progress.</b>	<b>control and intrinsic self-worth.</b>	
<b>Providing Symbolic Anchors through Role Models</b>	<b>Introducing narratives of individuals with LD who have forged successful and meaningful paths.</b>	<b>Providing "identity anchors" – tangible proof of possibility and a sense of belonging to a broader resilient community.</b>	<b>Reduces isolation, expands future possible selves, and combats the notion of being "the only one."</b>

\* **Curating an Empowering Family Narrative:** This involves a conscious, collective reframing of value within the family unit. Success is deliberately redefined to prioritize **effort, strategic perseverance, personal growth, and the mastery of self-regulation** over narrow, institutionally imposed academic metrics. Ritualizing the celebration of incremental progress—what we term fostering a "culture of calibrated achievement"—shifts the focus from comparison to personal trajectory, building an internal locus of control and self-worth.

\* **Providing Symbolic Anchors through Role Models:** Narratives and examples of individuals with LD who have navigated similar challenges and forged meaningful paths serve as powerful **"identity anchors."** These anchors are not about unrealistic heroism but about providing tangible proof of possibility, diverse life scripts, and a sense of belonging to a broader **resilient community**. They combat the isolation of "being the only one" and offer alternative templates for a successful identity.

#### 4.2. Mediating Competence: Operating an Internal Coping Skills Laboratory

The home is strategically leveraged as a **safe, simulated environment**—a laboratory—for developing and rehearsing the specific competencies required for resilience in a challenging world. The targeted competency domains and family strategies are systematized in Table 4.

**Table 4: Competence Mediation Mechanisms**

<b>Competency Domain</b>	<b>Family Mediation Strategies</b>	<b>Tools / Practices</b>	<b>Developmental Goal</b>
<b>Executive Function &amp; Self-Regulation.</b>	Structured scaffolding and external support	Visual organizers, predictable routine frameworks, checklists (Dawson & Guare, 2018).	To build foundational resilience skills by transforming chaos into manageable order.
<b>Social-Cognitive Rehearsal</b>	Guided practice and anticipatory discussion of social challenges	Role-play, analysis of social scenarios (e.g., misunderstanding, exclusion).	To build "provisional social capital" – internalized scripts and confidence for real-world social agency.
<b>Critical Cultural Literacy</b>	Facilitating dialogue about the conflicting values of liquid modernity.	Discussing dichotomies: consumption vs. connection,	To develop a critical interpreter of the cultural milieu, capable of discerning

		performative online identity vs. private self.	pressures and making conscious choices.
--	--	--	---

\* **Scaffolding Executive Function and Self-Regulation:** Recognizing that deficits in executive functions (working memory, cognitive flexibility, inhibitory control) are central to many LDs, families implement structured, external supports (e.g., visual organizers, predictable routine frameworks, checklists). This scaffolding, informed by work like that of Dawson and Guare (2018), does not do the work for the pupil but systematically builds these **foundational resilience skills**, transforming chaos into manageable order.

\* **Facilitating Social-Cognitive Rehearsal:** Through guided role-play, discussion, and analysis of social scenarios, families help the pupil **anticipate, decode, and practice responses** to common social challenges (misunderstanding, teasing, exclusion). This builds **provisional social capital**—internalized scripts and confidence—that can be deployed in real-world settings, reducing anxiety and increasing social agency.

\* **Fostering Critical Cultural Literacy:** Moving beyond protection, this involves engaging the pupil in age-appropriate dialogue about the conflicting values and logics of liquid modernity (e.g., hyper-consumption versus authentic connection; performative online identity versus private self). This empowers the pupil to become a **critical interpreter** of their cultural milieu, capable of discerning its pressures and making conscious choices, rather than remaining a passive, overwhelmed recipient of its messages.

#### 4.3. Mediating Systems: Conducting Strategic Advocacy and Bridge-Building

Here, the family acts as a boundary-spanner and strategic manager of the interface between the pupil and external, often rigid, institutional systems (school, community). often rigid, institutional systems. Its dual focus is outlined in Table 5.

**Table 5: Systems Mediation Mechanisms**

Systems Domain	Transformed Family Role	Mediation Practices	Desired Outcome
<b>School System</b>	From passive client to expert partner and advocate	Collaborative shaping of meaningful IEPs; advocating for inclusive practices based on the pupil's holistic needs.	"Competent advocacy" (Al-Hroub, 2020) that ensures institutional support is tailored to foster resilience, not just compliance.
<b>Community System</b>	From random recipient of connections to intentional curator of affiliations.	Deliberately seeking and fostering connections with communities that value neurodiversity (e.g., arts groups, specialized clubs, faith organizations).	To provide "sanctuaries of affirmation" – alternative social ecosystems where the pupil is valued unconditionally, outside the competitive academic arena.

\* **Engaging in Informed, Proactive School Partnership:** This moves far beyond passive attendance at meetings. It entails the family positioning itself as the **expert on their unique pupil**, entering into

collaborative partnership with educators to co-construct meaningful Individualized Education Programs (IEPs). This process of "competent advocacy" (Al-Hroub, 2020)\*\* ensures that institutional accommodations are not merely procedural but are genuinely tailored to foster resilience and learning.

\* **Curating Selective Community Affiliations:** Acknowledging the volatility and potential cruelty of broad, liquid sociality, families actively and deliberately \*\*scan for and cultivate connections\*\* with smaller, value-based communities. These might include arts groups, specialized clubs (e.g., robotics, gaming), or faith organizations that explicitly value neurodiversity and intrinsic contribution. These spaces function as essential \*\*"sanctuaries of affirmation"\*\*\*—alternative social ecosystems outside the often judgmental academic arena where the pupil can experience unconditional acceptance and build social capital on their own terms.

### **5. Challenges and Systemic Implications**

The family's mediating work occurs within the very liquid system it seeks to counteract, creating inherent tensions: time poverty, eroded extended family networks, and competing cultural messages. Therefore, supporting this model requires systemic action:

**1. Development of Meta-Cognitive Family Support Programs:** Interventions must equip parents not just with LD-specific strategies, but with frameworks for "navigating liquidity" and managing their own resilience as mediators.

**2. Transformation of Educational Ecosystems:** Schools must evolve into "resilience-responsive" institutions that partner authentically with families, recognize neurodiversity, and integrate resilience-building into pedagogy and climate.

**3. Creation of Curated Digital-Physical Hybrid Communities:** There is a need for designed platforms and programs that facilitate meaningful, sustained connections for youth with LD, offering "structured liquidity"—online and offline spaces that balance flexibility with relational security.

### **6. Conclusion and Trajectories for Future Inquiry**

This paper argues that mitigating cultural alienation for School-age children with learning disabilities in liquid modernity necessitates a paradigm shift: viewing the \*\*family as the central mediating system\*\*. By embracing the interconnected roles of identity curator, competence coach, and systems advocate, the family can convert dual vulnerabilities into an integrative strength.

This theoretical model opens several vital avenues for future study:

**1. Empirical Validation:** Quantitative and mixed-methods studies are needed to test the proposed associations between specific family mediation practices, increases in pupil resilience metrics, and decreases in experiences of alienation.

**2. Lived Experience Inquiry:** Phenomenological study should center the voices of School-age children and youth with LD to understand which familial actions they perceive as most meaningful in fostering their sense of belonging and competence.

**3. Cross-Cultural Examination:** Comparative studies across societies with varying degrees of "liquidity" and collectivist-individualist orientations could illuminate how the model's mechanisms are universally applicable or culturally specific.

Ultimately, investing in the family's mediating capacity is an investment in a more inclusive and resilient social ecology—one capable of anchoring all its members in an age of flux.

## **References**

- Al-Hroub, A. (2020). Perspectives of school dropout among adolescents with learning disabilities in Palestine. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 53(3), 179–191. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022219420906267>
- Al-Yagon, M. (2016). Perceived close relationships with parents, teachers, and peers: Predictors of social, emotional, and behavioral features in adolescents with LD or comorbid LD and ADHD. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 49(6), 597–615. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022219415575664>
- Barkley, R. A. (2012). *Executive functions: What they are, how they work, and why they evolved*. Guilford Press.
- Bauman, Z. (2000). *Liquid modernity*. Polity Press.
- Bauman, Z. (2007). *Liquid times: Living in an age of uncertainty*. Polity Press.
- Beck, U., & Beck-Gernsheim, E. (2002). *Individualization: Institutionalized individualism and its social and political consequences*. Sage Publications.
- Bronfenbrenner, U., & Morris, P. A. (2006). The bioecological model of human development. In R. M. Lerner & W. Damon (Eds.), *Handbook of child psychology: Theoretical models of human development* (Vol. 1, pp. 793–828). John Wiley & Sons.
- Cooper, H. (2010). *Study synthesis and meta-analysis: A step-by-step approach* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Cosden, M., Brown, C., & Elliott, K. (2002). Development of self-understanding and self-esteem in children and adults with learning disabilities. In B. Y. L. Wong & M. L. Donahue (Eds.), *The social dimensions of learning disabilities* (pp. 33–52). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Côté, J. E. (2014). The dangerous myth of emerging adulthood: An evidence-based critique of a flawed developmental theory. *Applied Developmental Science*, 18(4), 177–188. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2014.954451>
- Dawson, P., & Guare, R. (2018). *Executive skills in children and adolescents: A practical guide to assessment and intervention* (3rd ed.). Guilford Press.
- Dyson, L. L. (2003). Children with learning disabilities within the family context: A comparison with siblings in global self-concept, academic self-perception, and social competence. *Learning Disabilities Study & Practice*, 18(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1540-5826.00054>
- Dyson, L. L. (2010). Unanticipated effects of children with learning disabilities on their families. *Learning Disability Quarterly*, 33(1), 43–55. <https://doi.org/10.1177/073194871003300104>
- Elbaum, B., & Vaughn, S. (2003). For which students with learning disabilities are self-concept interventions effective? *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 36(2), 101–108. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002221940303600202>
- Erikson, E. H. (1968). *Identity: Youth and crisis*. W. W. Norton & Company.
- Fergus, S., & Zimmerman, M. A. (2005). Adolescent resilience: A framework for understanding healthy development in the face of risk. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 26, 399–419. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.publhealth.26.021304.144357>
- Fishman, C. E., & Nickerson, A. B. (2015). Motivations for involvement: A preliminary investigation of parents of students with disabilities. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 24(2), 523–535. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-013-9865-4>
- Fromm, E. (1955). *The sane society*. Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Giddens, A. (1991). *Modernity and self-identity: Self and society in the late modern age*. Stanford University Press.
- Goldstein, S., & Brooks, R. B. (Eds.). (2013). *Handbook of resilience in children* (2nd ed.). Springer.

- Grolnick, W. S., & Slowiaczek, M. L. (1994). Parents' involvement in children's schooling: A multidimensional conceptualization and motivational model. *Child Development*, 65(1), 237–252. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1131378>
- Harry, B. (2008). Collaboration with culturally and linguistically diverse families: Ideal versus reality. *Exceptional Children*, 74(3), 372–388. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001440290807400306>
- Heiman, T. (2002). Parents of children with disabilities: Resilience, coping, and future expectations. *Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities*, 14(2), 159–171. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015219514621>
- Hughes, M. T., & Valle-Riestra, D. M. (2016). The role of families in supporting the academic success of students with learning disabilities. In J. M. Kauffman, D. P. Hallahan, & P. C. Pullen (Eds.), *Handbook of special education* (2nd ed., pp. 525–538). Routledge.
- Kalekin-Fishman, D., & Langman, L. (Eds.). (2015). *Alienation and the carnivalization of society*. Routledge.
- Kuhl, J., & Kraska, K. (1989). The self-regulation scale: A new approach to the assessment of self-regulated learning. In M. Boekaerts & S. E. Volet (Eds.), *European study in an international context* (pp. 108–123). Pergamon Press.
- Luthar, S. S., Cicchetti, D., & Becker, B. (2000). The construct of resilience: A critical evaluation and guidelines for future work. *Child Development*, 71(3), 543–562. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8624.00164>
- Marcia, J. E. (1966). Development and validation of ego-identity status. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 3(5), 551–558. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0023281>
- Masten, A. S. (2014). *Ordinary magic: Resilience in development*. Guilford Press.
- Meltzer, L. (Ed.). (2018). *Executive function in education: From theory to practice* (2nd ed.). Guilford Press.
- Mughrabi, F. (2023). Mental health in children with learning disabilities: Challenges and coping strategies [Arabic]. *Journal of Educational and Psychological Sciences*, 7(2), 45–67.
- Murray, C., & Greenberg, M. T. (2006). Examining the importance of social relationships and social contexts in the lives of children with high-incidence disabilities. *The Journal of Special Education*, 39(4), 220–233. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00224669060390040301>
- Noddings, N. (2005). *The challenge to care in schools: An alternative approach to education* (2nd ed.). Teachers College Press.
- Phinney, J. S. (1990). Ethnic identity in adolescents and adults: Review of study. *Psychological Bulletin*, 108(3), 499–514. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.108.3.499>
- Raskind, M. H., Goldberg, R. J., Higgins, E. L., & Herman, K. L. (1999). Patterns of change and predictors of success in individuals with learning disabilities: Results from a twenty-year longitudinal study. *Learning Disabilities Study & Practice*, 14(1), 35–49. [https://doi.org/10.1207/sldrp1401\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1207/sldrp1401_4)
- Rogoff, B. (2003). *The cultural nature of human development*. Oxford University Press.
- Seligman, M. E. P. (2011). *Flourish: A visionary new understanding of happiness and well-being*. Free Press.
- Sennett, R. (1998). *The corrosion of character: The personal consequences of work in the new capitalism*. W. W. Norton & Company.
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a study methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Study*, 104, 333–339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>
- Theron, L. C., Liebenberg, L., & Ungar, M. (Eds.). (2015). *Youth resilience and culture: Commonalities and complexities*. Springer.

- Torraco, R. J. (2016). Writing integrative literature reviews: Using the past and present to explore the future. *Human Resource Development Review*, 15(4), 404–428. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1534484316671606>
- Trainor, A. A. (2008). Using cultural and social capital to improve postsecondary outcomes and expand transition models for youth with disabilities. *The Journal of Special Education*, 42(3), 148–162. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022466907313346>
- Turnbull, A. P., Turnbull, H. R., Erwin, E. J., Soodak, L. C., & Shogren, K. A. (2015). *Families, professionals, and exceptionality: Positive outcomes through partnerships and trust* (7th ed.). Pearson.
- Ungar, M. (2011). The social ecology of resilience: Addressing contextual and cultural ambiguity of a nascent construct. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 81(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1939-0025.2010.01067.x>
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
- Werner, E. E. (2013). What can we learn about resilience from large-scale longitudinal studies? In S. Goldstein & R. B. Brooks (Eds.), *Handbook of resilience in children* (pp. 87–102). Springer.
- Wiener, J., & Schneider, B. H. (2002). A multisource exploration of the friendship patterns of children with and without learning disabilities. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 30(2), 127–141. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1014701215315>
- Zelazo, P. D., & Carlson, S. M. (2012). Hot and cool executive function in childhood and adolescence: Development and plasticity. *Child Development Perspectives*, 6(4), 354–360. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-8606.2012.00246.x>
- Ungar, M. (2011). The social ecology of resilience: Addressing contextual and cultural ambiguity of a nascent construct. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 81\*(1), 1–17.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes\**. Harvard University Press.