

AGILE LEADERSHIP IN THE ERA OF DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION: A STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK FOR SUSTAINABLE ORGANISATIONAL CHANGE

Dr.P. Jayamary¹

¹Assistant Professor of Commerce St. Mary's College9Autonomous, Thoothukudi, Tamilnadu

drjayamary2020@gmail.com1

Abstract:

In the rapidly evolving business environment driven by technological advances, organisations need to be flexible and responsive to stay ahead. This research examines how agile leadership supports digital transformation and influences both employee performance and overall organisational growth. The study was conducted using a descriptive design with data collected from 100 participants representing different sectors in the Thoothukudi district. Analytical methods such as Garrett's Ranking Technique, Chi-square test, anova and Factor Analysis were employed to interpret the findings. Results indicate that agile leadership fosters improved teamwork, adaptability, and ongoing learning, which collectively boost employee effectiveness and encourage innovation within organisations. However, challenges such as resistance to change and inadequate training were also highlighted. Based on these insights, the study suggests focused training initiatives and the development of an organisational culture that embraces agile principles. Overall, this research sheds light on the significant role agile leadership plays in achieving sustainable growth in the digital age.

Keywords: Agile leadership, digital transformation, employee performance, organisational growth, collaboration, etc.

Introduction

In today's world of rapid digital transformation, organizations across various sectors are experiencing significant changes fueled by technological advancements, shifting customer needs, and intense global competition. Technologies such as cloud computing, artificial intelligence, automation, and data analytics are revolutionizing how companies operate, interact, and deliver value. This fast-paced environment has rendered traditional leadership approaches—based on strict planning and hierarchical decision-making—ineffective in managing the complexities and speed of modern business.

To meet these demands, a new style of leadership has become essential: agile leadership. This approach focuses on adaptability, flexibility, and prioritizing people. Agile leaders promote continuous learning, teamwork, swift decision-making, and embrace change instead of resisting it. They build organizational cultures where innovation thrives, teams are empowered to act independently, and businesses can quickly adjust to evolving markets or seize new opportunities.

Digital transformation goes beyond just integrating new technologies; it requires a fundamental shift in culture and structure. Employees must develop new skills, workflows need redesigning, and overcoming resistance to change is vital. Without effective leadership, these transformations risk failure or cause instability. Agile leadership is key in navigating these challenges by offering clear strategic guidance, encouraging open communication, and keeping the organization focused on its objectives.

Review of Literature

Recent research from India highlights the growing importance of agile leadership in successfully managing digital transformation across various sectors. Jeedigunta (2023) studied 120 mid-level managers in the IT and service industries from Hyderabad and Bengaluru, finding that digital leadership aligned with agile principles greatly enhanced team performance, employee engagement, and innovation culture. Participants noted that agile

LEX LOCALIS-JOURNAL OF LOCAL SELF-GOVERNMENT ISSN:1581-5374 E-ISSN:1855-363X VOL. 23, NO. S6(2025)



leaders fostered open communication, encouraged risk-taking, and promoted continuous learning, enabling better adaptation to digital tools and evolving client demands.

Kumar and Mehta (2024) surveyed 200 executives from technology start-ups in Pune and NCR, revealing that leadership styles emphasizing empowerment and responsiveness had a strong positive impact on digital transformation outcomes. Respondents highlighted the importance of faster decision-making and accountability within teams to thrive in a dynamic tech environment.

Radhika Sharma (2023), through interviews with 25 senior HR professionals from Indian multinational companies, emphasized that successful agile transformation depends on leadership behavior. Leaders who demonstrated empathy, flexibility, and transparency were seen as more effective in driving the cultural changes necessary for digital adoption.

A recent case study by Verma and Srinivasan (2025) involving 150 employees from a public-sector telecom company undergoing digital restructuring found that employees were more receptive to change when leaders adopted agile practices such as participative planning and real-time feedback. This approach fostered inclusion and trust, increasing employee commitment during the transition.

Furthermore, Nair and Thomas (2024) studied 180 banking sector employees in Kerala and Tamil Nadu, finding that agile leadership improved both customer service efficiency and internal collaboration. Respondents valued leaders who embraced new technologies and supported skill development, which eased the process of digital adoption and reduced related stress.

Statement of the Problem

In today's rapidly evolving digital landscape, many organisations find it challenging to keep pace with emerging technologies and shifting market demands. Conventional leadership methods frequently fall short in fostering the agility and creativity necessary for successful digital transformation. When leadership fails to adapt, organisations may face confusion, decreased employee engagement, and poor results. This highlights the importance of examining how agile leadership can offer a practical and effective framework to steer organisations through sustainable change in the digital era.

Need for the Study

In today's environment of continuous digital change, organisations struggle to thrive using traditional leadership approaches that lack the flexibility required to navigate rapid transformations. Agile leadership, which emphasizes adaptability, swift decision-making, and empowering teams, enables organisations to respond effectively to change while fostering a culture of ongoing learning. There is an increasing need to understand how agile leadership can support organisations in managing long-term, sustainable change. This study aims to develop a practical framework to guide organisations through successful digital transformation.

Scope for further study

This study examines the role of agile leadership in enabling sustainable organisational change amid digital transformation. However, future research could focus on its impact within specific industries like healthcare, education, manufacturing, or public administration. Comparative studies between agile leadership and other leadership styles may provide a clearer understanding of their effectiveness across various change contexts. Additionally, exploring factors such as organisational culture, employee attitudes, and technological readiness could shed light on what influences agile leadership's success. Longitudinal case studies and international comparisons would also contribute valuable insights to this evolving field.



Objectives of the Study

- 1. To examine the role of agile leadership in helping organisations adapt effectively to digital transformation.
- 2. To identify the key challenges leaders encounter when implementing agile practices.
- 3. To analyse the impact of agile leadership on employee performance and overall organisational growth.

Research Methodology

This study follows a descriptive research design aimed at examining how agile leadership influences employee performance and organisational growth. Data was primarily gathered using a structured questionnaire administered to 100 respondents chosen through convenient sampling across various sectors in the Thoothukudi district, including IT, manufacturing, and education. The research incorporates both primary data collected via surveys and secondary data sourced from scholarly journals, articles, and online databases. To analyse the collected information, statistical methods such as Garrett's Ranking Technique, Chi-square Test, anova and Factor Analysis were applied to derive insightful conclusions.

Limitations of the Study:

The study is limited by its sample size of 100 respondents, which may not fully represent the broader population of leaders and employees in all sectors. Data were collected only from the Thoothukudi district, so the findings may not be generalisable to other regions. The use of convenience sampling may introduce bias, as respondents were selected based on accessibility rather than random selection. Additionally, the study relied on self-reported data, which could be influenced by personal opinions or lack of full disclosure. Time constraints and limited access to certain organisations also restricted the depth of data collection.

Analysis and interpretation

Table no:1
Demographic Profile of Respondents

Demographic Variable	Category	No. of	Percentage
		Respondents	(%)
Gender	Male	64	64%
	Female	36	36%
Age Group	Below 30 years	22	22%
	31 – 40 years	38	38%
	41 – 50 years	27	27%
	Above 50 years	13	13%
Educational	Diploma	15	15%
Qualification	Undergraduate	42	42%
	Postgraduate	33	33%
	Others (ITI, Certifications)	10	10%
Occupation Sector	Port and Logistics	20	20%
	Manufacturing	26	26%
	Fisheries/Marine Processing	18	18%
	Small and Medium Enterprises	24	24%
	(SMEs)		
	Renewable Energy	12	12%
Years of Experience	Below 5 years	30	30%



	5 – 10 years	35	35%
	11 – 15 years	20	20%
	Above 15 years	15	15%
Designation Level	Executive / Staff	40	40%
	Middle Management	38	38%
	Senior Management	22	22%
Type of Organisation	Private	65	65%
	Public Sector	20	20%
	Government-Aided	10	10%
	NGO/Social Enterprise	5	5%
Training on Agile	Yes	48	48%
Practices	No	52	52%
Workplace Digital	Highly Digitized	32	32%
Maturity	Moderately Digitized	45	45%
	Low Digitization	23	23%
Decision-Making Role	Participates in decision-making	60	60%
	Informed but not involved	25	25%
	Not involved	15	15%

The sample consists of 100 respondents with a majority being male (64%) compared to females (36%). The age distribution shows that most respondents fall within the 31–40 years range (38%), followed by 41–50 years (27%), below 30 years (22%), and above 50 years (13%). Regarding educational qualifications, 42% are undergraduates, 33% postgraduates, 15% hold diplomas, and 10% have other certifications like ITI.

In terms of occupation sectors, manufacturing (26%) and small and medium enterprises (24%) represent the largest groups, followed by port and logistics (20%), fisheries/marine processing (18%), and renewable energy (12%). Experience levels are varied, with most respondents having between 5 to 10 years (35%), followed by below 5 years (30%), 11–15 years (20%), and above 15 years (15%).

Designation-wise, 40% of respondents are at the executive or staff level, 38% in middle management, and 22% in senior management roles. Most respondents work in private organisations (65%), with public sector (20%), government-aided (10%), and NGO/social enterprises (5%) making up the rest.

Regarding training on agile practices, nearly half (48%) have received training, while 52% have not. The workplace digital maturity varies, with 45% working in moderately digitized environments, 32% in highly digitized settings, and 23% in low digitization contexts.

Table no: 2
Garrett Ranking - Benefits of Agile Leadership

Statement	Total Garrett Score	Mean Garrett Score	Rank
Collaboration	656	65.6	1
Learning Culture	608	60.8	2
Customer Focus	560	56.0	3
Quick Decision-Making	524	52.4	4
Innovation	452	45.2	5

The Garrett Ranking analysis reveals that among the various roles of agile leadership, collaboration emerged as the top priority with the highest mean score, indicating that respondents value teamwork and cross-functional cooperation as essential components for successful agile transformation. This is closely followed by learning culture, suggesting that



continuous learning, adaptability, and knowledge sharing are critical for navigating the complexities of digital change. Customer focus also holds significant importance, reflecting the need for agile leaders to align strategies with evolving customer expectations. Quick decision-making is moderately valued, showing that while speed is important, it may not be as crucial as fostering collaboration or a learning environment. Interestingly, innovation received the lowest score, indicating that respondents may view it as a natural outcome of effective collaboration and learning rather than a standalone priority. Overall, the findings emphasize that agile leadership is most impactful when it focuses on building a collaborative and knowledge-driven culture within organizations.

Table no: 3
Chi-Square Analysis
Association Between Demographic Factors and Agile Leadership Challenges

Demographic Variable	Chi-square Value	Degrees of Freedom	p-	Significant?
	(χ^2)	(df)	value	
Gender	2.54	1	0.110	No
Age Group	10.61	2	0.005	Yes
Educational	6.73	2	0.034	Yes
Qualification				
Years of Experience	8.21	2	0.016	Yes

The Chi-square analysis reveals notable associations between certain demographic variables and the challenges faced by leaders in applying agile leadership practices. Gender was found to have no significant influence, indicating that both male and female leaders experience similar levels of difficulty in adopting agile approaches. However, age was a significant factor; younger leaders appeared to face more implementation challenges compared to their older counterparts, possibly due to limited managerial experience. Educational qualification also showed a significant relationship, suggesting that leaders with higher levels of education are better equipped to understand and apply agile principles effectively. Additionally, years of experience was a crucial factor—those with more professional experience encountered fewer obstacles in agile implementation, highlighting the value of practical knowledge and maturity in leadership roles. These insights underscore the importance of tailoring agile leadership training and support based on demographic characteristics to enhance its successful adoption.

Table no:4
Factor Analysis
Benefits of Agile Leadership

Factor	Variables Loaded	Eigenvalue	% of
			Variance
Performance	Employee autonomy, quick decision-	3.42	28.5%
Empowerment	making, role clarity		
Team Agility	Collaboration, adaptability, continuous	2.65	22.1%
	feedback		
Organisational	Process innovation, digital readiness,	1.88	15.6%
Innovation	learning culture		
Growth Orientation	Goal alignment, performance	1.25	10.4%
	measurement, leadership trust		

The factor analysis reveals that the benefits of agile leadership on employee performance and organizational growth can be grouped into four major factors. The first and



most influential is Performance Empowerment, which indicates that agile leadership significantly enhances employee autonomy, facilitates quick decision-making, and improves role clarity—leading to higher individual performance. The second factor, Team Agility, shows that agile leadership promotes team collaboration, adaptability, and continuous feedback, which are crucial for team success in a dynamic environment. The third factor, Organizational Innovation, emphasizes that agile leaders nurture a learning-oriented culture, encourage process innovation, and prepare organizations for digital transformation. Lastly, Growth Orientation shows how agile leadership ensures clear goal alignment, reliable performance tracking, and builds trust in leadership—essential for sustainable growth. Together, these factors explain a significant portion of the variance, demonstrating the holistic impact of agile leadership on both people and business outcomes.

Table no: 5
ANOVA - Demographic Influence on Agile Leadership Outcomes"

Demographic Variable	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F- value	p- value	Significant (Yes/No)
Gender	12.45	12.45	2.35	0.130	No
Age Group	45.67	15.22	5.12	0.002	Yes
Educational	38.90	12.97	4.10	0.008	Yes
Qualification					
Years of Experience	29.34	9.78	3.05	0.030	Yes

The ANOVA analysis shows that demographic variables such as age group, educational qualification, and years of experience have a significant impact on the relationship between agile leadership and employee performance as well as organisational growth. This means that differences in these groups affect how agile leadership influences outcomes in the workplace. On the other hand, gender does not have a statistically significant effect, indicating that male and female employees experience similar impacts of agile leadership on performance and organisational growth. Overall, these findings suggest that organisations should consider demographic factors when implementing agile leadership to maximise its positive effects.

Suggestions for the study

- Provide regular training programs to develop agile leadership skills, especially for younger and less experienced leaders.
- Foster a culture of continuous learning and open communication to reduce resistance to change.
- Encourage teamwork and collaboration through clear role definitions and responsibilities.
- Customize agile practices according to the specific needs of different industries or organisations.
- Implement regular feedback systems to monitor progress and make timely improvements.
- Support the adoption of digital tools and technologies to facilitate agile processes.
- Conduct further research with larger and more diverse samples to enhance generalisability.

Conclusion

Agile leadership plays a vital role in helping organisations successfully navigate digital transformation by fostering collaboration, adaptability, and continuous learning. This study highlights how agile leadership positively impacts employee performance and organisational growth, especially when leaders empower their teams and maintain a

LEX LOCALIS-JOURNAL OF LOCAL SELF-GOVERNMENT ISSN:1581-5374 E-ISSN:1855-363X VOL. 23, NO. S6(2025)



customer-focused approach. Despite some challenges related to resistance and training, embracing agile practices can lead to more innovative, responsive, and resilient organisations. Therefore, organisations should invest in building agile capabilities across all levels to ensure sustainable growth and competitive advantage in today's fast-changing business environment.

References

- ➤ Jeedigunta, N. (2023). The role of digital leadership in agile transformation: Evidence from Indian IT firms. Journal of Strategic Management Research, 15(2), 45–59.
- ➤ Kumar, R., & Mehta, P. (2024). *Agile leadership and digital innovation: A study among Indian tech start-ups*. South Asian Journal of Business and Management, 18(1), 25–40.
- ➤ Sharma, R. (2023). Cultural shifts in agile transformation: The role of leadership behavior in Indian MNCs. Indian Journal of Human Resource Development, 21(3), 78–92.
- ➤ Verma, A., & Srinivasan, K. (2025). Agile leadership in public sector digital transformation: A case study from India. Journal of Public Sector Innovation, 10(1), 34–50.
- Nair, S., & Thomas, L. (2024). *Agile leadership practices and digital readiness in Indian banking institutions*. Journal of Contemporary Management Studies, 12(2), 60–75.
- ➤ Balan, R., & Singh, M. (2023). Agile leadership practices and their impact on organizational agility: A study in Indian manufacturing firms. *International Journal of Management Studies*, 11(2), 56-72.
- ➤ Chaudhary, A., & Patel, S. (2024). Employee engagement through agile leadership: Evidence from Indian IT sector. *Asian Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 8(1), 34-50.
- ➤ Desai, V., & Narayanan, P. (2023). Digital readiness and leadership agility: Key drivers of transformation in Indian educational institutions. *Journal of Educational Management*, 20(3), 120-136.
- ➤ Gupta, K., & Rajan, S. (2024). Fostering innovation through agile leadership in Indian start-ups: Challenges and opportunities. *Entrepreneurship and Innovation Review*, 14(1), 88-104.
- ➤ Singh, J., & Meena, R. (2023). Role of agile leadership in enhancing organizational resilience during digital disruption. *Journal of Business Continuity & Risk Management*, 7(2), 65-81.