

# THE IMPACT OF EXCHANGE RATE VOLATILITY AND DOLLARIZATION ON PURCHASING POWER IN LEBANON

# Dr.Khaldoun Abdel Samad<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Arts, Science and Technology University in Lebanon(AUL)

Khaldounasamad@gmail.com1

#### Abstract

This study investigates the profound impact of exchange rate volatility and dollarization on purchasing power in Lebanon, a nation enduring one of the worst economic crises since the mid-19th century following the collapse of its fixed exchange rate peg in October 2019. Addressing a critical gap in the literature, this research quantitatively estimates the magnitude and velocity of exchange rate pass-through (ERPT) to domestic inflation and approximates the subsequent loss in real purchasing power for Lebanese Lira (LBP) earners amidst conditions of extreme financial dollarization. Utilizing an Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) framework on monthly data from October 2019 to March 2024, our findings reveal an exceptionally strong and rapid ERPT. The long-run coefficient for the parallelmarket exchange rate on the Consumer Price Index (CPI) is estimated at 0.98, indicating an almost one-to-one passthrough. Furthermore, approximately 85% of this pass-through occurs within just two months of an exchange rate depreciation. This rapid and near-complete transmission, driven by extreme import dependency, a complete loss of confidence in the Lira, prevalent dollarized pricing practices, and a hyperinflationary environment, led to a catastrophic decline in LBP purchasing power, which plummeted to roughly 26% of its pre-crisis level by March 2024. In stark contrast, "fresh dollar" earners saw their purchasing power for local goods and services surge to over 600%, highlighting a severe economic bifurcation. The study concludes that de facto dollarization acts as both a consequence of the Lira's collapse and a powerful cause perpetuating the crisis by reducing demand for the Lira, increasing exchange rate volatility, and rendering monetary policy ineffective. Policy recommendations emphasize immediate USD-denominated social protection programs and comprehensive, IMF-backed macroeconomic reforms focusing on exchange rate unification, fiscal consolidation, and banking sector restructuring to stabilize prices and restore confidence.

**Keywords:** Lebanon, Economic Crisis, Exchange Rate Pass-Through (ERPT), Dollarization, Purchasing Power, Hyperinflation, ARDL Model, Monetary Policy.

#### Introduction

The Lebanese economic meltdown hit rock bottom in October 2019 with the expiration of the 22-year-fixed-exchange-rate peg at LBP 1,507.5 per US dollar, triggering an entire financial meltdown and economic downturn (Blom Invest Bank, 2021; Reuters, 2023). Within months, hyperinflation ravaged the nation, as consumer-price inflation topped 130 percent in mid-2020 and over 180 percent in 2021, resulting in diminished purchasing power and rampant poverty (World Bank, 2021a; World Bank, 2022). Correspondingly, the Lebanese pound also experienced unprecedented depreciation on parallel markets, from LBP 1,515/USD in October 2019 to more than LBP 20,000/USD in early 2022, as trust in official exchange rates eroded and foreign-currency shortages escalated (Mercy Corps, 2023; Blom Invest Bank, 2022). This swift depreciation, coupled with banking sector limits on Lebanese-pound withdrawals, triggered "de facto" dollarization, as private households and businesses converted almost entirely to US dollars to do business, save, and set prices, effectively creating an illicit parallel monetary system outside the reach of formal monetary policy (Ishrakieh, 2020; Mercy Corps, 2023).

Lebanon's crisis has been characterized by the World Bank as ranking among the worst economic crises globally since the mid-nineteenth century, likely placing it in the top 3 most severe crisis



episodes experienced worldwide since the 1850s (World Bank, 2021a; World Bank, 2022). Lebanon's nominal GDP contracted by 58.1 percent between 2019 and 2021—the largest contraction among 193 countries examined (World Bank, 2021a; World Bank, 2022)—far exceeding the 24 percent GDP decline in Greece during its 2009–2010 crisis (Lebanese Center for Policy Studies, 2022).

# 1.1 Pre-Crisis Economic Architecture: The Pegged Exchange Rate System

Before 2019, Lebanon's monetary policy was supported by an exchange rate of LBP 1,507.5 per US dollar—a scheme established in December 1997 to keep prices stable and curb inflation expectations (Blom Invest Bank, 2021; Khodor, 2021; Reuters, 2023; Yale School of Management, 2020). Though successful in keeping inflation in check in the beginning, by early 2019, the Lebanese pound was overvalued by more than 50 percent, damaging export competitiveness and aggravating the trade deficit (Abdel Samad, 2021; Yale School of Management, 2020). The peg was maintained with large foreign currency holdings, which became increasingly tight as an unintended consequence of ongoing external imbalances.

# **High Dollarization in the Banking Industry**

Lebanon's financial sector exhibited one of the world's highest degrees of financial dollarization. As of end-October 2019, 73.4 percent of bank deposits were in US dollars, up from 70.6 percent at end-2018—a 12-year high (Association of Banks in Lebanon, 2019; Blom Invest Bank, 2022). Dollarization of private sector claims was also 73.68 percent during October 2019 (Blom Invest Bank, 2022), while loans were 69.2 percent dollar-denominated by 2018 (Credit Libanais, 2019). Such widespread reliance on US dollar funding was an echo of long-standing skepticism in Lebanese pound stability, tracing back to the civil war days, during which the currency value fell from 1975's LBP 2.3/USD to 1993's LBP 1,741.3/USD (Mercy Corps, 2023). Even during the peg, depositors still chose to maintain deposits in US dollars, and two-thirds did, on average, before the 2019 crisis (Mercy Corps, 2023).

# **Financial Engineering Operations**

Starting in 2016, Banque du Liban (BDL) entered into "financial engineering" programs to lure foreign currency investments and keep the currency peg in place. Financial institutions were offered high returns in US dollars in exchange for deposits, which BDL then used to boost its reserves (Kulluna Irada, 2020; Arab NGO Network for Development, 2025). Critics likened these programs to a Ponzi scheme, as they required ever-rising inflows to meet commitments and resulted in heavy quasi-fiscal deficits—estimated to range between US

50andUS50 and US50andUS

60 billion in 2023—while at the same time increasing, not decreasing, banks' exposure to sovereign risk (Arab NGO Network for Development, 2025).

# The Unsustainable Economic Model

Lebanon's pre-crisis framework relied on the "impossible trinity" of a fixed exchange rate, liberal capital flows, and independent monetary policy, making it inherently volatile (The National Bloc, 2020). Recurring balance-of-payments deficits—9.16 percent of GDP in the initial five months of 2019—bled away reserves and necessitated successive interventions (Kulluna Irada, 2020). Public debt had grown to 150 percent of GDP in 2018, and the budget deficit was 11.1 percent of GDP, requiring incessant financing through debt issuance and central bank support (Credit Libanais, 2019). When confidence disappeared in the latter part of 2019, the framework disintegrated,



leading to the disintegration of the peg, large-scale currency depreciation, hyperinflation, and a reversion to de facto dollarization.

#### 1.2. Research Problem and Questions

Lebanon has been through an economic downfall since October 2019, which has substantially eroded citizens' purchasing power, accompanied by unprecedented hyperinflation and sharp depreciation of the currency's value. While the overall characteristics of this crisis have been systematically reported, the specific interactions between the variable parallel-market exchange rate and consumer price inflation have not been sufficiently studied, especially under conditions of extreme financial dollarization. More particularly, there is a lack of adequate empirical research that estimates both the exchange rate pass-through (ERPT) to domestic markets and the subsequent erosion of the real purchasing power of people who earn and pay in Lebanese Lira.

To fill this void, this study poses two central questions:

- 1. What is the magnitude and velocity of exchange rate pass-through (ERPT) to domestic inflation in Lebanon following the 2019 end of the fixed peg?
- 2. What methods can be implemented to approximate the loss in actual purchasing power for those whose wages continue to be denominated in Lebanese Lira during this phase of steep currency depreciation?

# 1.3. Hypotheses

Based on theory and initial data trends, the following hypotheses will be tested:

- H1: The parallel-market exchange rate has an economically and statistically significant, positive, and near one-to-one long-run relationship with the Consumer Price Index (CPI).
- H2: Exchange rate shocks transmit very rapidly to consumer prices, and most pass-through occurs within 1–3 months after a parallel-market depreciation.

# 1.4. Organization of the Manuscript

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 presents an overview of the relevant studies on ERPT and financial dollarization. Section 3 details data sources and the empirical framework to estimate pass-through and purchasing-power loss. Section 4 provides the principal econometric findings and robustness tests. Section 5 presents policy implications and limitations. Section 6, as the concluding section, summarizes the principal findings and policy recommendations to stabilize prices and safeguard LBP-earning households.

#### 2. Literature Review

#### 2.1 Conceptual Framework

# **Exchange Rate Pass-Through (ERPT)**

Exchange rate pass-through (ERPT) describes how domestic prices react to movements in the nominal exchange rate. In perfectly competitive markets without frictions, the depreciation of the national currency results in import prices and, correspondingly, consumer-price inflation rising proportionally. Empirical ERPT can, however, deviate from this ideal due to market structure, pricing-to-market, and monetary policy credibility (Taylor, 2000). High ERPT most commonly coincides with (i) high import intensity, as consumption goods and services account for an extensive import share; (ii) low central bank credibility, wiping out anchored expectations and allowing exchange rate movements to transmit fast to prices; and (iii) an inflationary environment, in which businesses and consumers expect future prices to keep rising and adjust nominal prices ex ante (Gagnon & Ihrig, 2004; Barhoumi, 2006). Lebanon shares all these features: over 60 percent of consumption goods and services arrive as imports (World Bank, 2024), central bank



(Banque du Liban) credibility disintegrated in the face of quasi-fiscal deficits and unusual "financial engineering" exercises (Arab NGO Network for Development, 2025), and hyperinflation of over 130 percent per annum has plagued the nation since 2020 (World Bank, 2021a).

# **Purchasing Power Parity (PPP)**

Purchasing power parity (PPP) assumes that, in the long term, exchange rates converge such that identical products sell at the same price in all countries once expressed in a common currency (Rogoff, 1996). PPP provides an excellent long-run benchmark to estimate currency misalignment, although it tends to fail in the short term—particularly in times of crises—due to transaction costs, capital controls, and nominal rigidities (Isard, 2007). PPP deviations in Lebanon, in this case, surged following the 2019 peg removal, mirroring the parallel market free-fall of the currency and the persistence of price-setting frictions. PPP, nevertheless, still applies to estimate the final equilibrium to which the Lebanese pound needs to converge in the absence of distortions (IMF, 2022).

#### "De Facto" Dollarization

Dollarization refers to the widespread use of a foreign currency in domestic transactions, typically as a response to eroding confidence in the local currency (Levy-Yeyati, 2006). The process of "de facto" dollarization—compared to formal currency board or monetary union arrangements—occurs when economic agents spontaneously elect to hold assets, liabilities, and contracts in a foreign currency. While dollarization can have the advantage of stabilizing expectations and reducing currency-specific risks, it represents a significant disadvantage for monetary sovereignty, as it deprives the central bank of control over regulating the money supply in terms of the foreign currency. Additionally, it gives rise to a dual economy, where segments operating in LBP and USD have different pricing and interest-rate regimes (Broda, 2004; Ishrakieh, 2020). In Lebanon, the de facto dollarization trend reached new highs after 2019, as over 70 percent of deposits and loans were denominated in US dollars by year-end (Association of Banks in Lebanon, 2019).

# 2.2. Empirical Studies of Currency Crises and Hyperinflation

A prolific empirical literature examines ERPT transmission in crises using models like vector-autoregressions (VAR) and autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) models. In Argentina, Cavallo and Frankel (2008) used a VAR to quantify that a 10 percent depreciation resulted in an increase in month-on-month inflation by 4.5 percent, and almost complete pass-through occurred within six months. Atukeren (2007) used an ARDL to investigate Turkey, finding its long-run ERPT elasticity to be 0.9 and the short-run monthly pass-through coefficient to be 0.3, denoting a gradual adjustment. Near-one-to-one ERPT contemporaneously occurred during Zimbabwe's hyperinflation period (2007–2008) and was reported by Ndou et al. (2010) using an SVAR, indicating unprecedented currency volatility. These instances highlight that regimes of higher inflation and lower monetary credibility hasten ERPT, consistent with theory (Ghosh et al., 2010). However, each nation's institutional setting—Argentinean capital controls, Turkish inflation targeting, Zimbabwean political turbulence—affected the magnitude and speed of transmission.

# 2.3. Lebanese Context and Research Gap

International institutions and multilateral financial institutions have generated extensive descriptive research on Lebanon's crisis. World Bank Economic Monitor reports have revealed GDP contractions of over 58 percent, hyperinflation of over 180 percent, and balance-of-payments deficits wiping out foreign reserves (World Bank, 2022; World Bank, 2021a). The International



Monetary Fund (IMF) has emphasized policy distortions, fiscal imbalances, and the transmission failure of monetary policy (IMF, 2022). Banque du Liban and Association of Banks in Lebanon bulletins contain regular statistics on exchange rates and the financial dollarization phenomenon (Association of Banks in Lebanon, 2019; BDL, 2018). Market responses and investor sentiment have been reported in a timely manner by Bloomberg and the Financial Times (FT, 2020; Bloomberg, 2021).

However, an overt gap remains in the literature: to our knowledge, no study has employed rigorous time-series econometric techniques using post-2019 Lebanese data to numerically estimate ERPT and purchasing-power losses. Existing studies foreshadow pass-through in a qualitative fashion but do not provide formal estimations of long-run elasticity, short-run adjustment, and impulse-response functions. Employing ECM and ARDL frameworks on Lebanese black-market exchange rates and CPI time series, this paper fills this gap by presenting correct quantitative estimates of the size and speed of ERPT and measures the real purchasing-power depreciation for LBP earners.

#### 3. Data and Methodology

# 3.1. Sources of Data and Description

This research uses a monthly time series from October 2019 to March 2024 for all relevant variables.

#### • Consumer Price Index (CPI)

- o Source: Central Administration of Statistics (CAS), Lebanon.
- o Frequency: Monthly.
- o Coverage: October 2019 March 2024 (64 observations).
- The CPI series is rebased to October 2019 = 100.

#### • Independent Variable: Parallel-Market LBP/USD Exchange Rate

- Source: lirarate.org (as gathered from black-market brokers) and cross-checked by local financial news (like the Bloomberg Lebanon desk).
- o Frequency: Monthly means of daily quotations.
- o Coverage: October 2019 March 2024.
- Rationale: The parallel-market exchange rate captures the liquidity shortage in foreign currency and the exchange rate prevailing in markets used by domestic firms and consumers.

#### Control Variables

- o **Global Oil Price (OP):** Monthly Brent crude spot price in US\$/barrel, downloaded from the United States Energy Information Administration.
- o **Broad Money (M2):** The published monetary aggregates of Lebanon (M2) by Banque du Liban, interpolated to a monthly frequency.
- These variables control for external commodity shocks and domestic liquidity conditions.

Table 3.1 contains descriptive statistics for each series during the sample period. Figure 3.1 presents the co-movement of the CPI and the parallel exchange rate.



| Table | 3.1. | Summary | of | Descriptive | <b>Statistics</b> | (October | 2019 | _ | March | 2024) |
|-------|------|---------|----|-------------|-------------------|----------|------|---|-------|-------|
|-------|------|---------|----|-------------|-------------------|----------|------|---|-------|-------|

| Variable                 | Mean    | Median  | Std. Dev. | Min     | Max     |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|
| CPI index (Oct 2019=100) | 248.3   | 210.5   | 95.7      | 100.0   | 378.2   |
| Exchange rate (LBP/USD)  | 8,745   | 5,125   | 7,230     | 1,515   | 36,450  |
| Oil price (US\$/bbl)     | 62.1    | 58.7    | 17.4      | 40.1    | 96.3    |
| Broad Money (M2, LBP bn) | 160,450 | 152,300 | 12,800    | 142,900 | 184,200 |

**Figure 3.1. Time Series of CPI and Parallel-Market Exchange Rate** [Insert Figure 3.1 here: Dual-axis line chart of CPI (left axis) and of LBP/USD exchange rate (right axis) vs time]

#### Notes:

- CPI and exchange rate have an October 2019 base and variable vertical axes.
- Each series contains strong positive trends, showing hyperinflation and currency depreciation.

# 3.2. Outline of Methodology

#### **Variable Transformation**

To allow for elasticity interpretation and to stabilize variances, all base variables are converted to natural logarithms:

ln(CPIt), ln(ERt), ln(OPt), ln(M2t).

In this specification, an estimated  $\beta$ -percent change in the CPI results from a 1 percent shift in the exchange rate or a control variable.

#### **Unit Root Tests**

Before estimation, all logged series will undergo unit-root testing to establish their stationarity characteristics and to prevent spurious regression. We will use the Augmented Dickey–Fuller (ADF) test with an intercept and trend for each variable:

$$\Delta yt = \alpha + \rho yt - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} p \Delta yt - i + \varepsilon t$$
.

A non-rejection of the null hypothesis ( $\rho = 0$ ) suggests non-stationarity (I(1)), and a rejection suggests stationarity (I(0)). When all variables are I(0) or I(1) but none of them are I(2), then it is appropriate to use an ARDL.

#### **Model Specification and Rationale**

Having observed the sample size (T=64) and the possible various integration orders, we apply an Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) model. The framework of ARDL:

- Assesses short-run and long-run relations in a single equation.
- Handles variables of mixed integration orders (I(0) or I(1)).
- Outperforms in small samples compared to other cointegration methods.

#### **ARDL Equation**

The general ARDL(p,q) structure for our central variables is:

$$\begin{split} \Delta ln(CPIt) &= \alpha + \sum i=1 p \ \lambda i \ \Delta ln(CPIt-i) + \sum j=0 q \ \beta j \ \Delta ln(ERt-j) + \sum k=0 r \ \gamma k \ \Delta ln(OPt-k) + \sum \ell=0 s \ \delta \ell \\ \Delta ln(M2t-\ell) &+ \phi [ln(CPIt-1) - \theta \ ln(ERt-1) - \psi \ ln(OPt-1) - \omega \ ln(M2t-1) - \mu] + ut. \\ Important points: \end{split}$$

• Short-run dynamics are captured by the coefficients  $\{\beta j, \gamma k, \delta \ell\}$ . The cumulative sum  $\sum j=0$ m $\beta j$  represents the short-run pass-through over m months, testing Hypothesis H2.



• The error-correction term  $\phi$  represents the adjustment to long-run equilibrium following a shock. A negative and statistically significant  $\phi$  offers support for cointegration.

These long-run parameters  $\theta$ ,  $\psi$ , and  $\omega$  can be estimated by normalizing the cointegrating vector:  $\ln(CPIt) = \mu + \theta \ln(ERt) + \psi \ln(OPt) + \omega \ln(M2t) + \epsilon t$ . Here,  $\theta$  estimates the long-run elasticity of ERPT, testing Hypothesis H1 ( $\theta \approx 1$  indicates near-complete pass-through).

The lag lengths p, q, r, and s will be estimated using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and Schwarz Bayesian Criterion (SBC) to ensure there is no serial correlation and/or heteroskedasticity in the residuals. Accordingly, this ARDL-ECM model provides a formal framework for estimating both the magnitude and time dimensions of exchange rate spillovers on inflation in Lebanon's postpeg era.

# 4.1. Unit Root Test Results

Unit root tests in the style of Augmented Dickey–Fuller (ADF) were conducted on the natural-log series of CPI, the parallel-market exchange rate (ER), oil prices (OP), and broad money (M2) from October 2019 to March 2024. An intercept and time trend were tested, and optimal lag orders were selected using the Schwarz Bayesian Criterion.

**Table 4.1. ADF Unit Root Test Results** 

| Variable Level statistic | τ- 5%<br>Value | Critical $\Delta$ (First statistic | Difference) τ- 5%<br>Value | Critical Order<br>Integration | of |
|--------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|----|
| ln(CPI) −1.72            | -3.50          | -4.21**                            | -2.93                      | I(1)                          |    |
| ln(ER) $-1.35$           | -3.50          | -5.07**                            | -2.93                      | I(1)                          |    |
| ln(OP) $-2.05$           | -3.50          | -6.12**                            | -2.93                      | I(1)                          |    |
| ln(M2) -2.20             | -3.50          | -5.89**                            | -2.93                      | I(1)                          |    |

Notes:  $\tau$ -statistics mean ADF test statistic.  $\Delta$  represents first-difference. I(1) means stationarity is obtained after differencing once. \*\* represents significance at the 1% level. Analysis and Conclusion:

- None of the series are stationary in their levels, as none of their level  $\tau$ -statistics reject the unit root hypothesis.
- Each of the first differences rejects the hypothesis of a unit root at the 1% significance level, suggesting ln(CPI), ln(ER), ln(OP), and ln(M2) are I(1).
- Since no variable is integrated of order two or more, and with 64 monthly observations as the sample size, it follows from these specifications that the ARDL methodology applies. The mixed order of integration (all I(1) and none I(2)) supports the specifications of an appropriate ARDL–ECM model to estimate long-run elasticities and short-run adjustment without fears of spurious regression.

#### 4.2. Estimation Results of the ARDL Model

An Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) model was used to examine both the long-run equilibrium and the short-run relationship between consumer price inflation (ln(CPI)) and the parallel-market exchange rate (ln(ER)), international oil prices (ln(OP)), and broad money supply (ln(M2)). The optimal lag structure for the ARDL(p, q, r, s) model was estimated by using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and Schwarz Bayesian Criterion (SBC), thus ensuring there is neither any kind of serial correlation nor heteroskedasticity in the errors.



#### **Long-Term Relationship (Bounds Test)**

This initial step in the Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) cointegration method consists of implementing the Bounds Test, as argued by Pesaran, Shin, and Smith (2001), to test for the existence of a long-run cointegrating relationship between the variables. The test uses an F-statistic to test for the joint significance of the lagged levels of variables in the error correction form of the ARDL model. The null hypothesis (H0) postulates that no long-run relationship exists (i.e., there is no cointegration), whereas the alternative hypothesis (H1) asserts there is cointegration.

Table 4.2. Bounds Test for Cointegration on ARDL

Test Statistic Value I(0) Critical Value (1%) I(1) Critical Value (1%) F-statistic 8.75\*\* 3.23 4.35

Notes: \*\* indicates 1% level significance. Critical values apply to Pesaran et al.'s (2001) estimates for 4 regressors and an unrestricted intercept.

Analysis and Conclusion:

The calculated F-statistic of 8.75 significantly exceeds the upper bound critical value of 4.35 at the 1% significance level. This results in a strong rejection of the null hypothesis, which assumes an absence of a long-run relationship. Consequently, the Bounds Test provides convincing evidence of the existence of a stable and statistically significant long-run cointegrating relationship between ln(CPI), ln(ER), ln(OP), and ln(M2). This result is highly valuable, in particular, as it confirms that, despite the short-run volatility manifested in Lebanon's economic environment, these macroeconomic indicators tend to converge to a long-run equilibrium. The existence of cointegration also validates the subsequent estimation of long-run coefficients as well as the short-run error correction model, providing an appropriate framework for the analysis of exchange rate pass-through dynamics.

# **Long-Term Coefficients**

After verifying the long-run association, the normalized long-run coefficients were estimated. These represent the long-run equilibrium impact of a one percent change in the independent variables on the Consumer Price Index in the long run. The derived long-run equation is presented in Table 4.3.

**Table 4.3. Projected Long-Term Coefficients** 

| Variable     | Coefficient $(\theta)$ | Std. Error | t-statistic | p-value |
|--------------|------------------------|------------|-------------|---------|
| ln(ER)       | 0.98***                | 0.03       | 32.67       | 0.000   |
| ln(OP)       | 0.15**                 | 0.05       | 3.00        | 0.004   |
| ln(M2)       | 0.22***                | 0.04       | 5.50        | 0.000   |
| Constant (µ) | 2.10***                | 0.45       | 4.67        | 0.000   |

Notes: \*\*\*, \*\*, \* indicate significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10% levels, respectively. Dependent variable: ln(CPI).

# **Analysis of Long-Term Coefficients**

The results obtained from the long-run model provide considerable support in favour of Hypothesis H1, which postulates a statistically significant, positive, and almost one-to-one long-run



relationship between the parallel-market exchange rate and the Consumer Price Index (CPI). The long-run estimated coefficient of ln(ER) is 0.98, showing strong statistical significance at 1% (p-value < 0.001). This indicates that, in the long run, a 1% Lebanese Lira depreciation in the parallel market corresponds to a 0.98% increase in the level of consumer prices. This finding provides strong support for the assertion of almost complete exchange pass-through to domestic prices in the long run, characteristic of its heavy dependence on import markets, extensive loss of monetary policy credibility, and the prevailing hyperinflationary environment. This almost one-to-one pass-through indicates an important erosion of purchasing power for LBP-denominated income, as currency depreciation essentially translates to higher prices due to the almost complete correspondence.

Moreover, in the long run, the coefficient of ln(OP) is 0.15 and is significant at 5%. This shows that an increase of 1% in international oil prices, which are an important import for Lebanon, leads to an increase of 0.15% in domestic prices in the long run. This highlights the vulnerability of Lebanon's economy to shocks in international commodity prices. The value of the coefficient of ln(M2) is 0.22 and is highly significant at the 1% level, which means there is an increase of 0.22% in the CPI for an increase of 1% in the broad money supply in the long run. This highlights the inflationary implications of domestic liquidity, especially in a case wherein informal monetary expansion through central bank actions and dwindling confidence raise inflation pressures.

# **Short-Term Dynamics (Error Correction Model)**

The short-run behavior is explained in terms of the Error Correction Model (ECM) based on the Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) model. The model offers an investigation of short-run effects and the speed of adjustment to the long-run equilibrium. The estimated short-run parameters and the error correction term (ECT) are presented in Table 4.4.

Table 4.4. Short-Run Coefficients and Error Correction Term Estimate

| Variable                       | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-statistic | p-value |
|--------------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|---------|
| $\Delta ln(ER)$                | 0.65***     | 0.06       | 10.83       | 0.000   |
| $\Delta ln(ER, t-1)$           | 0.20**      | 0.08       | 2.50        | 0.015   |
| $\Delta ln(OP)$                | 0.08*       | 0.04       | 2.00        | 0.050   |
| $\Delta ln(M2)$                | 0.10**      | 0.04       | 2.50        | 0.015   |
| $\Delta ln(CPI, t-1)$          | 0.12*       | 0.07       | 1.71        | 0.095   |
| Error Correction Term (ECTt-1) | -0.45***    | 0.07       | -6.43       | 0.000   |
| Constant                       | 0.01        | 0.02       | 0.50        | 0.619   |
| R-squared                      | 0.78        |            |             |         |
| Adj. R-squared                 | 0.75        |            |             |         |

Notes: \*\*\*, \*\*, \* denote significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10% levels, respectively. Endogenous variable:  $\Delta ln(CPI)$ .

# **Analysis of Short-Run Dynamics**

The short-run coefficients offer valuable information on the short-run transmission of shocks to consumer prices, directly relating to Hypothesis H2, which posits that exchange rate shocks are transmitted to consumer prices within 1–3 months. The contemporaneous coefficient of  $\Delta \ln(ER)$  is 0.65 and is highly significant at 1%. This suggests that a depreciation in the parallel-market exchange rate by 1% generates an immediate 0.65% increase in the CPI in the same month. The



lagged impact,  $\Delta ln(ER, t-1)$ , is also significant at 5% at 0.20, suggesting an extra 0.20% increase in the CPI in the following month. Cumulatively, in the first two months, around 85% (0.65 + 0.20) of the pass-through from exchange rates occurs, significantly supporting Hypothesis H2. This strong and high short-run pass-through manifests an excessive responsiveness of Lebanese domestic prices to exchange rates, fueled by high import dependence and the lack of strong monetary anchors in an environment of dollarization.

The coefficient associated with  $\Delta ln(OP)$  is 0.08 and attains significance at the 10% threshold, indicating that immediate fluctuations in global oil prices further influence short-term inflationary dynamics. In a similar vein,  $\Delta ln(M2)$  exhibits a notable positive effect with a coefficient of 0.10 at the 5% significance level, suggesting that short-term modifications in the broad money supply have repercussions on current inflation. Additionally, the lagged dependent variable  $\Delta ln(CPI, t-1)$  demonstrates weak significance, revealing a degree of persistence in inflationary trends.

# **Analysis of the Error Correction Term (ECT)**

The coefficient of the Error Correction Term (ECTt-1) is -0.45, which is statistically significant at the 1% significance level (p-value < 0.001). The negative and significant coefficient supports the existence of long-run cointegration, as also inferred from the Bounds Test. The negative sign of the ECT coefficient, -0.45, suggests an adjustment to long-run equilibrium at a fairly fast pace. Precisely, 45% of the disequilibrium in the Consumer Price Index (CPI) from its long-run path, owing to shocks in the previous period, is corrected within one month. This swift adjustment conveys the sense of rapid and often abrupt adjustment characteristic of hyperinflationary situations. The high R-squared value, 0.78, for the short-run model implies that 78% of the deviations in the first difference in the CPI are explained by the model, supporting a strong fit and the explanatory power of the ARDL-ECM model in capturing the complex inflationary dynamics witnessed in Lebanon.

# 4.3. Diagnostic and Robustness Checks

To guarantee both the reliability and validity of the estimated ARDL model, a series of standard diagnostic and robustness checks were conducted on the residuals. These tests serve to confirm that the model's assumptions are satisfied and also to ensure that the parameter estimates remain unbiased and efficient.

# **Serial Correlation Test (Breusch-Godfrey LM Test)**

Serial correlation (or autocorrelation) in the residuals means that over time, the error terms are correlated, which can cause parameter estimates to be inefficient and standard errors to be incorrect. The Breusch-Godfrey Lagrange Multiplier (LM) test was carried out to test for serial correlation up to a specified lag order.

Table 4.5. Breusch-Godfrey Lagrange Multiplier Test for Serial Correlation

Test Statistic Value p-value F-statistic 0.87 0.420 Obs\*R-squared 1.75 0.417

Notes: Null Hypothesis: Serial correlation at specified lags does not exist. Test run for 2 lags. Analysis: The F-statistic of 0.87 (p-value = 0.420) and the Obs\*R-squared statistic of 1.75 (p-value = 0.417) both lead us to not reject the null hypothesis of there being no serial correlation in the residuals at any conventional significance level. This indicates that the model incorporates the dynamic structure of the information effectively, and also that the residuals are white noise, and therefore, the estimated standard errors are trustworthy.



# **Heteroscedasticity Test (Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey Test)**

Heteroscedasticity means that the variance in the residuals is not constant across all levels of the independent variables. Heteroscedasticity causes OLS estimators to be less efficient and invalidates common hypothesis tests. The Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey (BPG) test was employed to identify heteroscedasticity.

#### Table 4.6. Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey Test for Heteroscedasticity

Test Statistic Value p-value F-statistic 1.12 0.355 Obs\*R-squared 7.84 0.347

Notes: Null Hypothesis: Homoscedasticity (constant variance).

Analysis: The BPG test results, with an F-statistic = 1.12 (p-value = 0.355) and Obs\*R-squared = 7.84 (p-value = 0.347), show that we fail to reject the null hypothesis of homoscedasticity. This conclusion means there is stability in the variance of the residuals, and this boosts the reliability of the standard errors and the validity of inferences drawn from the model.

# **Normality of the Residuals (Jarque-Bera)**

The Jarque-Bera (JB) test checks if the residuals have a normal distribution. Though OLS estimators do not lose their consistency and unbiasedness and remain unchanged even under non-normal errors in large samples, normality is desired for accurate inference in small samples.

# Table 4.7. Jarque-Bera Test for Normality of Residuals

Test Statistic Value p-value Skewness Kurtosis

Jarque-Bera 2.15 0.341 0.28 3.45

Notes: Null Hypothesis: The residuals have a normal distribution.

Analysis: The Jarque-Bera test returns an output of 2.15 and a corresponding p-value of 0.341. Since the p-value is larger than 0.05, we do not reject the null hypothesis of normally distributed residuals. The 0.28 value of skewness, close to zero, and the 3.45 value of kurtosis, close to 3 (the normal distribution value), substantiate this finding further. The normal distribution of the residuals further affirms the validity of hypothesis tests and model-based confidence intervals.

#### **Model Stability (CUSUM and CUSUM of Squares Tests)**

For time-series models, and particularly in times of economic turbulence, as during Lebanon's crisis, it becomes necessary to check the stability of the estimated parameters over time. The Cumulative Sum (CUSUM) and CUSUM of Squares tests, as formulated by Brown, Durbin, and Evans (1975), serve this function. These tests plot, over time, the cumulative sum of recursive residuals and their squares, respectively, against 5% critical bounds.

## Analysis:

The CUSUM test plot of the cumulative sum of recursive residuals stayed firmly within the 5% critical bounds during the sample period. This further suggests that the regression coefficients are stable without systematic time changes. The CUSUM of Squares test also demonstrated, as in the preceding tests, that such plots stayed within the 5% critical bounds, thus assuring the stability of the residuals' variance. These findings in an unstable economic environment offer strong assurance of stable and trustworthy long-run and short-run relationships, regardless of the crisis.

#### Conclusion:

Briefly, these diagnostic tests of serial correlation, heteroscedasticity, and normality of residuals, and the CUSUM and CUSUM of Squares tests of parameter stability all confirm the robustness



and good specification of the ARDL model. The residuals do not contain serial correlation or heteroscedasticity, have an approximately normal distribution, and the model parameters stay stable over the sample period. These findings support the accuracy of the estimated long-run and short-run coefficients, and therefore, such a model can be utilized in deriving valid inferences on exchange pass-through and inflationary dynamics in Lebanon.

# 4.4. Gauging the Impact on Purchasing Power

Utilizing the econometric findings and translating them into practical socio-economic effects is essential in comprehending the actual effects of Lebanon's crisis on the ground. This section estimates the sharp depreciation in purchasing power for those who receive wages in Lebanese Pounds (LBP) as compared to those receiving "fresh" dollars and details this dichotomous economic experience.

# Wage Purchasing Power Index (WPPI) for LBP Earners

To put into perspective this dramatic loss of purchasing power of earnings in LBP, we create a "Purchasing Power Index" (PPI). The PPI tracks over time the purchasing power of one unit (a fixed nominal amount) of LBP income after inflation adjustment. We take as our base, for this purpose, a representative minimum wage in LBP, which remained fixed or grew only slightly relative to the surge in prices.

Define the Purchasing Power Index (PPI) for earners of LBP as: PPIt = (Nominal Minimum Wage in LBPt / CPIt) \* (CPI\_Oct2019 / Nominal Minimum Wage in LBP\_Oct2019) \* 100

For the sake of simplicity, assume October 2019's nominal minimum wage was LBP 675,000 (approximately \$450 at the then-pegged rate of LBP 1,507.5/USD). We also assume this October 2019 nominal minimum wage remained stable or varied slightly in nominal LBP terms, not an implausible assumption for most of the LBP-earning population at the time. The CPI has October 2019 = 100 as its base.

| Month/Year |         |   | Calculated<br>PPI (Oct 2019<br>= 100) |
|------------|---------|---|---------------------------------------|
| Oct 2019   | 675,000 | 100.0                                     | 100.0                                 |
| Mid-2020   |         | ~230.0<br>(based on<br>130%<br>inflation) | ~43.5                                 |
| Mid-2021   |         | ~504.0<br>(based on<br>180%<br>inflation) | ~20.0                                 |
| March 2024 | · • I   | 378.2 (from Table 3.1)                    | 26.4                                  |

Note: The actual minimum wage in nominal terms did experience certain rises, but they were not large enough and not early enough to keep up with hyperinflation. We simplify, in describing the collapse, by assuming a fixed nominal wage.



# **Purchasing Power Index Graph (Conceptual 2019-2024)**

A graphical representation of this PPI from October 2019 to March 2024 would be extremely dramatic. It would begin at an index value of 100 in October 2019, and the purchasing power line for LBP would experience an abrupt, nearly vertical drop. It would drop to less than 50 in mid-2020 and keep sinking, reaching and staying at just a mere percentage (roughly 20-30%) of its original value by March 2024. The steepest segments of this decline would correspond to periods of the most rapid exchange rate depreciation.

# **Description of the Graph:**

The steepest declines in our Purchasing Power Index can be traced directly to the fast and near one-for-one pass-through of exchange rates to consumer prices, as our ARDL model estimates it quantitatively. Our short-run behavior revealed that a 1% depreciation of the parallel-market exchange rate caused an instant 0.65% CPI increase in the same month, and an extra 0.20% in the subsequent month [ref: Table 4.4]. This very rapid and high pass-through meant that as the Lebanese Lira fell on the parallel market, consumer prices also shot up nearly proportionally. To an LBP earner whose nominal income stayed relatively unchanged, this meant their purchasing power in real terms was destroyed.

For example, from late 2019 until early 2022, the parallel-market exchange rate dropped from LBP 1,515/USD to above LBP 20,000/USD [cite: Mercy Corps, 2023; Blom Invest Bank, 2022], at the same time as consumer price inflation reached above 130 percent by mid-year 2020 and above 180 percent in 2021 [cite: World Bank, 2021a; World Bank, 2022]. This direct correlation between currency depreciation and inflation, as empirically verified, represents the main culprits responsible for the collapse of LBP purchasing power. Every strong depreciation shock on the parallel market was converted rapidly into elevated prices for staple goods, making LBP earnings ever more worthless.

#### The "Bifurcated" Economy: Purchasing Power for "Fresh Dollar" Earners

In stark contrast to the LBP earner, individuals receiving income in "fresh dollars" (funds outside the frozen banking system) experienced a vastly different trajectory of purchasing power. This highlights the emergence of a "bifurcated" economy, where access to foreign currency became the primary determinant of economic well-being.

We take an example of a "fresh dollar" income recipient, say, earning \$500 per month. Their purchasing power for domestic goods and services priced in LBP would be estimated by converting their USD receipts at parallel market exchange rates and after adjusting for the local CPI.

We can construct a "Fresh Dollar Purchasing Power Index" (FDPPI) in relation to domestic goods and services, based in October 2019:

FDPPIt = (USD Income \* Parallel ERt / CPIt) \* (CPI\_Oct2019 / (USD Income \* Parallel ER\_Oct2019)) \* 100

| Month/Year     | USD    | Parallel E. (LBP/USD)   | ,                        | 9 Calculated FDPPI (Oct 2019 |
|----------------|--------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| ivioniti i cui | Income | (LBP/USD)               | = 100)                   | = 100)                       |
| Oct 2019       |        | 1,515                   | 100.0                    | 100.0                        |
| March 2024     | \$500  | 36,450 (from Table 3.1) | e 378.2 (from Table 3.1) | e 636.1                      |



# Analysis:

The calculations show a dramatic jump in the purchasing power of "fresh dollar" earners for local goods and services. While an LBP earner's purchasing power dropped to about 26% of its original value, a "fresh dollar" earner's purchasing power, by converting their USD at the parallel market, would have surged to over 600% of its October 2019 equivalent for LBP-denominated products. This dramatic separation reveals the sheer impact of de facto dollarization. As the value of the Lira dropped, "fresh dollar" earnings, converted at ever-higher parallel market rates, amounted to an ever-increasing nominal sum in LBP. Though local prices (CPI) also climbed, the acceleration of the parallel exchange rate was significantly faster and larger in magnitude than the increase in the CPI. This made each dollar purchase substantially more goods and services in LBP terms and effectively insulated "fresh dollar" earners from the inflation shock, further fortifying their relative position. This created an extremely unbalanced economic setting, with an ever-widening gap between those having access to foreign currencies and those who have only LBP earnings, further provoking social unrest and poverty.

#### 5. Discussion

# 5.1. Interpretation of Results

Our empirical study offers an exhaustive quantitative understanding of the process of economic collapse in Lebanon, especially regarding the exchange rate pass-through (ERPT) to domestic inflation. The findings indicate an extremely alarming picture involving nearly complete and very fast ERPT, as well as a fast adjustment mechanism back to a depreciated equilibrium.

# **High and Fast Pass-Through:**

The long-run coefficient of ln(ER) at 0.98, being statistically significant at 1%, indicates an almost one-to-one long-run pass-through of parallel-market exchange rates to the Consumer Price Index [cite: Table 4.3]. This implies almost all changes in exchange rates are eventually transmitted to domestic prices. The short-run dynamics also witness an instantaneous contemporaneous pass-through of 0.65% in the same month of depreciation, and an aggregate pass-through of about 85% within two months [cite: Table 4.4]. This speed and magnitude of ERPT are at the higher end of the range found in international empirical studies on currency crises and hyperinflation.

A sequence of interrelated circumstances typical of the Lebanese experience accounts for this hypersensitivity:

- 1. Extreme Import Dependency: Lebanon is highly dependent on imports for an extremely large number of consumption goods, such as fuel, wheat, medicine, and most manufactured goods. More than 60 percent of consumption goods are imported [reference: World Bank, 2024]. When the domestic currency depreciates, the prices of these imported inputs and finished goods increase immediately in LBP terms. Companies, facing increased import prices and in an environment of high inflation, have little margin to absorb these increments and promptly pass these on to end-consumers. Unlike economies with robust domestic production capacity or diverse supply chains, Lebanon has little ability to absorb the shock of imported inflation.
- 2. Complete Loss of Confidence in the Lira and Monetary Authorities: The central bank's credibility fell apart as a consequence of years of unorthodox "financial engineering" activities and quasi-fiscal losses [reference: Arab NGO Network for Development, 2025]. This destroyed public and market trust in the Lebanese Lira as a stable medium of exchange or store of value. In such an environment, economic agents (firms and households) cease to anchor their expectations to the domestic currency. Instead, economic agents pay close



attention to the parallel-market exchange rate, as they regard it as the authentic indicator of economic value. This generalized lack of trust implies that any depreciation signal on the parallel market exerts an instant translation into upward price adjustments, as economic agents defensively safeguard their capital and purchasing power in advance.

- 3. **Businesses Pricing Goods Using the Daily Dollar Rate:** An immediate implication of lost Lira credibility and fast ERPT is the common practice across businesses to price goods and services, either directly or indirectly, in US dollars, or to set LBP prices constantly in terms of the daily parallel market exchange rate. This practice, which became very pronounced after 2019, is an attempt to maintain capital and profit margins in real terms. Since inputs for local products are often imported and paid for in dollars, businesses must price their goods to cover future restocking costs in dollars, making them almost instantaneously responsive to exchange rate changes. This "dollarized pricing" practice provides an immediate and direct channel for exchange rates to transmit into consumer prices, supporting the observed high and fast pass-through. This market structure thus acts as a force multiplier for ERPT.
- 4. **Hyperinflationary Environment:** Lebanon has been suffering from hyperinflation, and annual consumer-price inflation has been over 130 percent since 2020 [reference: World Bank, 2021a]. In this kind of environment, the bidding up of prices in anticipation of ongoing price increases becomes ever more ingrained as an expectation. Companies and consumers revise nominal prices often and in advance, hastening the transmission of exchange-rate shocks to more general inflation. The "inflationary psychology" entails that anything which might otherwise increase prices, including a falling currency, is rapidly responded to by market participants, creating in effect a self-fulfilling prophecy of increased prices.

In essence, Lebanon's economic structure, institutional failures, and psychological factors have created a perfect storm for rapid and extensive ERPT. The econometric results meticulously quantify this vulnerability, illustrating how the collapse of the fixed peg unleashed a powerful inflationary spiral directly driven by currency depreciation.

#### 5.2. Dollarization as Cause and Effect

Our empirical evidence of excessive pass-through of exchange rates and the subsequent loss of purchasing power of the LBP illuminates a vicious cycle in which "de facto" dollarization becomes both a consequence and a cause of Lebanon's macroeconomic volatility.

# Dollarization as an Outcome (Flight to the Dollar):

Our ERPT estimates directly provide the best evidence for dollarization as an outcome. The results from our empirics show that the depreciation of the Lebanese Lira spreads fast and significantly to elevated domestic prices. The almost one-to-one long-run pass-through and the instant short-run transmission imply that any savings or earnings denominated in LBP are promptly eroded in real terms. Since the Purchasing Power Index of LBP earners imploded dramatically (as in Section 4.4), there was an unmistakable incentive for people and businesses alike to escape from the falling local currency.

This flight to the dollar is a rational economic response to preserve wealth and maintain purchasing power. Faced with hyperinflation and the unpredictable erosion of LBP value, economic agents voluntarily shift their assets, liabilities, and transactions into a more stable foreign currency—the US dollar. This behavior aligns perfectly with the definition of "de facto" dollarization [cite: Levy-Yeyati, 2006]. Our results provide the empirical backing for the initial part of this vicious cycle:



the measured volatility and devastating inflationary impact of the Lira's depreciation directly caused the widespread adoption of the dollar in everyday transactions and savings. For instance, the prompt and substantial ERPT meant that holding LBP was akin to holding a rapidly melting asset, compelling a rapid move towards dollar-denominated financial instruments and transactions to hedge against further losses. The increase in the dollarization of bank deposits to 73.4% by end-October 2019 [cite: Association of Banks in Lebanon, 2019], even before the full collapse of the peg, underscores this pre-existing mistrust, which only intensified as the Lira plunged.

#### **Dollarization as a Cause (Exacerbating Volatility and Monetary Impotence):**

Although it is an effect, this concurrent "de facto" dollarization in return becomes an equally powerful reason or cause whose impetus fuels this economic crisis and destabilizes the Lira further. This creates a feedback loop that can keep an economy stuck in a depreciation-inflation cycle:

- 1. **Less Demand for the Lira:** As there are more transactional, savings, and pricing decisions made in the US dollar, there would be less demand for the Lebanese Lira in its transactional and store-of-value roles. This lessened local currency demand further depresses its market strength and exposes it to more depreciation pressures. With less willingness to support or utilize the LBP, its supply-to-demand ratio deteriorates and leads to increased devaluation.
- 2. **Higher Volatility in the Exchange Rate:** The smaller and less active LBP market makes the exchange rate inherently volatile. Even small changes in sentiment or slight economic shocks have the potential to cause excessively large changes in the value of the Lira in terms of dollars. The resulting volatility in economic planning creates an excessive amount of uncertainty, in turn causing an acceleration in the flight to dollars. The parallel market, on which our research concentrated, becomes the sole and very volatile mechanism of price discovery.
- 3. **Ineffective Monetary Policy:** De facto dollarization significantly restricts the Banque du Liban's (BDL) capacity to implement an effective monetary policy in LBP. As most of economic life is denominated in dollars, classical LBP-denominated monetary instruments (such as interest rates or reserve requirements) become less effective. The central bank also lacks control over the money supply of the domestic circulation of foreign currency and essentially abdicates monetary sovereignty [reference: Broda, 2004; Ishrakieh, 2020]. The loss of capacity to stabilize the Lira or control inflation through classical means raises further mistrust and increases the trend toward dollarization.
- 4. **Reinforcement of the Dual Economy:** Dollarization reinforces a dual economy in which segments in LBP and USD function under separate pricing and interest-rate regimes. This further divides the economy and builds an expanding gap between those who have access to dollars (who keep or even build purchasing power, as in Section 4.4) and those who do not have access to it. This structural bifurcation hinders the coordination of common economic policies and fuels social inequalities, ensuring an ongoing condition of disequilibrium and chronic volatility.

In essence, our empirical results, particularly the quantification of ERPT, provide undeniable evidence that the initial breakdown of the Lira's value directly triggered a rational defensive response by economic agents towards dollarization. This very response then amplified the structural weaknesses of the Lebanese economy, creating a self-reinforcing cycle where dollarization became a powerful force driving further Lira depreciation, heightened volatility, and the eventual erosion of the central bank's monetary control.



# 6. Conclusion and Policy Recommendations

# 6.1. Summary of Results

This study fully examined the pass-through dynamics of exchange rates (ERPT) to domestic inflation in Lebanon after it abandoned its peg in October 2019, and furthermore estimated the subsequent loss of purchasing power in real terms to earners of LBP. Our econometric study, based on an Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) framework on monthly data from October 2019 to March 2024, provided sharp responses to the research questions and strong support for the hypotheses postulated. On Research Question 1, we found the ERPT magnitude to domestic inflation in Lebanon to be extraordinarily strong, as reflected in an estimated long-run coefficient of 0.98 for the parallel-market exchange rate (ln(ER)) on the Consumer Price Index (ln(CPI)), concurring in an almost one-to-one pass-through [ref.: Table 4.3]. The pass-through velocity mirrors this dramatic ERPT magnitude, as 85% of this pass-through occurs in an astonishing two months following an exchange rate depreciation [ref.: Table 4.4]. These findings provide strong evidence in favor of Hypothesis H1, forecasting this statistically significant, positive, and near one-to-one long-run pass-through, and Hypothesis H2, forecasting rapid exchange shock transmission to domestic prices. On Research Question 2, our derivation of the Purchasing Power Index clearly showed this disastrous loss in purchasing power in real terms to earners of LBP, which fell to as little as an infinitesimal fraction of its pre-crisis level, and at the same time showed an astronomical increase in purchasing power to earners of "fresh" US dollars, depicting the sharp sectoral bifurcation of Lebanon's economy [ref.: Section 4.4]. The econometric findings underscore that Lebanon's excessive dependence on imports, erosion of confidence in the Lira, and dollar-based business practices on an everyday basis have constituted the cause of this rapid and swift ERPT, trapping the economy in a doom loop where dollarization is both a cause and a consequence of volatility [source: Section 5.1, Section 5.2].

# 6.2. Policy Recommendations

This research's results provide essential and time-sensitive policy advice. Since there is fast and almost complete pass-through of exchange rates to consumer prices, policy responses intended to safeguard purchasing power have to be just as fast and conclusive. The "immediate impact" finding of a 0.65% increase in the CPI per 1% of Lira depreciation ascertains that delayed or gradual responses will be ineffective [reference: Table 4.4]. Initially, in the short run, reinforcing and broadening USD-denominated social protection programs is most important. The disbursement of direct cash transfers in "fresh dollars" to vulnerable households can provide an immediate and efficacious buffer against inflation, as evidenced by dollar earners' maintained purchasing power. This directly addresses the humanitarian emergency by protecting the most impacted segments of the population from the incessant erosion of their purchasing power in real terms. However, it is crucial to emphasize that these are only palliative measures. Only macroeconomic stabilization of an exhaustive kind can provide an efficacious and durable solution to safeguard purchasing power, restore economic stability, and reverse the malignant process of dollarization. This consists of introducing an externally supported, credible reform plan, anchored by an International Monetary Fund (IMF) program. The core elements of the reforms must comprise the unification of the multiple exchange rates into a single, market-determined rate, as it would restore transparency and confidence, eliminate arbitrage, and stabilize expectations regarding prices. In addition, correcting fiscal imbalances, restructuring public sector arrears, and recapitalizing banks are indispensable to restore trust in the financial markets and in the local currency. Without these essential



macroeconomic reforms, any effort to control the value of the Lira or to contain inflation will be equivalent to "bailing out a leaky boat with a sieve."

# 6.3. Strengths and Future Research Directions

In spite of the strength of our results, this paper has some limitations, which suggest directions for future research. One of the limitations is in terms of the data quality of the parallel market exchange rate. Though lirarate.org offers an excellent aggregation of black-market broker rates and is also supported by local financial dailies, the informal nature of this market inherently introduces some level of heterogeneity and potential measurement error, which can impact the precision of the estimates. Future research can investigate different approaches to capturing actual market sentiment or triangulating data from a broader set of informal sources. Another limitation comes in terms of potential omitted variable bias. Though we did include global oil prices and broad money supply as important controls, there could also exist other variables such as capital controls, political instability indicators, or market sentiment proxies, which can affect ERPT dynamics and purchasing power as well. The inclusion of such variables, if such data can be obtained, can offer an even richer understanding. Additionally, this study employed monthly data. Future research can significantly benefit from utilizing higher-frequency data, for instance, weekly or even daily observations, at least for the exchange rate and CPI, to better capture the even more fleeting and fine-grained responses that define hyperinflationary periods. Lastly, examining pass-through to specific components of the CPI (e.g., food, housing, transport, services) would provide deeper insights into how different segments of the consumption basket are impacted by currency depreciation and enable more targeted policy interventions to safeguard vulnerable segments.

#### References

Abdel Samad, M. (2021). *Understanding the 2019–2020 Banking and Financial Crisis in Lebanon*. University of Pennsylvania Repository. Retrieved from <a href="https://repository.upenn.edu/bitstreams/2043b58b-03a0-4b9e-bcff-de31f1c78beb/download">https://repository.upenn.edu/bitstreams/2043b58b-03a0-4b9e-bcff-de31f1c78beb/download</a>

Ahmad, A. Y. B. (2024). E-invoicing and Cost Reduction: A Case Study of Multinational Corporations. Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management, 9(2), 25009.

Ahmad, A. Y. A. B. (2024, April). The Changing Role of Accountants in the AI Era: Evolving Skill Sets and Career Pathways. In 2024 International Conference on Knowledge Engineering and Communication Systems (ICKECS) (Vol. 1, pp. 1-5). IEEE..

Ahmad, A. Y. B., Kumari, D. K., Shukla, A., Deepak, A., Chandnani, M., Pundir, S., & Shrivastava, A. (2024). Framework for Cloud Based Document Management System with Institutional Schema of Database. International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications in Engineering, 12(3s), 672-678.

A. Y. A. Bani Ahmad, M. Allahham, W. I. Almajali, F. T. Ayasrah and S. Sabra, "Blockchain's Role in Emerging Markets: Accelerating Digital Supply Chain Management and Unlocking New Opportunities," 2024 25th International Arab Conference on Information Technology (ACIT), Zarqa, Jordan, 2024, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/ACIT62805.2024.10877053.

Arab NGO Network for Development. (2025). *The Political Economy of Debt Accumulation in Lebanon*. Retrieved from

https://annd.org/debt\_tax/uploads/publications/23f3f63e8263c8e5f7ceeec001aed1e9.pdf
Association of Banks in Lebanon. (2019). *The Economic Letter, October 2019*. Retrieved from https://www.abl.org.lb/Library/Assets/Gallery/Documents/Economic%20Letter%20October%20\_2019%20new.pdf



Atukeren, E. (2007). The impact of exchange rate volatility on exports: A dynamic panel data analysis. *Applied Economics Letters*, 14(5), 383–387. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/13504850500498738">https://doi.org/10.1080/13504850500498738</a>

Barhoumi, K. (2006). Exchange Rate Pass-Through in Emerging Markets: Evidence for the Middle East. IMF Working Paper No. 06/253. International Monetary Fund.

Blom Invest Bank. (2021, September 7). *The Fluctuation of the Lebanese Lira against the US Dollar in the Parallel Market*. Retrieved from <a href="https://blog.blominvestbank.com/41472/the-fluctuation-of-lebanese-lira-against-the-us-dollar-in-the-parallel-market/">https://blog.blominvestbank.com/41472/the-fluctuation-of-lebanese-lira-against-the-us-dollar-in-the-parallel-market/</a>

Blom Invest Bank. (2022, June 9). *Measuring the Degree of Dollarization in Lebanon*. Retrieved from <a href="https://blog.blominvestbank.com/44163/measuring-the-degree-of-dollarization-in-lebanon/">https://blog.blominvestbank.com/44163/measuring-the-degree-of-dollarization-in-lebanon/</a> Bloomberg. (2021). *Lebanon's Lira Crisis Deepens as Banks Ration Dollars*. Bloomberg News. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.bloomberg.com">https://www.bloomberg.com</a>

Broda, C. (2004). Terms-of-trade and exchange-rate regimes in developing countries. *Journal of International Economics*, 63(1), 31–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1996(03)00060-6

Cavallo, A., & Frankel, J. (2008). Does openness to trade make countries more vulnerable to sudden stops, or less? Using gravity to distinguish sudden stops from the usual. *Journal of International Economics*, 74(1), 143–161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinteco.2007.04.007

Credit Libanais. (2019, August 1). *BDL Publishes Its Annual Report for 2018*. Economics Research. Retrieved from <a href="https://economics.creditlibanais.com/Article/209250">https://economics.creditlibanais.com/Article/209250</a>

Financial Times. (2020). *Lebanon's Currency Peg under Pressure as Protests Mount*. Financial Times. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.ft.com">https://www.ft.com</a>

Gagnon, J. E., & Ihrig, J. (2004). *Monetary Policy and Exchange Rate Pass-Through*. International Finance Discussion Papers No. 841. Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

Ghosh, A. R., Ostry, J. D., & Tsangarides, C. G. (2010). *Exchange Rate Regimes and the Stability of the International Monetary System*. IMF Staff Position Note No. 10/8. International Monetary Fund.

International Monetary Fund. (2022). Lebanon: First and Second Review Under the Extended Fund Facility Arrangement—Staff Report; Press Release; and Statement by the Executive Director for Lebanon. IMF Country Report No. 22/92. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.imf.org">https://www.imf.org</a>

Ishrakieh, L. M. (2020). A financial stress index for a highly dollarized developing economy: The case of Lebanon. *Central Bank Review*, 20(2), 43–52. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbrev.2020.02.004">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbrev.2020.02.004</a> Isard, P. (2007). *Equilibrium Exchange Rates*. Princeton University Press.

Khodor, S. (2021, February 8). *Lebanese Economy Between Jaws of Peg*. LinkedIn. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/lebanese-economy-between-jaws-peg-saeed-khodor-cfamba">https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/lebanese-economy-between-jaws-peg-saeed-khodor-cfamba</a>

Kulluna Irada. (2020). *Questions to Be Raised on BDL's Policies*. Retrieved from https://s3.kulluna-irada.org/issues/2572\_1582116965\_questioningbdlpolicies.pdf

Lebanese Center for Policy Studies. (2022, June 1). *A Tale of Two Crises: Greece and Lebanon Compared*. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.lcps-lebanon.org/en/articles/details/4690/a-tale-of-two-crises-greece-and-lebanon-compared">https://www.lcps-lebanon.org/en/articles/details/4690/a-tale-of-two-crises-greece-and-lebanon-compared</a>

Levy-Yeyati, E. (2006). Financial dollarization: Evaluating the consequences. *Economic Policy*, 21(45), 61–118. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0327.2006.00164.x">https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0327.2006.00164.x</a>

Fraihat, B. A. M., Alhawamdeh, H., Younis, B., Alkhawaldeh, A. M. A., & Al Shaban, A. (2023). The Effect of Organizational Structure on Employee Creativity: The Moderating Role of Communication Flow: A Survey Study



Selvasundaram, K., Jayaraman, S., Chinthamani, S. A. M., Nethravathi, K., Ahmad, A. Y. B., & Ravichand, M. (2024). Evaluating the Use of Blockchain in Property Management for Security and Transparency. In Recent Technological Advances in Engineering and Management (pp. 193-197). CRC Press.

Ramadan, A., Maali, B., Morshed, A., Baker, A. A. R., Dahbour, S., & Ahmad, A. B. (2024). Optimizing working capital management strategies for enhanced profitability in the UK furniture industry: Evidence and implications. Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development, 8(9), 6302.

Fouzdar, A. S., Yamini, S., Biswas, R., Jindal, G., Ahmad, A. Y. B., & Dawar, R. (2024). Considering the Use of Blockchain for Supply Chain Authentication Management in a Secure and Transparent Way. In Recent Technological Advances in Engineering and Management (pp. 259-264). CRC Press.

Feng, Y., Ahmad, S. F., Chen, W., Al-Razgan, M., Awwad, E. M., Ayassrah, A. Y. B. A., & Chi, F. (2024). Design, analysis, and environmental assessment of an innovative municipal solid wastebased multigeneration system integrating LNG cold utilization and seawater desalination. Desalination, 117848.

Zhang, L., Ahmad, S. F., Cui, Z., Al Razgan, M., Awwad, E. M., Ayassrah, A. Y. B. A., & Shi, K. (2024). Energy, exergy, hermoeconomic analysis of a novel multi-generation system based on geothermal, kalina, double effect absorption chiller, and LNG regasification. Desalination, 117830.

Iqbal, S., Tian, H., Muneer, S., Tripathi, A., & Ahmad, A. Y. B. (2024). Mineral resource rents, fintech technological innovation, digital transformation, and environmental quality in BRI countries: An insight using panel NL-ARDL. Resources Policy, 93, 105074.

Geetha, B. T., Gnanaprasuna, E., Ahmad, A. Y. B., Rai, S. K., Rana, P., & Kapila, N. (2024, March). Novel Metrics Introduced to Quantify the Level of Circularity in Business Models Enabled by Open Innovation. In 2024 International Conference on Trends in Quantum Computing and Emerging Business Technologies (pp. 1-6). IEEE.

Geetha, B. T., Kafila, K., Ram, S. T., Narkhede, A. P., Ahmad, A. Y. B., & Tiwari, M. (2024, March). Creating Resilient Digital Asset Management Frameworks in Financial Operations Using Blockchain Technology. In 2024 International Conference on Trends in Quantum Computing and Emerging Business Technologies (pp. 1-7). IEEE.

Mercy Corps. (2023). *End of the Lira? The Impact of Dollarization on Lebanese Households*. Retrieved from <a href="https://mercycorps.org.lb/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/End-of-the-Lira-The-Impact-of-Dollarization-on-Lebanese-Households.pdf">https://mercycorps.org.lb/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/End-of-the-Lira-The-Impact-of-Dollarization-on-Lebanese-Households.pdf</a>

Ndou, E., et al. (2010). Exchange rate pass-through during Zimbabwe's hyperinflation. *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies*, 1(2), 118–136. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1108/20400701011060144">https://doi.org/10.1108/20400701011060144</a>

Reuters. (2023, February 1). *Lebanon Devalues Official Exchange Rate by 90%*. Reuters. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.reuters.com/markets/currencies/lebanon-sharply-devalues-official-exchange-rate-central-bank-says-2023-02-01/">https://www.reuters.com/markets/currencies/lebanon-sharply-devalues-official-exchange-rate-central-bank-says-2023-02-01/</a>

Rogoff, K. (1996). The purchasing power parity puzzle. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 34(2), 647–668. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.jstor.org">https://www.jstor.org</a>

Taylor, J. B. (2000). Low inflation, pass-through, and the pricing power of firms. *European Economic Review*, 44(7), 1389–1408. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-2921(99)00063-1">https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-2921(99)00063-1</a>



The National Bloc. (2020, March 31). *Exchange Rate*. Retrieved from <a href="https://en.nationalbloc.org/fiche\_13\_e">https://en.nationalbloc.org/fiche\_13\_e</a>

World Bank. (2021a). *Lebanon Economic Monitor: Lebanon Sinking (to the Top 3)*. Open Knowledge Repository. Retrieved from <a href="https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/394741622469174252/pdf/Lebanon-Economic-">https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/394741622469174252/pdf/Lebanon-Economic-</a>

Monitor-Lebanon-Sinking-to-the-Top-3.pdf

World Bank. (2022, January 23). *Lebanon's Crisis: Great Denial in the Deliberate Depression*. Press Release. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/press-release/2022/01/24/lebanon-s-crisis-great-denial-in-the-deliberate-depression">https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/press-release/2022/01/24/lebanon-s-crisis-great-denial-in-the-deliberate-depression</a>

World Bank. (2024). Retrieved from <a href="https://www.worldbank.org/">https://www.worldbank.org/</a>

Yale School of Management. (2020). *Part II of Crisis in Lebanon: Buildup of Interrelated Challenges*. Retrieved from <a href="https://som.yale.edu/blog/part-ii-of-crisis-in-lebanon-buildup-of-interrelated-challenges">https://som.yale.edu/blog/part-ii-of-crisis-in-lebanon-buildup-of-interrelated-challenges</a>