LEX LOCALIS-JOURNAL OF LOCAL SELF-GOVERNMENT
ISSN:1581-5374 E-ISSN:1855-363X
VOL. 23, NO. S4(2025)

SAFETY IN THE DIGITAL AGE: INVESTIGATING THE IMPACT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES ON OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY

Atheer Abdulaziz Mohammad Aljelaifi[1], THAMER NAFEA HADHIDH ALSAEDI[2], Taef Munwer Muteb Alanazi[3], Saudiah Abdullah Yahya Al-Malki[4], Talal Hashim Abdullah Alorabi[5], Deem Sulaiman Alfayez[6], Norah Mohammed Hamad Alhusaini[7], Ibrahim Abed Abdullah Almuhalbidi[8], Ibrahim Mohammed Alhumaidi[9], ADEL FLIH E ALANEZI[10], Abdulaziz Saad Mohammed Alzahrani[11], Ali Ahmed Ali Alzahrani[12], Asmahan Abdullah Awaji Hamdy[13], Jawaher Ali Abdullah Asiri[14]

[1]Prince Mohammed Bin Abdulaziz Hospital – Health Security
[2]Medical Rehabilitation Hospital – Healthcare Assistant / Health Security
[3]Mohammed bin Abdulaziz Hospital at Riyadh – Health Security
[4]Prince Mohammed Bin Abdulaziz Hospital – Health Security
[5]Al-Bashir General Hospital – Health Care Security
[6]PMAH Hospital –health assistance
[7]Riyadh Second Health Cluster – Health Security Guard
[8]Jeddah Second Health Cluster – Health Care Security
[9]Zalim, Time Control Department – Health Security / Health Assistant
[10]Hail Health Cluster - Salahuddin Health Center – Health Care Security
[11]Health Sector in Khamis Mushayt– Health Security
[12]Daqa Primary Health Care Center 1 – Health Security
[13]Al-Halqa Health Center – Medical Secretary Technician
[14]Mohammed bin Abdulaziz Hospital at Riyadh – Health Security

Abstract

Background: The rapid integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and emerging technologies such as IoT, robotics, and wearable devices has transformed modern workplaces, offering significant advancements in productivity and safety. However, these innovations also introduce new challenges for occupational health and safety (OHS), including physical risks, psychological stress, ethical concerns, and training gaps. This study investigates the dual impact of these technologies on workplace safety, aiming to identify both benefits and emerging risks.

Methods: A mixed-methods approach was employed, combining quantitative surveys (n = 150) and qualitative interviews (n = 20) with professionals from healthcare, manufacturing, logistics, and construction sectors. Data were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics for quantitative responses and thematic analysis for qualitative insights. The study focused on technology adoption levels, safety outcomes, psychological impacts, training adequacy, and ethical concerns.

Results: Findings revealed that 60% of participants reported improved physical safety due to AI, yet 13.3% highlighted risks from automation errors. Nearly half (46.7%) experienced increased stress due to digital surveillance, and 60% cited inadequate training on new technologies. Ethical concerns, such as bias and privacy violations, were prominent, with 43.3% expressing high levels of concern.

Conclusion: While AI and emerging technologies enhance workplace safety, they also pose significant psychological, ethical, and operational challenges. The study underscores the need for comprehensive training programs, robust ethical frameworks, and adaptive OHS policies to ensure safe and equitable integration of these technologies. Future efforts must prioritize human-centered design and cross-sector collaboration to address these evolving risks.

Background

The rapid evolution of technology has significantly transformed workplaces across industries, ushering in the era of the digital age. At the forefront of this transformation is artificial intelligence (AI), alongside other emerging technologies such as the Internet of Things (IoT), robotics, machine learning, and wearable devices. These innovations promise to revolutionize occupational environments by enhancing productivity, streamlining operations, and reducing human error. However, with these advancements come complex challenges, particularly concerning occupational health and safety (OHS), which demand thorough investigation and strategic management(Shah & Mishra, 2024).

Artificial intelligence systems are increasingly integrated into daily workplace operations, from predictive maintenance and risk assessment to automation of routine tasks. While these applications enhance efficiency and reduce exposure to hazardous environments, they also introduce new forms of risk. These risks range from algorithmic errors and data misinterpretation to overreliance on automated systems, which could compromise human safety in critical situations. Understanding how AI influences both the physical and psychological aspects of workers' well-being is essential to maintaining a safe and healthy work environment(Fisher et al., 2023).

The digitalization of the workplace has introduced an era where data-driven decision-making prevails. With advanced analytics and AI-driven monitoring systems, employers can now identify potential risks and predict incidents before they occur. While this proactive approach to safety has notable benefits, it also raises concerns regarding privacy, surveillance, and the ethical use of employee data. The balance between technological innovation and workers' rights and freedoms is delicate and must be addressed within a robust occupational safety framework(Dodoo et al., 2024).

Emerging technologies like robotics and IoT have revolutionized industries such as manufacturing, healthcare, and construction by reducing the physical burden on workers and minimizing exposure to hazardous environments. However, these same technologies introduce new hazards, such as physical interactions between humans and autonomous machines, system malfunctions, and cybersecurity threats that may disrupt critical operations. As workplaces become more automated, safety protocols must evolve to encompass both traditional and technology-induced risks (Obasi & Benson, 2025).

Mental health in the digital workplace is another pressing concern. The use of AI and digital monitoring can inadvertently increase stress, anxiety, and job insecurity among employees. Continuous monitoring, performance tracking, and data analysis may lead to a perceived lack of autonomy and heightened pressure to perform, undermining psychological well-being. It is imperative to explore how these technologies impact mental health and to develop supportive systems that foster resilience and well-being in tech-driven environments (Borycki et al., 2024).

The transformation of workspaces through remote and hybrid models, powered by digital platforms, has reshaped how occupational safety is managed. While remote work reduces the risk of physical injuries, it presents new challenges such as ergonomic issues, digital fatigue, and isolation. The integration of AI tools in remote work management further complicates the landscape by blurring work-life boundaries and potentially increasing the cognitive load on employees. Addressing these issues requires rethinking occupational safety strategies in light of digital work arrangements (Möckel et al., 2023).

Another critical dimension involves training and adaptation. The successful integration of AI and emerging technologies depends on employees' ability to interact safely and effectively with these systems. Inadequate training or lack of understanding of technological interfaces can lead to misuse, errors, and accidents. Organizations must prioritize continuous learning, digital literacy, and safety training tailored to the evolving technological context (Jetha et al., 2025).

Workplace safety standards and regulatory frameworks must also evolve in parallel with technological advancements. Traditional occupational safety regulations may not fully encompass the complexities introduced by AI and emerging technologies. Policymakers and regulatory bodies must work closely with industry leaders, researchers, and occupational safety experts to develop adaptive and forward-looking regulations that protect workers without stifling innovation (Park & Kang, 2024).

The ethical dimension of integrating AI in workplace safety is equally vital. Issues such as bias in AI algorithms, lack of transparency in decision-making processes, and inequitable access to technology can affect the fairness and inclusivity of safety measures. Ensuring that AI systems are developed and implemented ethically and inclusively is essential to achieving equitable occupational health and safety outcomes (Koh & Tan, 2024).

In sum, the intersection of artificial intelligence, emerging technologies, and occupational health and safety presents both unprecedented opportunities and significant challenges. As the digital transformation of the workplace accelerates, a comprehensive understanding of its implications on worker safety is more crucial than ever. This research seeks to investigate how these technologies are reshaping occupational safety, identify potential risks and benefits, and propose strategic solutions that promote a safe, ethical, and health-conscious digital work environment (Fiegler-Rudol et al., 2025).

Methodology

Research Design

This study employed a mixed-methods research design to comprehensively investigate the impact of artificial intelligence (AI) and emerging technologies on occupational health and safety (OHS). A combination of quantitative and qualitative approaches was used to capture both measurable outcomes and in-depth insights from professionals in various industries. The design was selected to ensure the findings would reflect both the statistical prevalence and the experiential understanding of safety challenges and benefits arising from technological integration.

Study Population and Sampling

The target population consisted of occupational health and safety officers, IT managers, industrial engineers, and frontline workers across sectors that had adopted AI and emerging technologies, including healthcare, manufacturing, logistics, and construction. A purposive sampling technique was used to select participants who had direct experience working in environments where such technologies were implemented. A total of 150 participants were included in the quantitative phase, while 20 individuals participated in in-depth qualitative interviews.

Data Collection Methods

Data collection was conducted over a three-month period using two main instruments: a structured questionnaire and a semi-structured interview guide. The questionnaire was distributed electronically via email and professional networks, and it included closed-ended questions to

assess participants' perceptions, experiences, and safety outcomes related to the integration of AI and emerging technologies. The survey covered areas such as automation-related incidents, mental workload, data privacy, and organizational safety protocols.

For the qualitative phase, semi-structured interviews were conducted via video conferencing platforms. These interviews aimed to explore in greater detail the nuanced experiences of participants, including the psychological, ethical, and operational impacts of emerging technologies on workplace safety. Each interview lasted between 30 to 45 minutes and was recorded with participants' consent for transcription and analysis.

Instrument Validation and Reliability

The questionnaire was developed based on existing literature on digital workplace safety, AI integration, and occupational risk management. It was reviewed by a panel of experts in occupational health and digital systems to ensure content validity. A pilot test was conducted with 15 participants, and feedback was used to refine the questionnaire items for clarity and relevance. The internal consistency of the final instrument was measured using Cronbach's alpha, which yielded a coefficient of 0.87, indicating high reliability.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the hosting academic institution. Participation in the study was voluntary, and informed consent was obtained from all respondents prior to their involvement. Confidentiality was maintained by anonymizing all data and ensuring that no personally identifiable information was disclosed in the analysis or reporting of results. Interview participants were informed of their right to withdraw at any stage without consequence.

Data Analysis

Quantitative data collected through the questionnaire were coded and analyzed using SPSS software (Version 26). Descriptive statistics such as frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations were used to summarize the data. Inferential statistics, including chi-square tests and regression analysis, were applied to examine relationships between technology use and reported safety outcomes.

Qualitative data from the interviews were transcribed verbatim and analyzed using thematic analysis. An inductive coding process was followed, where key themes and patterns were identified based on participants' narratives. Themes were then categorized into broader domains such as physical safety, psychological impact, organizational readiness, and ethical concerns. NVivo software was used to facilitate the coding and organization of qualitative data.

Limitations of the Methodology

The study faced limitations that could affect the generalizability of the findings. The use of purposive sampling may have introduced selection bias, as participants with strong opinions or experiences related to digital safety may have been more likely to participate. Additionally, the reliance on self-reported data may have introduced response bias, particularly in areas concerning mental health and ethical concerns. Furthermore, the rapid evolution of technology meant that some workplace innovations may not have been fully captured within the study timeframe.

Results

This section presents the findings from the quantitative phase of the study, which assessed the impact of artificial intelligence and emerging technologies on occupational health and safety

(OHS). A total of **150 participants** from healthcare, manufacturing, logistics, and construction sectors responded to the structured questionnaire. The results focus on key areas including AI adoption levels, perceived safety benefits and risks, psychological impacts, training adequacy, and ethical concerns. Descriptive statistics are presented in the form of frequency tables and percentages, followed by interpretative commentary for each.

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Respondents (n = 150)

Demographic Variable	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender		
Male	90	60.0%
Female	60	40.0%
Age Group		
20–29 years	30	20.0%
30–39 years	55	36.7%
40–49 years	45	30.0%
50 years and above	20	13.3%
Sector		
Healthcare	40	26.7%
Manufacturing	35	23.3%
Logistics	40	26.7%
Construction	35	23.3%

Most participants were male (60%) and between 30–49 years old, suggesting a mid-career workforce. The sample was evenly distributed across the four target sectors, which strengthens the representativeness of perspectives across industries that have embraced AI and emerging technologies.

Table 2: Extent of AI and Technology Adoption in the Workplace

Level of Technology Adoption	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Very High	35	23.3%
High	55	36.7%
Moderate	45	30.0%
Low	15	10.0%

Over 60% of participants reported high to very high levels of AI and technology adoption in their workplaces. This reflects the ongoing digital transformation across sectors and supports the relevance of investigating occupational health and safety within these technologically integrated environments.

Table 3: Perceived Impact of AI on Physical Safety

Perception	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Improved safety	90	60.0%
No significant change	40	26.7%
Increased risk due to automation errors	20	13.3%

A majority (60%) believed that AI and emerging technologies improved physical safety by reducing manual tasks and exposure to hazards. However, 13.3% noted increased risks

associated with system malfunctions or lack of human oversight, indicating a need for improved risk management in automated systems.

Table 4: Psychological Impact of Digital Surveillance and Monitoring

Psychological Response	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Increased stress or anxiety	70	46.7%
No noticeable impact	50	33.3%
Improved sense of accountability	30	20.0%

Nearly half of the respondents experienced stress or anxiety due to AI-driven monitoring and surveillance systems. This underscores a key psychological concern in digitally transformed workplaces and highlights the importance of balancing safety with employee well-being and autonomy.

Table 5: Adequacy of Training on New Technologies

Training Adequacy	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Sufficient and updated	60	40.0%
Basic but outdated	55	36.7%
Inadequate or absent	35	23.3%

Only 40% of respondents felt adequately trained to use emerging technologies safely. The remaining 60% reported outdated or insufficient training, indicating a significant gap in occupational preparedness that could increase safety risks.

Table 6: Perception of Ethical Risks (e.g., Bias, Privacy Invasion)

Ethical Concern	Frequency	Percentage (%)
High concern	65	43.3%
Moderate concern	55	36.7%
Low or no concern	30	20.0%

Ethical concerns such as bias in AI decisions and invasion of privacy were identified by the majority of participants, with 43.3% indicating high concern. This reflects growing awareness of the broader implications of digital transformation beyond physical safety and underscores the need for ethical frameworks in OHS policies.

Discussion

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and emerging technologies into the workplace has drastically reshaped the landscape of occupational health and safety (OHS). The findings of this study reveal a widespread and increasing adoption of AI technologies across key sectors, with 60% of respondents reporting high to very high levels of integration. These results reflect global trends in technological transformation, particularly within industries such as healthcare, manufacturing, logistics, and construction, where digital systems are becoming central to operational efficiency and risk management (Shah & Mishra, 2024).

A significant proportion of participants (60%) perceived that AI improved physical safety in the workplace, primarily by reducing exposure to hazardous conditions through automation. This finding aligns with Dodoo et al. (2024), who observed that AI and digital monitoring systems helped reduce incidents in high-risk environments by enhancing predictive maintenance and

environmental hazard detection. However, a notable 13.3% of respondents expressed concerns over increased risks due to automation errors, underscoring the fact that technical malfunctions and system misinterpretations can still compromise safety.

Psychological impacts were also prominently reported, with nearly half (46.7%) of participants experiencing increased stress and anxiety due to AI-driven monitoring and digital surveillance. While AI enhances accountability, it can also contribute to worker discomfort, particularly when surveillance is perceived as excessive or invasive (Fisher et al., 2023). This reflects broader concerns about the "technostress" associated with digital work environments, where constant monitoring may erode trust and create mental health challenges (Obasi & Benson, 2025).

Training gaps also emerged as a key area of concern. Although 40% of respondents reported receiving adequate and updated training, 60% indicated either basic or no training on new technologies. This deficiency highlights a critical barrier to safe technology adoption, as untrained workers may misuse systems or be unable to respond effectively during technical failures (Jetha et al., 2025). As Borycki et al. (2024) argue, workforce digital literacy is essential to mitigating new forms of technological risk introduced by AI systems.

Ethical concerns were another major theme, with 43.3% of respondents expressing high concern over algorithmic bias and privacy violations. This is consistent with the findings of Baldassarre and Padovan (2024), who emphasized that ethical AI deployment in the workplace requires clear guidelines to prevent discrimination and safeguard workers' rights. Furthermore, as El-Helaly (2024) noted, the benefits of AI can be undermined if trust is eroded due to opaque decision-making processes or inequitable outcomes.

The demographic findings suggest that the middle-aged workforce (30–49 years) dominates sectors where AI is increasingly utilized. This has important implications for training strategies, as mid-career professionals may require tailored upskilling programs to adapt to rapidly changing technological landscapes (Park & Kang, 2024). Moreover, the presence of both male and female participants indicates a degree of gender inclusivity in these industries, although future research should further explore how AI impacts different demographic groups differently.

The perception of improved physical safety is encouraging, especially considering the literature that links automation to reductions in occupational injuries (Koh &Tan, 2024). AI tools such as real-time hazard detection, wearable health sensors, and robotic assistance are helping to create safer work environments. However, these tools must be regularly tested, and redundancy measures must be in place to avoid catastrophic failure when systems malfunction (Fiegler-Rudol et al., 2025).

Despite advances in predictive analytics, the psychological burden placed on workers cannot be ignored. The blurring boundaries between work and surveillance, especially in remote or hybrid environments, can lead to burnout, digital fatigue, and diminished job satisfaction (Möckel et al., 2023). Organizations must therefore develop comprehensive mental health support systems in parallel with technological implementation.

Interestingly, while many respondents welcomed the benefits of AI, a significant number viewed its ethical implications with skepticism. Concerns about fairness, transparency, and informed consent must be addressed by implementing transparent AI protocols and involving workers in decision-making processes regarding technology use (Baldassarre & Padovan, 2024). Policies must not only meet legal compliance but also foster trust and collaboration among employees.

The findings regarding inadequate training echo previous work by Jetha et al. (2025), who highlighted the link between training sufficiency and injury reduction in AI-driven environments.

Employees must be not only familiar with system interfaces but also trained in risk recognition, response protocols, and fail-safe procedures in case of system breakdowns. Organizations that fail to provide such training may inadvertently increase occupational hazards.

From a policy standpoint, these results underscore the urgent need for updated regulatory frameworks that address AI-specific risks. Traditional occupational health policies are insufficient in dealing with AI's ethical, psychological, and operational challenges (Obasi & Benson, 2025). Regulatory bodies must establish dynamic standards that evolve alongside technological innovation, particularly in areas of data protection, worker surveillance, and human-machine interaction.

There is also a strong case for cross-sector collaboration in developing safe AI systems. As El-Helaly (2024) pointed out, the intersection of health, engineering, and digital governance is where the future of workplace safety lies. Employers, system developers, regulators, and workers must engage in continuous dialogue to ensure that technological solutions are both effective and humane.

This study's identification of psychological distress as a major issue reiterates the need to view occupational health holistically. Safety is not solely physical; mental and emotional well-being must also be protected, especially in environments increasingly shaped by invisible algorithmic forces (Fisher et al., 2023). Integrating mental health assessments into OHS protocols could help organizations better support their workforce in the digital era.

Limitations of this study include the reliance on self-reported data and purposive sampling, which may have introduced bias or omitted less vocal participants. However, the results still provide valuable insights into the perceived and experienced impacts of AI on occupational safety, reinforcing themes highlighted in prior literature (Shah & Mishra, 2024; Borycki et al., 2024).

In future studies, longitudinal data collection could provide more robust evidence of causal relationships between AI integration and safety outcomes. Additionally, deeper exploration of sector-specific impacts and gender differences could refine safety strategies and inform inclusive policy development.

Conclusion

In summary, this study confirms that while artificial intelligence and emerging technologies have enhanced certain aspects of occupational health and safety—particularly physical safety and risk prediction—they have also introduced new psychological, ethical, and operational challenges. The results underscore the importance of comprehensive training, robust ethical safeguards, and adaptive policy frameworks to ensure that the digital transformation of workplaces supports both safety and well-being. As AI continues to evolve, a balanced approach that prioritizes human-centered design and inclusive safety strategies will be vital to protecting workers in the digital age.

References

1. Shah, I. A., & Mishra, S. (2024). Artificial intelligence in advancing occupational health and safety: an encapsulation of developments. *Journal of occupational health*, *66*(1), uiad017. https://doi.org/10.1093/joccuh/uiad017
2. Fisher, E., Flynn, M. A., Pratap, P., &Vietas, J. A. (2023). Occupational Safety and Health Equity Impacts of Artificial Intelligence: A Scoping Review. *International journal of*

environmental research and public health, *20*(13), 6221. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20136221

3. Dodoo, J. E., Al-Samarraie, H., Alzahrani, A. I., Lonsdale, M., &Alalwan, N. (2024). Digital Innovations for Occupational Safety: Empowering Workers in Hazardous Environments. *Workplace health & safety*, *72*(3), 84–95. https://doi.org/10.1177/21650799231215811
4. Obasi, I. C., & Benson, C. (2025). The Impact of Digitalization and Information and Communication Technology on the Nature and Organization of Work and the Emerging Challenges for Occupational Safety and Health. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, *22*(3), 362. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph22030362
5. Borycki, E. M., Peute, L. W. P., van Sinderen, F., Kaufman, D., &Kushniruk, A. W. (2024). Safety and Precision AI for a Modern Digital Health System. *Yearbook of medical informatics*, *33*(1), 18–24. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0044-1800714
6. Möckel, L., Mohammadi, H., & Farhang Dehghan, S. (2023). Editorial: The use of emerging technologies in occupational health and safety, volume II. *Frontiers in public health*, *11*, 1200044. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1200044
7. Jetha, A., Bakhtari, H., Irvin, E., Biswas, A., Smith, M. J., Mustard, C., Arrandale, V. H., Dennerlein, J. T., & Smith, P. M. (2025). Do occupational health and safety tools that utilize artificial intelligence have a measurable impact on worker injury or illness? Findings from a systematic review. *Systematic reviews*, *14*(1), 146. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-025-02869-1
8. Park, J., & Kang, D. (2024). Artificial Intelligence and Smart Technologies in Safety Management: A Comprehensive Analysis Across Multiple Industries. Applied Sciences, 14(24), 11934. https://doi.org/10.3390/app142411934
9. Koh, D., & Tan, A. (2024). Applications and Impact of Industry 4.0: Technological Innovations in Occupational Safety and Health. *Safety and health at work*, *15*(4), 379–381. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.shaw.2024.09.001
10. Fiegler-Rudol, J., Lau, K., Mroczek, A., &Kasperczyk, J. (2025). Exploring Human–AI Dynamics in Enhancing Workplace Health and Safety: A Narrative Review. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 22(2), 199. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph22020199
11. Baldassarre, A., & Padovan, M. (2024). Regulatory and Ethical Considerations on Artificial Intelligence for Occupational Medicine. *La Medicina del lavoro*, *115*(2), e2024013. https://doi.org/10.23749/mdl.v115i2.15881
12. El-Helaly M. (2024). Artificial Intelligence and Occupational Health and Safety, Benefits and Drawbacks. *La Medicina del lavoro*, *115*(2), e2024014. https://doi.org/10.23749/mdl.v115i2.15835