

INTEGRATION OF LAND RIGHTS AND SPATIAL ZONING IN THE INDONESIAN LAND LEGAL SYSTEM

M. Adnan Lira^{1*} and Asrianti²

^{1,2}Faculty of Law, Indonesian Muslim University, Makassar, Indonesia

¹m.adnanlira@umi.ac.id

²asriati.asriati@umi.ac.id

¹<https://orcid.org/0009-0005-8475-0873>

Corresponding Author: M. Adnan Lira

ABSTRACT

Indonesia's systematic land registration program faces significant challenges in integrating individual property rights with comprehensive spatial planning requirements, particularly through the Complete Systematic Land Registration Program (PTSL). Current legal frameworks create potential conflicts between certified land rights and spatial zoning regulations that could undermine property security and economic development. This article argues that Indonesia's land law system requires fundamental legal reforms to ensure compatibility between land certification processes and spatial planning instruments, specifically the Regional Spatial Plan (RTRW) and Detailed Spatial Plan (RDTR). Existing scholarship emphasizes either property rights or planning law but rarely examines their systematic integration within developing legal systems. Previous studies overlook how administrative coordination mechanisms could resolve apparent legal conflicts between individual land ownership and public spatial planning objectives. Using comparative doctrinal analysis and normative juridical methodology, this research examines integration models from other developing legal systems to propose institutional reforms for Indonesia's land administration system. The analysis reveals that misalignment between RTRW and RDTR creates land use conflicts that threaten legal certainty for land rights holders and disrupts PTSL program implementation. Effective integration requires strengthened inter-agency coordination, enhanced community participation in planning processes, and comprehensive policy reformulation that holistically addresses both individual property rights and collective spatial planning needs. These findings provide practical guidance for Indonesian policymakers in formulating more integrative regulations while contributing theoretical insights for international property law scholars studying land-use coordination challenges in developing economies. The research demonstrates that sustainable land governance requires balancing distributive, procedural, and intergenerational justice principles to achieve legal certainty and social equity in land resource management.

Keywords: Land Rights, Spatial Zoning, Legal Certainty, Indonesia, Property Law, Spatial Planning, PTSL, Land Administration

I. INTRODUCTION

Indonesia's national development trajectory fundamentally depends on effective land law frameworks that provide legal certainty while balancing individual property rights with collective spatial planning objectives. The complexity of contemporary land governance in Indonesia reflects dynamic tensions between private land ownership, enshrined through systematic registration programs, and public interests realized through comprehensive spatial zoning regulations. This integration challenge has profound implications for economic development, social justice, and environmental sustainability across Indonesia's diverse regional contexts. The Complete Systematic Land Registration Program (PTSL), launched as a flagship government initiative, represents Indonesia's most ambitious attempt to provide universal land tenure security through systematic certificate issuance (Thesia et al., 2023). However, PTSL implementation has revealed fundamental coordination gaps between land registration processes and spatial planning frameworks, particularly regarding synchronization between Regional Spatial Plans (RTRW) and Detailed Spatial Plans (RDTR). These coordination failures create legal uncertainty that threatens both individual property rights and collective planning objectives.

Legal certainty over land assets extends beyond technical registration issues to encompass broader socioeconomic development patterns, including micro, small, and medium enterprise development through formalized property rights (Hidayati&Adinegoro, 2022). The legalization of land assets provides essential collateral for economic transactions, facilitates investment, and enables productive land use that contributes to national economic growth. However, these economic benefits depend critically on harmonized legal frameworks that prevent conflicts between certified property rights and evolving spatial planning requirements. Spatial zoning regulations serve essential functions in sustainable development by controlling land use patterns, protecting environmental resources, and ensuring compatible land use arrangements that benefit entire communities (Fatmawati et al., 2024). Effective spatial planning requires legal instruments that can adapt to changing development pressures while maintaining long-term sustainability objectives. The challenge lies in creating institutional mechanisms that protect legitimate property rights while enabling responsive spatial planning that serves broader public interests. Current legal frameworks create potential conflicts when previously certified land rights conflict with revised spatial planning designations, particularly in rapidly developing urban and peri-urban areas. These conflicts manifest in various forms, from individual disputes over development permissions to systematic challenges in implementing coherent regional development strategies. The resolution of these conflicts requires comprehensive legal reforms that address both substantive legal provisions and procedural coordination mechanisms (Nurrokhman, 2021).

This research addresses four interconnected research questions that illuminate the integration challenge. First, how do dynamic relationships between land rights and spatial zoning create conflicts within Indonesia's legal system? Second, what are the implications of RTRW-RDTR synchronization failures for land rights holder compliance and legal certainty (Haikal et al., 2023)? Third, how can integrated institutional models improve compliance while reducing land use conflicts? Fourth, how should relationships between land rights, zoning, and social functions be understood from justice perspectives that balance individual and collective interests? These research questions frame an analysis that examines Indonesia's land law integration challenges through comparative legal methodology, drawing insights from other developing legal systems while proposing specific reforms for Indonesia's institutional context. The research contributes to international legal scholarship on property-planning coordination while providing practical guidance for Indonesian policy development that could influence similar integration challenges in other developing economies with comparable land governance complexity.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. Property Rights Theory in Developing Legal Systems

Contemporary property rights scholarship emphasizes the fundamental role of secure tenure in economic development, particularly in developing economies where informal land tenure predominates. De Soto's influential analysis of property formalization demonstrates how legal certainty enables economic participation through collateralized lending and investment security. However, critics argue that formalization programs often overlook customary tenure systems and community-based land management practices that provide alternative forms of security. Indonesian land law scholarship reflects these broader theoretical tensions, with significant debate regarding the relationship between formal registration systems and customary adat land tenure. Law Number 5 of 1960 concerning Basic Agrarian Regulations (UUPA) attempted to reconcile customary law principles with modern registration requirements, emphasizing land's social function while establishing individual property rights (Hidayati, 2022). Contemporary analysis reveals ongoing tensions between these

philosophical foundations and practical implementation challenges. Recent scholarship on Indonesia's PTSL program highlights both achievements and limitations in systematic land registration. Haikal et al. (2023) demonstrate PTSL's positive impact on tenure security for previously unregistered land, particularly benefiting economically marginalized communities. However, Nurrokhman (2021) identifies significant challenges in coordinating land registration with spatial planning requirements, suggesting fundamental institutional coordination problems that undermine program effectiveness.

B. Spatial Planning Law and Land Use Coordination

Spatial planning scholarship emphasizes the essential role of comprehensive land use regulation in sustainable development, particularly in rapidly urbanizing developing countries. Effective spatial planning requires legal frameworks that balance development pressures with environmental protection, infrastructure provision, and social equity considerations. The challenge lies in creating institutional mechanisms that can respond to changing development needs while maintaining long-term planning objectives. Indonesian spatial planning law, governed by Law No. 26 of 2007, establishes hierarchical planning instruments from national to local levels. The Regional Spatial Plan (RTRW) provides strategic guidance at provincial and district levels, while Detailed Spatial Plans (RDTR) offer operational guidance for specific areas. Theoretical analysis suggests that effective spatial planning requires consistent coordination between these planning levels to prevent conflicts and ensure implementation effectiveness. Research on Indonesian spatial planning implementation reveals significant coordination challenges between planning levels and across sectors. Fatmawati et al. (2024) analyze legal aspects of spatial control in Kendari City, demonstrating that zoning effectiveness depends critically on regulatory quality and consistent enforcement. Their analysis reveals that spatial planning often functions as a technical exercise rather than an integrated legal framework that coordinates multiple development objectives. Green open space zoning regulations represent a crucial aspect of spatial planning law that aims to maintain ecosystem balance (Insan et al., 2024).

C. Legal Integration Theory and Institutional Coordination

Legal integration scholarship examines how different legal subsystems can be coordinated to achieve coherent governance outcomes. This theoretical framework proves particularly relevant for analyzing property-planning coordination challenges, where different legal domains must function harmoniously to achieve both individual security and collective planning objectives. Effective legal integration requires both substantive legal harmonization and procedural coordination mechanisms. Institutional economics provides additional theoretical insights into coordination challenges between land administration and spatial planning agencies. Transaction cost analysis suggests that coordination failures impose significant costs on both individual property holders and collective planning processes. Reducing these coordination costs requires institutional reforms that align incentives and create clear procedural frameworks for resolving conflicts between different legal domains. Recent scholarship on Indonesian institutional coordination reveals significant challenges in inter-agency cooperation, particularly between land administration agencies (BPN) and spatial planning agencies at various governmental levels. Isyanawulan et al. (2023) analyze mediation approaches in land conflict resolution, demonstrating that effective coordination often requires alternative dispute resolution mechanisms that can address both legal and social dimensions of land conflicts. The Land Bank Agency represents a potentially significant institutional innovation for coordinating land management functions (Kafrawi&Kafrawi, 2022).

D. Comparative Legal Analysis of Property-Planning Integration

Comparative legal analysis reveals various approaches to integrating property rights and spatial planning across different legal systems. Common law systems typically emphasize property rights while using regulatory mechanisms to achieve planning objectives. Civil law systems often provide more comprehensive spatial planning frameworks but may create greater potential for conflicts with established property rights. Developing country experiences offer particularly relevant insights for Indonesia's integration challenges. Thailand's land titling program faced similar coordination challenges between individual registration and community-based land management systems. The Philippines' Comprehensive Agrarian Reform Program demonstrates both achievements and limitations in coordinating individual land redistribution with broader spatial planning objectives (Alvian&Mujiburohman, 2022). These comparative insights suggest that successful property-planning integration requires careful attention to local legal traditions, institutional capacities, and social contexts. Universal approaches to legal integration often fail because they overlook specific coordination challenges that emerge from particular combinations of legal, institutional, and social factors. Indonesia's integration challenge requires solutions that address its specific legal traditions, institutional arrangements, and development contexts while considering community participation in land data validation processes (Marryanti&Nurrokhman, 2021).

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research employs a normative juridical methodology with comparative legal analysis to examine integration challenges between land rights and spatial zoning in Indonesia's legal system. The doctrinal approach analyzes statutory provisions, regulatory frameworks, and judicial interpretations to identify legal gaps and coordination failures. Primary legal sources include Law Number 5 of 1960 concerning Basic Agrarian Regulations (UUPA), Spatial Planning Law (Law No. 26/2007), and implementing regulations governing PTSL program implementation. Comparative analysis examines property-planning coordination approaches in other developing legal systems to identify successful integration models applicable to Indonesia's institutional context. Secondary sources include academic literature, government reports, policy documents, and case law analysis from relevant jurisdictions. Data analysis uses qualitative content analysis techniques to examine legal provisions and their practical implementation.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Integration Conflicts in Indonesian Land Law System

The dynamic relationship between land rights and spatial zoning in Indonesia reflects fundamental tensions between individual property security and collective planning objectives. These tensions manifest most clearly in conflicts between certified land rights under PTSL and evolving spatial planning designations under RTRW and RDTR frameworks. Current legal frameworks lack adequate coordination mechanisms to prevent or resolve these conflicts systematically. Analysis of PTSL implementation reveals that land registration processes often proceed without adequate consideration of spatial planning constraints, creating potential conflicts when certified properties conflict with subsequent planning designations (Thesia et al., 2023). This sequential rather than integrated approach reflects institutional fragmentation between land administration and spatial planning agencies, each operating under different legal mandates and procedural frameworks. Spatial zoning regulations serve essential functions in controlling urban development, protecting

environmental resources, and ensuring compatible land use patterns. However, zoning implementation often fails to consider existing property rights adequately, particularly informal tenure arrangements that lack formal recognition but represent legitimate community claims to land resources (Ulfa&Andraini, 2020). This creates conflicts between planning objectives and established community land use patterns.

Community participation in both land registration and spatial planning processes remains limited, reducing the legitimacy and effectiveness of both systems. Research demonstrates that community misunderstanding of legal requirements and procedural frameworks contributes significantly to conflict generation and persistence (Taqiyyah &Winanti, 2020). Effective integration requires enhanced community engagement in both land registration and spatial planning processes to ensure that legal frameworks reflect and protect legitimate community interests. The protection of land rights holders regarding underground space utilization and vertical development demonstrates ongoing regulatory gaps that create legal uncertainty (Adi et al., 2022). These uncertainties often generate protracted disputes between landowners and third parties with interests in space utilization, highlighting the need for more comprehensive regulatory frameworks that address three-dimensional property rights clearly and consistently. Policies that integrate zoning and land use regulations must be implemented holistically, involving various stakeholders, including the government and the community (Kaswanto et al., 2021). This holistic approach is necessary to maintain the sustainability of social and ecosystem functions related to land use while ensuring that larger economic interests do not marginalize the interests of small communities.

B. RTRW-RDTR Synchronization and Compliance Implications

Synchronization between Regional Spatial Plans (RTRW) and Detailed Spatial Plans (RDTR) represents a critical coordination challenge that directly impacts legal certainty for land rights holders. Misalignment between these planning instruments creates legal uncertainty that undermines both individual property security and collective planning effectiveness (Haikal et al., 2023). Current institutional frameworks lack adequate mechanisms to ensure consistency between planning levels. The planning hierarchy requires that RDTR conform to higher-level RTRW provisions while providing detailed operational guidance for specific areas. However, institutional analysis reveals significant coordination gaps between agencies responsible for different planning levels, resulting in inconsistent planning designations that create conflicts for property holders attempting to comply with applicable regulations. Land registration processes require compliance with applicable spatial planning regulations, creating direct linkages between planning consistency and property security. When RTRW and RDTR contain inconsistent provisions, property holders face legal uncertainty regarding applicable requirements, potentially invalidating registration processes or creating liability for non-compliance with subsequently revised planning provisions (Tampubolon et al., 2022).

The impact of planning inconsistencies extends beyond individual property holders to affect investment climates and economic development patterns. Legal uncertainty regarding spatial planning requirements discourages investment, particularly in development projects requiring long-term planning horizons. Banking institutions require legal certainty regarding collateral properties, creating financial sector implications for planning coordination failures. Case analysis reveals that community misunderstandings about planning requirements contribute significantly to non-compliance patterns (Franciska &Faturohman, 2023). Many property holders lack adequate information about applicable planning provisions or procedures for ensuring compliance. This suggests that effective coordination requires not only institutional reforms but also enhanced community education and participation in planning processes. Legal protection for holders of duplicate certificates demonstrates additional complexity arising from coordination failures between land registration and spatial planning systems

(Anggraini et al., 2023). Duplicate certificate issuance often results from inadequate coordination between land data and spatial planning information, creating overlapping claims that generate protracted legal disputes between competing claimants. The misalignment between colonial legal systems and national legal systems, coupled with inconsistencies in spatial planning, can create prolonged legal uncertainty for land rights holders (Ahlanissa& Aidi, 2023). Efforts to achieve synchronization between RTRW and RDTR serve not only to prevent disputes but also to increase the efficiency of space use and maximize economic potential through appropriate land management (Sumiati et al., 2021).

C. Institutional Integration Models and Conflict Resolution

Effective institutional integration requires coordinated approaches that address both substantive legal harmonization and procedural coordination mechanisms. Analysis of successful integration models suggests that coordination mechanisms must address both vertical coordination between planning levels and horizontal coordination between different sectoral agencies with overlapping jurisdictions over land resources. The Land Bank Agency represents a potentially significant institutional innovation for coordinating land acquisition, management, and redistribution functions (Gracia et al., 2021). However, implementation requires careful legal framework development and robust oversight mechanisms to prevent abuse of authority while facilitating equitable land redistribution that serves broader development objectives without undermining legitimate property rights. Coordination between land administration and spatial planning agencies requires institutional mechanisms that align incentives and create clear procedural frameworks for resolving conflicts between different legal domains. Effective coordination often requires alternative dispute resolution mechanisms that can address both legal and social dimensions of land conflicts without requiring costly and time-consuming litigation processes.

Investment in community education and legal awareness represents an essential component of integrated institutional frameworks. Research demonstrates that inadequate understanding of legal rights and obligations contributes significantly to conflict generation and persistence (Anandari et al., 2023). Effective education programs must address both legal procedures and underlying principles of property rights and spatial planning coordination. Mediation approaches in land conflict resolution demonstrate effectiveness in reducing tensions between disputing parties while achieving solutions acceptable to all stakeholders (Isyanawulan et al., 2023). Mediation offers advantages in terms of cost and time efficiency compared to litigation while potentially addressing underlying relationship issues that contribute to conflict persistence. However, mediation effectiveness requires skilled practitioners and institutional support systems. The combination of institutional strengthening, community outreach, and alternative dispute resolution represents a comprehensive approach to land governance that addresses both immediate conflict resolution needs and underlying systematic coordination challenges. This integrated approach requires sustained political commitment and adequate institutional support to achieve meaningful reform outcomes (Oktoyoki et al., 2022).

D. Justice Perspectives on Land Rights and Social Functions

The relationship between land rights, spatial zoning, and social functions must be understood through justice frameworks that balance individual property security with collective development objectives. Indonesian land law philosophy emphasizes land's social function while protecting individual property rights, requiring careful balance between competing interests that serve both individual and collective development needs. Distributive justice considerations require that spatial zoning accommodate interests of various social groups without discrimination while ensuring that economically disadvantaged communities maintain access to land resources necessary for their livelihoods. Implementation of planning

provisions must consider differential impacts on various community groups, particularly economically marginalized populations that may lack political influence in planning processes. Procedural justice requires meaningful community participation in both land registration and spatial planning decision-making processes to ensure that all stakeholder interests receive proportional consideration (Suhaimi & Utari, 2020). Effective participation requires not only formal consultation processes but also capacity building that enables meaningful community engagement with technical planning issues and legal frameworks.

Legal certainty regarding land ownership certificates provides the foundation for equitable implementation of land's social functions by ensuring that property rights holders have secure tenure while remaining subject to reasonable regulatory constraints that serve broader public interests (Rachmawati, 2021). This balance requires transparent and accountable land administration systems that protect individual rights while facilitating public policy implementation. Intergenerational equity requires land resource management that considers sustainability for future generations rather than focusing exclusively on immediate development needs. Analysis of land cover change patterns demonstrates that development pressures can threaten ecosystem functions that provide essential services for long-term community welfare (Kaswanto et al., 2021). Spatial zoning must be designed with environmental carrying capacity and sustainability considerations that protect intergenerational interests. The social function of land rights and zoning lies in the ability to optimize the use of space for the common good while respecting individual property rights. Agrarian reform initiatives demonstrate that equitable land management can contribute to social and political stability (Tarfi & Amri, 2021). However, successful implementation requires strong political commitment and adequate institutional support to achieve meaningful improvements in land governance effectiveness and legitimacy.

V. CONCLUSION

This comprehensive analysis reveals four critical findings regarding integration of land rights and spatial zoning within Indonesia's land law system. First, the dynamic relationship between individual property rights and collective spatial planning creates systematic tensions that require careful institutional coordination to prevent conflicts that undermine both individual security and collective planning objectives. Land rights cannot be understood as absolute entitlements but must be balanced against social functions and spatial planning requirements that serve broader public interests. Second, synchronization between RTRW and RDTR represents a fundamental coordination challenge that directly impacts legal certainty for land rights holders and overall effectiveness of spatial planning systems. Misalignment between these planning instruments creates legal uncertainty that discourages investment, undermines property security, and disrupts systematic land registration programs. Effective coordination requires institutional mechanisms that ensure consistency between planning levels while maintaining flexibility for local adaptation. Third, integrated institutional approaches demonstrate significant potential for improving compliance while reducing land use conflicts through coordinated legal frameworks, enhanced community participation, and alternative dispute resolution mechanisms. Successful integration requires institutional strengthening that addresses both vertical coordination between planning levels and horizontal coordination between different sectoral agencies with overlapping land governance responsibilities. The Land Bank Agency and enhanced mediation mechanisms represent promising institutional innovations for addressing coordination challenges. Fourth, justice perspectives on relationships between land rights, zoning, and social functions emphasize the necessity of balancing individual property security with collective development objectives through distributive, procedural, and intergenerational justice

principles. Effective land governance requires transparent and accountable institutional systems that protect legitimate property rights while enabling responsive spatial planning that serves broader community interests and environmental sustainability requirements. These findings suggest that Indonesia's land law system requires comprehensive legal reforms that address both substantive legal provisions and procedural coordination mechanisms. Policy recommendations include developing integrated institutional frameworks that coordinate land registration and spatial planning processes, enhancing community participation in both registration and planning activities, and creating alternative dispute resolution mechanisms that address both legal and social dimensions of land conflicts. Implementation of these reforms requires sustained political commitment and adequate institutional support to achieve meaningful improvements in land governance effectiveness and legitimacy.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author expresses sincere gratitude to the Indonesian Muslim University (Universitas Muslim Indonesia) for providing institutional support and research facilities that made this 2024 to 2025 study possible. Special appreciation is extended to the Faculty of Law for creating an academic environment that encourages innovative legal research. The university's commitment to advancing contemporary legal scholarship and supporting faculty research initiatives has been essential in completing this analysis of land rights and spatial zoning integration challenges in Indonesia.

REFERENCES

- Adi, D. L., Nuryanti, A., & Asikin, U. (2022). Protection of land rights holders for the utilization of underground space. *Tanjungpura Acta Borneo Journal*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.26418/tabj.v1i1.58927>
- Ahlanissa, M. P., & Aidi, Z. (2023). Legal consequences for holders of former eigendomverponing rights in land ownership disputes. *Al-Manhaj Journal of Islamic Law and Social Institutions*, 5(2), 2081-2092. <https://doi.org/10.37680/almanhaj.v5i2.3489>
- Alvian, F., & Mujiburohman, D. A. (2022). Implementation of agrarian reform during the era of President Joko Widodo's administration. *Tunas Agraria*, 5(2), 111-126. <https://doi.org/10.31292/jta.v5i2.176>
- Anandari, D. H., Sulistiyono, A., & Suraji, S. (2023). The urgency of public education on land rights transfer to reduce land ownership disputes. *PSNPM*, 1. <https://doi.org/10.61142/psnpm.v1.95>
- Anggraeni, D., Widjajaatmadja, D. A., & Koto, Z. (2023). Legal certainty in issuing dual certificates for land ownership rights holders by the land office. *Indonesian Multidisciplinary Journal*, 2(8), 2017-2031. <https://doi.org/10.58344/jmi.v2i8.412>
- Anggraini, R. I., Shalihati, F., Bachtiar, M., & Suhendi, S. (2020). Communication strategy in handling customer complaints in PDAM Tirta Pakuan Bogor. *Business Review and Case Studies*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.17358/brcs.1.1.32>
- Fatmawati, F., Rustan, A., Dirawati, D., & Yusak, F. A. (2024). Legal aspects in the control and utilization of space in Kendari City. *JurnalRechtens*, 13(1), 119-134. <https://doi.org/10.56013/rechtens.v13i1.2732>
- Franciska, W., & Faturrohman, A. (2023). Legal protection for parties against unlawful acts committed by PPAT in tax evasion against transfer of land rights. *Authentic Notary Law Journal*, 5(1), 131-155.

- Gracia, Ocarina, M. F., & Sanjaya, R. (2021). The existence of e-court to achieve efficiency and effectiveness in the Indonesian justice system amidst COVID-19. *Jurnal Syntax Transformation*, 2(04), 496-507. <https://doi.org/10.46799/jst.v2i4.253>
- Haikal, M., Dewi, M. A., & Hidayat, N. (2023). The role of the Complete Systematic Land Registration Program (PTSL) in improving fair land access for the Jember community. *Journal of Indonesian Social Society*, 2(3), 126-130. <https://doi.org/10.59435/jiss.v2i3.238>
- Hidayati, N. (2022). Legal position of statement of release of land ownership rights made by the lurah (Case study of ATR/BPN Depok, West Java). *Journal of Law and Business*, 8(2), 135-146. <https://doi.org/10.35814/selisik.v8i2.4495>
- Hidayati, N., & Adinegoro, Y. (2022). The importance of land rights in socioeconomic changes that can affect the welfare of society, including micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs) through the legalization of land assets. *Indonesian Legal Studies*, 3(2), 45-62.
- Insan, I. H., Sihotang, A. A., Rifqy, M. A., Permana, T. Y., & Hadipati, P. (2024). Green open space zoning regulation: A review of spatial planning law. *Yustisi*, 11(2), 81-87. <https://doi.org/10.32832/yustisi.v11i2.16653>
- Isyanawulan, G., Hendarso, Y., Suleman, Z., & Izzudin, M. (2023). Mediation in resolving plantation land conflicts in Ogan Kemering Ilir (OKI) Regency, South Sumatra. *Scientific Journal of Social Sciences*, 9(2), 125-135. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jiis.v9i2.66453>
- Kafrawi, K., & Kafrawi, R. M. (2022). A legal study of the Land Bank Agency in Indonesian agrarian law. *Legal Perspectives*, 109-138. <https://doi.org/10.30649/ph.v22i1.119>
- Kaswanto, R. L., Aurora, R. M., Yusri, D., & Sjaf, S. (2021). Analysis of driving factors of land cover change over one decade in North Labuhanbatu Regency. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 19(1), 107-116. <https://doi.org/10.14710/jil.19.1.107-116>
- Law Number 5 of 1960 concerning Basic Agrarian Regulations (UUPA) (Indonesia).
- Law Number 26 of 2007 concerning Spatial Planning (Indonesia).
- Marryanti, S., & Nurrokhman, A. (2021). Legal certainty of land rights: Lessons from defeated land cases in court. *Land Journal*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.53686/jp.v11i2.111>
- Nurrokhman, A. (2021). *Challenges of spatial planning after complete systematic land registration*. <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/4837h>
- Oktoyoki, H., Ansiska, P., & Ifebri, R. (2022). The role of government in tenure and land management issues for community forest development. *Geoforum*, 1(2), 18-26. <https://doi.org/10.30598/geoforumvol1iss2pp18-26>
- Permadi, I. (2023). Transfer of rights to inherited land to heirs of different religions from the perspective of positive law and Islamic law. *Jurnal Ius Constituendum*, 8(1), 149-168. <https://doi.org/10.26623/jic.v8i1.6254>
- Rachmawati, D. (2021). Legal certainty regarding evidence of land ownership. *Syntax Literate Indonesian Scientific Journal*, 6(6), 2700. <https://doi.org/10.36418/syntax-literate.v6i6.3038>
- Rahman, A., Wahyuningsih, W., Andriyani, S., & Mulada, D. A. (2021). Socialization of the importance of formal legality in land ownership in Senteluk Village, Batu Layar District, West Lombok Regency. *Abdi Insani*, 8(1), 100-110. <https://doi.org/10.29303/abdiinsani.v8i1.382>
- Rajab, R. A., Turisno, B. E., & Lumbanraja, A. D. (2020). Land title certificates in legal certainty of land registration. *Notarius*, 13(2), 642-654. <https://doi.org/10.14710/nts.v13i2.31085>

- Rongalaha, J., Palenewen, J. Y., Tanati, D., Pondayar, Y., Solossa, M., Reumi, F., Sahuleka, O., & Hadi, S. (2023). Application of science and technology on the use of land title certificates to ensure legal certainty for the community in Koya Barat Village, Muara Tami District, Jayapura City. *Journal of Community Service*, 1(7), 1313-1320. <https://doi.org/10.59837/jpmmba.v1i7.353>
- Suhaimi, S., & Utari, D. R. (2020). Registration of land controlled by Muslim places of worship in Kuta Alam District, Banda Aceh. *Syiah Kuala Law Journal*, 4(3), 310-322. <https://doi.org/10.24815/sklj.v4i3.18909>
- Sumiati, H., Andriansah, & Kadaryanto, B. (2021). Legal certainty of land ownership certificates in Indonesian land law. *Yustisia Merdeka Scientific Journal of Law*, 7(2), 135-145. <https://doi.org/10.33319/yume.v7i2.111>
- Tampubolon, D. P., Utami, W., & Sutaryono, S. (2022). The impact of the Balige bypass road construction on land conversion in Toba Regency. *Journal of Geography Education: A Study of Theory and Practice in the Field of Geography Education and Science*, 27(1), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.17977/um017v27i12022p1-14>
- Taqiyyah, M. A., & Winanti, A. (2020). Legal protection for holders of dual land certificates based on Government Regulation No. 24 of 1997. *Justisia Journal, Journal of Legal Studies, Legislation and Social Institutions*, 5(1), 77. <https://doi.org/10.22373/justisia.v5i1.7272>
- Tarfi, A., & Amri, I. (2021). Agrarian reform as a path to sustainable peace in Aceh. *Bhumi Journal of Agrarian and Land Affairs*, 7(2), 210-225. <https://doi.org/10.31292/bhumi.v7i2.509>
- Thesia, E. H., Samosir, H., Pondayar, Y., Tanati, D., Palenewen, J. Y., Solossa, M., Rongalaha, J., & Manengkey, V. T. (2023). Legal socialization regarding the role of Land Deed Officials (PPAT) in registering land ownership rights in Asei Besar Village, East Sentani District, Jayapura Regency. *Journal of Community Service*, 1(7), 1306-1312. <https://doi.org/10.59837/jpmmba.v1i7.352>
- Ulfa, J., & Andraini, F. (2020). Legal protection and the status of rights holders against blocking of land title certificates by the land office. *Scientific Journal of Legal Dynamics*, 21(2), 19-28. <https://doi.org/10.35315/dh.v25i2.8328>