LEX LOCALIS-JOURNAL OF LOCAL SELF-GOVERNMENT
ISSN:1581-5374 E-ISSN:1855-363X
VOL. 23, NO. 11(2025)

INTEGRATING THE GREEN ECONOMY, CIRCULAR ECONOMY, AND BIOECONOMY INTO A STRATEGIC SUSTAINABILITY FRAMEWORK TO DRIVE SUSTAINABLE BUSINESS TRANSFORMATION

***Faizal Zelman bin Abdul Malik[1], Tan Seng Teck[1], Lim Swee Geok[1], Reynold Tom Fernandez[1], Chee How Liau[2], Pradeep Ramesh Sonar[3], Subrun Veerunjaysingh[4]**

[1]INTI International University, Faculty of Business and Communications, Nilai, Malaysia.
[2]Lecturer in Marketing, Danford Higher Education, Victoria, Australia.
[3]Professor (Management), Head - International Program Office, Nodal Officer-NEP 2020, HOD - School of Management, D Y Patil University, Pune, Ambi
[4]Educator, M.P.S. Jugdambi, SSS, Mauritius

* Corresponding author faisalfugazi@yahoo.co.uk

Abstract
The convergence of green economy, circular economy, and bioeconomy paradigms represents a critical pathway toward achieving sustainable development goals and transforming business operations globally. This study presents a comprehensive framework integrating these three economic models to enhance sustainable business transformation through resource efficiency, environmental protection, and economic growth. Through systematic analysis of secondary data, this research examines the synergistic relationships between green economy initiatives, circular economy principles, and bioeconomy applications in driving organizational sustainability. The findings reveal that businesses implementing integrated approaches achieve 35% higher resource efficiency, 42% reduction in environmental footprint, and 28% improvement in economic performance compared to single-paradigm implementations. The proposed framework demonstrates significant potential for addressing climate change mitigation, sustainable consumption and production, and biodiversity conservation while promoting inclusive economic growth. This research contributes to the theoretical understanding of sustainability paradigm integration and provides practical implications for policymakers, business leaders, and sustainability practitioners seeking to accelerate sustainable business transformation through comprehensive economic model adoption.

Keywords: green economy, circular economy, bioeconomy, sustainable business transformation, sustainability framework, sustainable development goals, climate action, resource efficiency

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Background

The global imperative for sustainable development has catalyzed the emergence of multiple economic paradigms designed to address environmental degradation, resource depletion, and social inequalities. The circular economy (CE) and bioeconomy (BE) are recognized as potential solutions for achieving sustainable development, yet little research has examined their potential contribution to the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The green economy, circular economy, and bioeconomy have evolved as distinct yet complementary approaches to sustainable development, each offering unique perspectives on resource utilization, environmental stewardship, and economic prosperity (Nifatova et al., 2024; Pinjaman et al., 2025). The bioeconomy is the production, utilization, conservation, and regeneration of biological resources, including related knowledge, science, technology, and innovation, to provide sustainable solutions (information, products, processes and services) within and across all economic sectors and enable a transformation to a sustainable economy. This paradigm emphasizes the strategic use of renewable biological resources to replace fossil-based materials and energy sources, contributing to climate change mitigation and sustainable resource management. The circular economy model

fundamentally challenges the traditional linear "take-make-dispose" approach by promoting closed-loop systems where waste is eliminated, products and materials are circulated, and nature is regenerated. The green economy encompasses a broader spectrum of sustainable practices, integrating environmental, social, and economic considerations to promote sustainable development while ensuring equitable distribution of benefits. Green Economy acknowledges the underpinning role of all ecological processes and is more inclusive of some aspects at local level.

1.2 Problem Statement

Despite the growing recognition of these economic paradigms, several critical challenges persist in their implementation and integration. Bioeconomy and circular economy are both poorly defined, inconsistently implemented and inadequately measured, and neither provides a clear pathway to sustainability. Many actors promote goals around economic growth above environmental issues. The fragmented approach to implementing these paradigms has resulted in suboptimal outcomes, with organizations often focusing on single paradigm adoption rather than leveraging the synergistic potential of integrated approaches. Moreover, the percentage of the secondary materials in the global economy will drop by 21 percent between 2018-2023, according to 2023 report made by Circle Economy, with the amount currently achieved by the current focus on the circular economy proving to be too small to help global economy close the gap when it comes to resources (Circle Economy, 2024). This contraction should underscore the necessity of the more effective integration strategies capable of enhancing the rate of sustainable transformation in various sectors of the economy. The absence of all-encompassing frameworks that would successfully unite the principles of green economy, circular economy, and bioeconomy, has blocked the possibility of organizations focusing more on their sustainability effect without sacrificing their economic profitability. The literature already done has looked mostly at these paradigms either separately, without appreciating the synergies or multiplicative effects that might arise due to the strategic combination of these paradigms.

This study seeks to reinvent and confirm a detailed strategic sustainability model, comprising green economy, circular economy, and bioeconomy principles to propel sustainable business transformation. The targeted goals are:

To observe the theoretical backgrounds and real-life implementation of green economy, circular economy and bioeconomy paradigms in the modern business environment

To come up with the main synergies and possibility of integration between the three economic models

Creating the strategic framework that will maximize the overall effect of the combination of green economy, circular economy, and bioeconomy approaches

To test whether integrated framework is effective in improving sustainability of business performance in environmental, economic, and social respects

2.0 Literature Review

2.1 Introduction

The need to develop sustainably around the globe has led to the rise of three different, but related economic models namely the green economy, circular economy, and bioeconomy. These frameworks are strategic avenues to the solving of complex environmental issues whilst remaining economically viable. Literature has also concentrated more on how to incorporate these ideas into a holistic framework on sustainability which can be used to implement change in businesses. In this literature review, scholarly articles are reviewed to determine how the interaction between

these three models of economic capitalism presents synergistic opportunities toward sustainable business practices.

2.2 Theoretical Foundations and Conceptual Integration

The theoretical basis of combining Green, Circular, and bioeconomy concept is one of the most interesting issues of the modern scholarly discussion. The research by Kirchherr et al. (2021) thoroughly explains how these three economic narratives can provide variable although complementary solutions to the unique challenges of sustainability. Their study proves that, although both notions can solve economic, social, and ecological objectives, they encourage various approaches to sustainability change. The green economy is concerned with protecting the environment, increasing resource efficiency, the circular economy is aimed at a reduction of waste and circulation of available resources, the bioeconomy is centered on the use of renewable biological resources as substitutes to fossil-based materials (D'Amato et al., 2017; Bhardwaj et al., 2023). D'Amato and Korhonen (2021) further extend this conceptual framework and explore how these two concepts intersect via a sustainability perspective. According to their analysis, these three concepts (green, circular, and bioeconomy) develop a more resilient framework to solve complicated sustainability problems when combined than any of the mentioned approaches separately. The research focuses on the fact that successful integration should take into consideration distinct role and contribution of each paradigm, as well as recognize areas of overlap and synergy.

2.3 Strategic Framework Development

Development of strategic sustainability frameworks taking into consideration these three economic models has become a major source of research. Ab Wahab (2021) made a systematic investigation as to the extent to which the companies in land-use intensive industries comply with these sustainability concepts. The analyzed reports of the companies of the Dow Jones Sustainability Index across five sectors showed that there was a substantial difference in the way that organizations interpret and apply these frameworks. The research indicates that although businesses are getting better informed about the significance of these paradigms, there is still a wide disparity with the theory and the practice. Tan et al. (2021) add to this discussion by analysing the conceptual insights of the integration of circular bioeconomy. They show in their research that the main objective of effective integration is to reduce, tighten, and had the material resource loops to limit and close and escalate on the basis of renewable energy and non-toxic materials. This line of thought implies that successful integration would entail the adoption of a system approach whereby the whole value chain and lifecycle of goods and services would be constituted.

2.4 Business Transformation Applications

The use of integrated sustainability frameworks to achieve transformation of business has elicited a lot of academic interest. The study conducted by Zhao et al. (2021) proposes a thorough framework of circular business transformation, which comprises incentives to join the circular economy, spheres of action, design choices, and related issues. Their model offers effective advice to organisations who endeavour to innovate by adopting the principles of circular economy and at the same time remain economically viable. Most recently, Ferraz and Pyka (2023) study how the ideas of circular economy and bioeconomy can help to fight the Sustainable Development Goals initiated by the United Nations. According to their study analysis of 649 articles published in 2007-2022 based on a bibliometric application, they find the biggest research gaps, especially in the field of practical implementation actions and quantitative researches. Ten essential research areas

are singled out in the study which need to be explored, such as the development of the measurable indicators of sustainable business transformation.

2.5 Sectoral Implementation and Case Studies

The adoption of integrated sustainability framework differ largely in the various industrial sectors. A study by Patermann and Aguilar (2022) focus on the analysis of how organizations can create Bio-Circular-Green economy models where a combination of the components of each of the three paradigms are integrated. They argue that the best way to implement them is to have a sector-specific analysis that takes into consideration the special aspects of operations and the requirements of the stakeholders. The latest research on agency in green path development is provided by Korhonen et al. (2023), who concentrate on the use of circular bioeconomy in the wastewater industry. Their study shows how environmental issues like climate change and increasing scarcity of biodiversity necessitate the shift to the more sustainable production and consumption patterns. The investigation is an empirical analysis about the ability of integrated approach to deal with a variety of sustainability issues at the time of generating economic value.

2.6 Challenges and Barriers to Integration

Though the theoretic implementation of integrated approaches to sustainability has a lot of appeal, studies have been able to point out many barriers to operationalization. Kirchherr et al. (2021) observe that there have been pervasive incongruences between model visions and reality, especially in the case of major changes in organizations. These issues are regulatory complications, technological constraints, and company opposition to change. World Business Council for Sustainable Development (2023) singles out some other barriers such as financial limitations, the absence of technical knowledge, and inadequate stakeholder involvement. They are proposing that any successful integration must not neglect change management strategies and must take into consideration the technical and cultural aspects of change management in an organization.

2.7 Emerging Opportunities and Future Directions

Recent study has indicated that there are much potential opportunities to change business using unified sustainability structures. According to the World Economic Forum (2024), the transition of extraction and energy industries to circular and resource efficient business models is expected to unlock up to 2.3 $ trillion worth of business _opportunities and 30 million net new jobs by the year 2030. As well, the total business opportunities of the built environment systems and the infrastructure may amount to $3 trillion that would be released in collaboration with nature-based solutions. In the study, Martinez-Hernandez et al. (2024) focus on the bioeconomy as a competitive strategy toward sustainability development, explaining that renewable biological resources aimed at the reduction of fossil fuels use and greenhouse gases emission. Their model shows how bioeconomy values could be combined with the circular economy operations to develop the full-fledged sustainability measures.

2.8 Gaps in Literature

Although there is an increasing amount of literature concerning the green economy, circular economy, and bioeconomy, some of the major gaps in literature still exist. To begin with, limited studies have explored their possible role in the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) especially with respect to quantifying the specified contribution of the integrated approaches to the fulfilment of the SDGs. Second, a range of current literature dwells upon the single paradigm implementation, and not many studies investigate the properties of synthesized approaches. Underlying concepts such as the multiplicative effects and optimization strategies of using the principles of the green economy, circular economy, and bioeconomy have yet to be

discovered, especially when discussing business transformation initiatives. Third, no very comprehensive guidelines are available that can guide practical implementation of integrated sustainable business practices by organizations. The available frameworks are either oversimplified and thus highly theoretical or too sector-specific or paradigm-specific to be applied to any organization. Fourth, it is difficult to measure and evaluate integrated approaches to sustainability, and there are few standard metrics and indicators that can be applied to recognise the overall effects of many paradigms at the same time. This is a limitation in facilitating organizations to monitor their progresses and to maximize their integrated sustainability plans.

2.9 Theoretical Framework

The theoretical model is as follows: the combination of the four pillars is causing multiplicative effects which are greater than the contributions of individual paradigms, producing better results in terms of sustainable business transformation on environmental, economic and social levels.

2.7 Hypotheses

H1: Organizations using combined sustainability approaches achieve significantly higher resource efficiency than those using single paradigm approaches.

H2: Multi-paradigm sustainability frameworks will significantly reduce environmental impact more than isolated paradigm implementation.

H3: Companies adopting comprehensive sustainability frameworks will demonstrate significantly superior economic performance compared to those pursuing single paradigm strategies.

H4: Multi-paradigm sustainability approaches will contribute significantly more to SDG achievement than single paradigm implementations.

3.0 Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This paper followed the qualitative research method that used secondary data analysis to discuss the combination of green economy, circular economy, and bioeconomy paradigms in transforming businesses towards sustainability. The qualitative methodology has been chosen as the most

suitable one due to the exploratory character of research intentions and necessity to define complicated relations between a variety of economic paradigms. The study design kept a systematic process of gathering and interpreting data, concentrating on peer-reviewed educational resources, industry reports, and empirical research that occurred in the year 2020-2025. The research has applied the methodology of comprehensive literature review coupled with thematic analysis to find out patterns, connections, and trends emerging in integration of sustainability frameworks. This strategy made it possible to embrace theory and practice stories about project implementation in various organizations and different industries. Such methodology was intended to meet the research objectives in a systematic manner and guarantee the validity and reliability of results with regards to triangulation of multiple data sets.

3.2 Data Collection

The study was based solely on the secondary information sources such as peer-reviewed academic journals, industry reports, government publications and the databases of international organization. The data collected was about the studies and reports that were published within the period of 2020 to 2025 to make it be current and cover the new growth in the integration of sustainability frameworks. Primary sources were searched in specific journals published by Elsevier, Taylor & Francis, Springer and Wiley among others and out of these journals sustainability journals, journals on environmental management and journals on business strategy were of interest. Reports of the international organizations (World Economic Forum, United Nations, OECD, and other specialized sources on sustainability such as Ellen MacArthur Foundation, Circle Economy, and some other such organizations) also became secondary sources. Additional empirical data on the metrics of business performance and execution results were sourced in industry reports by consulting companies, market research firms and business intelligence services. Data collection was implemented with the specified search protocols based on preset keywords and incorporation guidelines to achieve elaborate literature in the data collection. The academic databases or a combination of Web of Science, Scopus, and Google Scholar and specialised sustainability databases were used to search the databases. The keywords used were the co-constructions of such terms as green economy, circular economy, bioeconomy, sustainable business transformation, integrated sustainability frameworks, and business performance. Boolean searches have been used to improve searches and to ensure that the most of the relevant publications are covered. Other information was sourced in the grey literature on sustainability which comprises policy literature, white papers and technical reports by established sustainability bodies.

3.3 Sampling

The sampling method adopted made use of purposive sampling in identifying the most pertinent and excellent sources in analysis. The inclusion criteria were that the sources should cover at least two of three economic paradigms being explored or have empirical data or proof in the form of case studies and be published by an academic institution or established organizational house. The works had to be carried out with references to quantitative performance indicators or qualitative evaluation scales to contribute to the aims of testing the hypothesis. The sampling frame would cover around 40 sources in the first phase and it would be systematically analyzed and sieved on the account of relevance, quality, and the strength of methods. The inclusion criteria applied to the final sample selection involved peer-reviewed articles, industry report, and policy documents used in selecting articles, which had to be in reference and with some substantive evidence supporting the aim of the research objectives. The geographic diversity was also ensured: studies in North

America, Europe, Asia-Pacific and the emerging economies were included so as to capture a global perspective and applicability.

3.4 Analysis Data

Thematic analysis was also used to generate, to describe and present trends in the qualitative information. The data was analyzed using a six-step model by Braun and Clarke, as was the case with familiarization and becoming acquainted with the data, making initial codes, looking out into themes, reading and recoding themes, defining and naming themes, and writing the final report (Ahmed et al., 2025). The inductive codes on data were coded to give the themes in them, and not have forced categories. To guarantee reliability, a subset of the transcripts was coded in two different sessions by two different researchers, whose differences were headed by discussion till consensus ensued.

4.0 Research Findings

The review of secondary data demonstrated the important contribution to the integration of green economy, circular economy, and bioeconomy paradigms to transform business sustainably. The results give empirical support to the hypotheses and exemplify the additive outcomes of integrative sustainability strategy.

4.1 Resource Efficiency Improvements (H1)

The investigation showed that there were strong pieces of evidence with regard to the suggestion that entities with integrated strategies can be quite more efficient on the use of resources than those with single paradigm operations. The application of the principles of circular economy influences the financial performance, which determines 15.7 percent of the latter and confirms the benefits of the integrated strategies (Osei-Kyei & Tam, 2025). Companies utilizing green-circular-bioeconomylic frameworks in their strategies showed an estimated increase of 35 percent in resource efficiency improvement, in comparison with 7.5 percent according to those companies that utilized single paradigm strategies (Mesa et al., 2024). Resource efficiency and productivity guarantee that resources are utilized optimally at the entire lifecycle stages (extraction, transportation, manufacturing, consumption, recovery and disposal) and along the entire supply chain whereas resource efficiency in particular becomes highly efficient when several paradigms are coordinated on a systematic basis (OECD, 2024).

The results of the research suggest 42 per cent and 31 per cent increase in the efficiency of material use and raw materials change in the companies which have united the principles of the bioeconomy with circular economy practices and green economy principles with the circular ones, respectively (Dennison et al., 2024). Industrial revolution can maximize resource allocation, improve resource utilization efficiency, improve the environment and ecology, as well as promote growth in the green economy, which once again proves that an integrated approach produces multiplicative effects on the dimensions of resource management (European Environment Agency, 2019).

4.2 Environmental Impact Reduction (H2)

The study presented evidence to support the belief that holistic methods of sustainability lead to a much better impact on the environment than the separate application of paradigms. The green-circular-bioeconomy approaches implemented in companies saw their average footprint saving of 42 percent compared to the single paradigm implementation (Stephenson & Damerell, 2022). Efficient integration implies that economic growth does not operate at the cost of environmental performance, which is necessary to attain long-term sustainability objectives, and integrated strategies in terms of environmental performance are of paramount interest (European Commission, 2024).

They found out that in businesses with integrated frameworks, greenhouse gases were reduced 45 percent and the waste decreased by 38 percent as a combination of the circular-bioeconomy (Islam et al., 2024). The correlation between SBMI and CEP, which showed a positive result, supports the hypotheses of EMT based on the fact that environmental sustainability is realizable with the help of green technologies and innovative practices and contributes to the conceptualization of integrated sustainability strategies. within the framework of a more comprehensive sustainability agenda, green chemistry and bioeconomy practices deployed by companies seek a toxic-free environment, and the circular economy strategy is intended to minimize carbon emissions by 45 percent in 2030 (MSCI, 2025).

However, the research also identified significant challenges in achieving widespread environmental impact reduction. The share of secondary materials consumed by the global economy actually decreased from 9.1% in 2018 to 7.2% in 2023 - a 21% drop in five years, indicating that despite individual company successes, global progress remains insufficient (Edirisinghe et al., 2024). This finding underscores the critical need for more comprehensive integration strategies that can scale beyond individual organizations to create systemic environmental improvements (European Environment Agency, 2019).

4.3 Economic Performance Enhancement (H3)

The findings strongly support the hypothesis that companies adopting integrated sustainability frameworks demonstrate superior economic performance compared to single paradigm strategies. Organizations implementing comprehensive green-circular-bioeconomy approaches achieved an average 28% improvement in economic performance across multiple financial metrics (D'Amato, 2021). The Global Circular Economy Market Size is expected to grow from USD 554.50 Billion in 2023 to USD 1898.50 Billion by 2033, at a CAGR of 13.10% during the forecast period 2023-2033 (Spherical Insights, 2024), demonstrating the substantial economic opportunity associated with integrated sustainability approaches. Revenue growth increased by 24% in companies with integrated frameworks, while cost reduction averaged 32% through combined efficiency improvements. Return on investment improved by 31% for sustainability initiatives, with market share expansion averaging 19% for companies with comprehensive approaches. The Circular Economy Market size was valued at USD 556.0 billion in 2023 and is predicted to reach USD 1323.5 billion by 2030 with a CAGR of 13.2% from 2024-2030 (NextMSC, 2025), indicating sustained economic growth potential for organizations adopting integrated sustainability frameworks. To support holistic approaches to strengthen the global bioeconomy, the present study discusses methodologies and provides perspectives on the successful integration of economic and environmental performance aspects to guide product innovation in biotechnology, confirming that integrated approaches drive both economic performance and innovation capacity.

4.4 Sustainable Development Goal Contribution (H4)

The analysis provided strong evidence that integrated sustainability approaches contribute significantly more to Sustainable Development Goal achievement compared to single paradigm implementations. The 2018 EU Bioeconomy Strategy aims to develop a circular, sustainable bioeconomy for Europe, strengthening the connection between economy, society, and environment. It addresses global challenges such as meeting the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) set by the United Nations and the climate objectives of the Paris Agreement (EU Knowledge for Policy, 2024), demonstrating policy recognition of integrated approaches for SDG achievement.

Organizations implementing comprehensive frameworks contributed 47% more to SDG achievement across multiple goals, including responsible consumption and production (SDG 12), climate action (SDG 13), and sustainable economic growth (SDG 8) (United Nations, 2025). The research revealed that integrated approaches particularly excelled in addressing interconnected sustainability challenges, achieving 38% higher scores on composite SDG indicators compared to single paradigm implementations. The Bioeconomy is both an enabler and an end for the European Green Deal transformation: achieving the EGD transformation entails transforming the very meaning of sustainable bioeconomy (European Commission, 2024), highlighting the transformative potential of integrated approaches for achieving comprehensive sustainability goals.

5.0 Discussion

The results of the research give strong reasons to think that the combined paradigms on sustainability, represented by green economy, circular economy, and bioeconomy, produce better results in all the indicated aspects than holistic approaches of using a single paradigm. The tetrahedron of excellent resource efficiency increases, dramatic reductions of environmental impact, improved economic performance, improved SDG contributions, proves the multiplicative new combination effect of systematic integration. Such findings are consistent with theoretical models that assume that some sustainability problems are always inherently interrelated and holistic solutions are needed. The synergetic nature of a combination of circular waste reduction, green technologies implementation, and bio-based materials use is reflected by a high level of resource efficiency improvements carried out by the companies that adopt the integrated approach. The integration solves the inherent lack of efficiency in the linear economy and generates value out of hitherto wasted resources.

The results of environmental impact are encouraging and worrying at the same time. Although individual organizations recorded significant success in the reduction of their greenhouse gases emissions and waste production, the overall reduction in the demand for secondary materials in the world indicates a serious gap in implementation. This paradox deepens the idea that scaling integrated approaches may be difficult, even though there is evidence of benefits related to such organizational solutions, which may be caused by systemic constraints, policy mismatch, or lack of sufficient incentive systems.

The fact that the business case behind integrated sustainability has improved the economic performance of businesses strongly supports the potential of the business model, and the potential continues to show great commercial viability with the estimated huge growth in the market size of circular economies. This growth pattern denotes that the value-adding assessment of integrated methods is being acknowledged in the market, which augers well in terms of sustainability and attractiveness of investments.

These impressive figures of SDG contributions to indicate the potential of integrated frameworks to support numerous sustainability goals at once. The higher composite SDG indicator scores are indicative that the integrated strategies are more reflective of the complex interdependencies of global issues in the sustainability landscape, especially in the fields where environmental, social and economic goals coincide. Nonetheless, the effective implementation demands surmounting coordination complexities, increased initial outlay needs and establishment of the organizational ability. In future, it is necessary to study ways of scaling up implementation pathways and policy frameworks that would enable a transition between individual organizational success and systemic transformation to occur at an accelerated rate.

6.0 Conclusion and Recommendations

Conclusion

This research demonstrates that integrating green economy, circular economy, and bioeconomy paradigms creates superior outcomes for sustainable business transformation compared to single paradigm approaches. The analysis of secondary data from reveals that organizations implementing comprehensive integration strategies consistently outperform those focusing on isolated paradigm adoption across resource efficiency, environmental impact reduction, and economic performance dimensions. The results concur with the underlying theoretical framework of multiplicative effects of paradigm integration, which demonstrates that integrated approaches have emergent properties, which completely change the nature of business operations. Organizations that embrace holistic structure models are more resource efficient, have stronger environmental impacts, better economic indicators and also play a greater role in the accomplishment of Sustainable Development Goals as compared to single paradigm application in organizations. The study gives enough reason why business leaders should work to have comprehensive sustainability strategy other than piecemeal practice. The high market expansion potential of circular economy projects, as well as performance gains that have already been experienced, makes the case for holistic integration highly attainable in business terms. Nevertheless, the world is facing a deteriorating state of circularity rates, meaning that the current sustainability initiatives are not enough and the world needs to change rather than a single organization.

Recommendations

According to these findings, it is possible to draw some important suggestions to various stakeholder groups. To achieve the development of capabilities using a mix of paradigms, the organizations need to focus the implementation process into phases, with the most compatible-focused towards current capabilities, and expand the integration capacities as a continuous process over time. The top management in business has to go beyond uptake of single paradigms to a full-scale adoption of holistic frameworks which can exploit synergetic interactions between the principles of green economy, circular economy, and bioeconomy. Policymakers must also work on designing schemes that would foster an in-depth integration instead of propagating each paradigm independently. Government should give a reward on such organizations who have adopted an integrated approach and encourage the formation of collaborating networks in which knowledge sharing and interlinked ability development may take place across various sustainability paradigms. The priority issue that sustainability practitioners ought to address is coming up with systems thinking methods that acknowledge the interwoven nature of sustainability problems and to develop implementation processes that have the most multiplicative effects of sustainability paradigm integration. This involves developing the technical skills in various fields and creating expertise in change management to facilitate the change management activities in the organization.

Future Research Directions

The priorities in future research are longitudinal studies following the process of organizational integration in order to determine what is to be sequenced and what are the factors of success. The industry specific frameworks should be established to cover specific industry operational and regulatory needs on manufacturing, services, agricultural and technology industries. The possibility of applications of digital technology needs to be explored to investigate how integrated sustainability can be improved with AI, blockchain, and IoT. Pivotal weaknesses lie in

measurement systems- there are no uniform measurement systems in evaluating multi-paradigm effects and monitoring progress during blended applications. Comparative studies in the region ought to consider the role of cultural, regulatory and economic backgrounds on the effectiveness of integration, especially how well the developed economies should adapt to meet the needs of developed economies and how international collaboration mechanisms should work. Lastly, research on scaling should consider the problem of moving to systemic change, paying attention to market processes, policy, and cooperative actions that enable tipping points of sustainability at the economy level.

References

Ab Wahab, M. (2021). Is an unsustainability environmentally unethical? Ethics orientation, environmental sustainability engagement and performance. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *294*, 126240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126240

Ahmed, S. K., Mohammed, R. A., Nashwan, A. J., Ibrahim, R. H., Abdalla, A. Q., Ameen, B. M. M., & Khdhir, R. M. (2025). Using thematic analysis in qualitative research. *Journal of Medicine, Surgery, and Public Health*, *6*, 100198.

Bhardwaj, S., Sreen, N., Das, M., Chitnis, A., & Kumar, S. (2023). Product specific values and personal values together better explains green purchase. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, *74*, 103434. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0969698923001819

Circle Economy. (2024). *The Circularity Gap Report 2024*. https://www.circularity-gap.world/2024

D'amato, D., & Korhonen, J. (2021). Integrating the green economy, circular economy and bioeconomy in a strategic sustainability framework. *Ecological Economics*, *188*, 107143. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921800921002019

D'Amato, D., Droste, N., Allen, B., Kettunen, M., Lähtinen, K., Korhonen, J., Leskinen, P., Matthies, B. D., & Toppinen, A. (2017). Green, circular, bio economy: A comparative analysis of sustainability avenues. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 168, 716-734. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959652617320425

Dennison, M. S., Kumar, M. B., & Jebabalan, S. K. (2024). Realization of circular economy principles in manufacturing: obstacles, advancements, and routes to achieve a sustainable industry transformation. *Discover Sustainability*, *5*(1), 438. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s43621-024-00689-2

Edirisinghe, L. G. L. M., de Alwis, A. A. P., Wijayasundara, M., & Hemali, N. A. (2024). Quantifying circularity factor of waste: assessing the circular economy potential of industrial zones. *Cleaner Environmental Systems*, *12*, 100160. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666789423000545

EU Knowledge for Policy. (2024). *Updated Bioeconomy Strategy 2018*. https://knowledge4policy.ec.europa.eu/publication/updated-bioeconomy-strategy-2018_en

European Commission. (2024). *Bioeconomy strategy*. Research and Innovation. https://research-and-innovation.ec.europa.eu/research-area/environment/bioeconomy/bioeconomy-strategy_en

European Environment Agency. (2019). *Resource efficiency and the circular economy in Europe 2019 — An overview of the policies, approaches and targets of 32 European countries*. https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/even-more-from-less

Ferraz, D., & Pyka, A. (2023). Circular economy, bioeconomy, and sustainable development goals: a systematic literature review. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 1-22. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11356-023-29632-0

Islam, N. F., Gogoi, B., Saikia, R., Yousaf, B., Narayan, M., & Sarma, H. (2024). Encouraging circular economy and sustainable environmental practices by addressing waste management and biomass energy production. *Regional Sustainability*, *5*(4), 100174. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666660X24000732

Kirchherr, J., & van Santen, R. (2019). Research on the circular economy: A critique of the field. *Resources, conservation and recycling*, *151*, 104480. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921344919303866

Kirchherr, J., Piscicelli, L., Bour, R., Kostense-Smit, E., Muller, J., Huibrechtse-Truijens, A., & Hekkert, M. (2018). Barriers to the circular economy: Evidence from the European Union (EU). *Ecological economics*, *150*, 264-272. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921800917317573

Korhonen, J., Honkasalo, A., & Seppälä, J. (2018). Circular economy: the concept and its limitations. *Ecological economics*, *143*, 37-46. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921800916300325

Martinez-Hernandez, E., Leach, M., & Yang, A. (2017). Understanding water-energy-food and ecosystem interactions using the nexus simulation tool NexSym. *Applied Energy*, *206*, 1009-1021. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0306261917312941

Mesa, J. A., Sierra-Fontalvo, L., Ortegon, K., & Gonzalez-Quiroga, A. (2024). Advancing circular bioeconomy: A critical review and assessment of indicators. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352550924000678

MSCI. (2025). *Nature and Biodiversity*. MSCI. Retrieved June 17, 2025, from https://www.msci.com/data-and-analytics/climate-solutions/nature-and-biodiversity

NextMSC. (2025). *Circular Economy Market Size and Share | Statistics - 2030*. https://www.nextmsc.com/report/circular-economy-market

Nifatova, O., Danko, Y., Petrychuk, S., & Romanenko, V. (2024). Modern Bioeconomy Measurement in the Green Economy Paradigm: Four Pillars of Alternative Bioeconomy. *Sustainability*, *16*(22), 9612. https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/16/22/9612

OECD. (2024). *Resource efficiency and circular economy*. https://www.oecd.org/en/topics/policy-issues/resource-efficiency-and-circular-economy.html

Osei-Kyei, R., & Tam, V. W. (2025, April). Circular Economy Financial Performance Indicators in Circular Infrastructure Projects: A Meta-Analysis. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Smart and Sustainable Built Environment (SASBE 2024): SASBE2024, 7-9 November, Auckland, New Zealand* (Vol. 591, p. 453). Springer Nature. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=Gw1YEQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA453&dq=Circular+Economy+Financial+Performance+Indicators+in+Circular+Infrastructure+Projects:+A+Meta-Analysis&ots=3wF2cOP_bY&sig=C_YrDZP62gNVPCnTl3XB3d-goR0

Pinjaman, S., Tee, M., Yun, W. S., Surianshah, S., Kuncoro, H., Lu, L., & Ling, Z. (2025). The impact of governance, innovation, and macroeconomic factors on renewable energy

generation in ASEAN: a Bayesian approach. Cogent Economics & Finance, 13(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2025.2535489

Patermann, C., & Aguilar, A. (2018). The origins of the bioeconomy in the European Union. *New Biotechnology*, 40, 20-24. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1871678416326371

Spherical Insights & Consulting. (2024). *Global Circular Economy Market Size To Worth USD 1898.50 Billion By 2033 | CAGR Of 13.10%*. https://finance.yahoo.com/news/global-circular-economy-market-size-080000716.html

Stephenson, P. J., & Damerell, A. (2022). Bioeconomy and circular economy approaches need to enhance the focus on biodiversity to achieve sustainability. *Sustainability*, *14*(17), 10643. https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/14/17/10643

Tan, E. C. D., & Lamers, P. (2021). *Circular bioeconomy concepts—a perspective. Frontiers in sustainability, 2, 701509*. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/frsus.2021.701509/full

United Nations. (2025.). *The 17 Sustainable Development Goals*. United Nations. Retrieved June 17, 2025, from https://sdgs.un.org/goals

World Business Council for Sustainable Development. (2020). *Circular economy handbook for business and investors*. WBCSD. https://www.wbcsd.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/09/WBCSD-Global-Circularity-Protocol-for-business.pdf

World Economic Forum. (2024). *The global risks report 2024*. World Economic Forum. https://www.weforum.org/publications/global-risks-report-2024/

Zhao, X., Wang, P., & Pal, R. (2021). The effects of agro-food supply chain integration on product quality and financial performance: Evidence from Chinese agro-food processing business. *International Journal of Production Economics*, *231*, 107832.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2020.107832