LEX LOCALIS-JOURNAL OF LOCAL SELF-GOVERNMENT
ISSN:1581-5374 E-ISSN:1855-363X
VOL. 23, NO. S1(2025)

ENHANCING CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE AND ENGAGEMENT THROUGH AI CHATBOTS IN CRM

Dr Soniya K.[1], Ms.A. Aaziya.[2], Dr. G. Mohanraj.[3], Dr.S. Deepa[4], Mr.S.KANAGARAJ[5]

[1]Associate Professor, Department of Management, St. Francis College, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India
[2]Assistant Professor(Senior Grade), Department of Management Studies, Nehru Institute of Engineering and Technology, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India
[3]Associate Professor & Head, Department of Management Studies, JKKN College of Engineering and Technology, Komarapalayam, Tamil Nadu, India
[4]Department of Management Studies , KGiSL Institute of Technology , Saravanampatti, Coimbatore, India
[5]Ph.D. Research Scholar and Assistant professor, INFO INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING, Coimbatore 641107, India
soniyaofficial@gmail.com[1]
Email: aajaaziya@gmail.com[2]
Email: mohanfms@gmail.com[3]
deepasamsagu@gmail.com[4]
kanagarajprf7@gmail.com[5]

Abstract
In the emerging digital transformation paradigm, AI chatbots have become central components of Customer Relationship Management (CRM) systems. This research investigates how particular characteristics of AI chatbots—responsiveness, personalization, and usability—improve two primary CRM outcomes: Customer Experience (CX) and Customer Engagement (CE). According to the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and SERVQUAL model, the research integrates qualitative and quantitative research. Data were collected among 350 bank, telecom, and e-commerce sector CRM users, and 15 CRM experts. With Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) using AMOS and thematic coding using NVivo, the study determines that chatbot responsiveness and ease of use have a great influence on customer experience, while personalization influences customer engagement and interaction. Trust emerged as a partial mediator between engagement and experience and necessitates openness in engaging with AI. Between the platforms in consideration—GPT-4, Dialogflow, and Watson Assistant—GPT-4 possessed superior linguistic proficiency, and Dialogflow and Watson enjoyed platform integration advantages. The findings emphasize the strategic importance of creating AI chatbots that not only function effectively but also work emotionally and are accessible.

Keywords—AI Chatbots, Customer Relationship Management (CRM), Customer Experience, Customer Engagement, Trust.

I. INTRODUCTION

Customer Relationship Management (CRM) has moved from being an inactive data system to an active AI-based platform. Artificial Intelligence (AI), particularly Natural Language Processing (NLP) and Machine Learning (ML), has enabled CRM through responsive, AI-based personalized chatbots that provide scalable solutions. Organizations require 24/7 support and real-time service provision—requirements which chatbots are capable of meeting.

This study explores the impact of chatbot traits—usability, personalization, and responsiveness—on CRM performance measures like Customer Experience (CX) and Customer Engagement (CE), as well as the mediating effect of trust. The theoretical basis for the research is derived from the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and the SERVQUAL model.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. Evolution of CRM and Emergence of AI

CRM platforms evolved from basic databases to smart platforms with real-time and predictive analytics capabilities [1]. AI provides proactive engagement and automation.

B. Chatbots in CRM

Chatbots mimic human conversations using voice or text. They process service requests, create leads, and build loyalty through personalization and predictive engagement [2][3].

C. Characteristics of Chatbots

- **Responsiveness**: Rapid, precise responses minimize waiting time and maximize satisfaction [4].
- **Personalization**: Customized responses enhance emotional affinity [5].
- **Ease of Use**: User-friendly interface leads to adoption [6].

D. AI Platforms

- **GPT-4**: Contextual memory and natural conversations [7].
- **Dialogflow**: Third-party integration and multilingual NLP [8].
- **Watson Assistant**: Enterprise-level scalability [9].

E. Research Gap

Few studies correlate chatbot characteristics with CRM success through validated frameworks and empirical data, particularly with platforms such as GPT-4 and mixed-methods design.

III. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK AND HYPOTHESES

A. Theoretical Foundation

- **TAM**: Implies perceived usefulness and ease of use determine technology adoption [6].
- **SERVQUAL**: Measures service quality through dimensions such as responsiveness and empathy.

B. Proposed Model

Independent Variables	Dependent Variables	Mediator
Responsiveness	Customer Experience	Trust
Personalization	Customer Engagement	
Ease of Use		

Figure 1 Shows the Proposed Model

C. Hypotheses

- **H1**: Responsiveness has a positive effect on customer experience.
- **H2**: Personalization has a positive effect on customer engagement.
- **H3**: Ease of use has a positive effect on customer experience.
- **H4**: Customer experience has a positive effect on engagement.
- **H5**: Trust mediates between experience and engagement.

IV. METHODOLOGY

A. Research Design

Mixed methods were employed: structured questionnaires and semi-structured interviews.

B. Sampling

- **Quantitative**: 350 users of banking, telecom, and e-commerce.
- **Qualitative**: 15 CRM managers with 5+ years' experience.

C. Tools Used

Tool	Purpose
Google Forms	Survey collection
SPSS	Descriptive and reliability analysis
AMOSková	SEM analysis
NVivo	Thematic analysis

Figure 2 shows the Tools Used for the Analysis

D. Validity and Reliability

- **Cronbach's Alpha**: 0.86
- **KMO**: 0.812
- **Bartlett's Test**: Significant at $p < 0.001$

E. Platforms Studied

Platform	Feature
GPT-4	Deep learning-based dialogues
Dialogflow	Multi-language and integration support
Watson Assistant	Enterprise scalability

Figure 3 Shows the Platform and its features

V. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

A. Descriptive Results

- 82% favor chatbots for queries
- 73% recorded enhanced brand image
- 64% preferred chatbot personalization

B. SEM Path Analysis

Hypothesis	Path	β	*p*-value	Result
H1	Responsiveness → CX	0.74	< 0.001	Supported
H2	Personalization → CE	0.69	< 0.001	Supported
H3	Ease of Use → CX	0.63	< 0.001	Supported
H4	CX → CE	0.71	< 0.001	Supported
H5	Trust (Mediator)	0.41	= 0.003	Partial Support

Figure 4 Shows the SEM Path Analysis

C. Qualitative Insights (NVivo)

Theme	Feedback	Concern
Personalization	"Chatbot remembered my last order"	"Generic replies"
Responsiveness	"Instant responses helped a lot"	"No emotion or empathy"
Ease of Use	"Intuitive layout"	"Technical terms in banking"
Trust	"Consistent replies"	"Worries about privacy"

Figure 5 Shows the Qualitative Insights

VI. DISCUSSION

The results affirm that AI chatbots improve CRM results when developed with responsiveness, customization, and simplicity. The TAM model attests to the strong influence of ease of use in motivating CX. Trust as a mediator emphasizes the importance of transparency in chatbots. GPT-4 was highly rated for human-like conversation, whereas Dialogflow and Watson were highly rated for integration and scalability.

lso enhance service efficiency, responsiveness, and overall satisfaction. By simulating natural conversations and offering 24/7 support, chatbots have become integral to modern CRM strategies.

Managerial Implications

For business managers and CRM professionals, the findings offer practical insights. Organizations are encouraged to adopt hybrid chatbot systems that combine AI efficiency with human oversight. These systems can handle routine queries efficiently while seamlessly transferring complex or emotionally sensitive issues to human agents. Moreover, integrating contextual learning mechanisms can allow chatbots to personalize conversations based on customer history and behavior, leading to more meaningful engagements. To build trust and transparency, it is important to clearly inform users when they are interacting with a bot and always provide an option to connect with a human representative when needed. This approach ensures customer confidence and reduces frustration during digital interactions.

Limitations

Like all research, this study has certain limitations. The sample was restricted to urban, English-speaking respondents, which may not fully capture the diverse linguistic and demographic nuances of a broader population. Furthermore, the research focused specifically on three sectors—banking, telecom, and e-commerce—potentially limiting the generalizability of the findings to other industries. In addition, given the rapidly evolving nature of AI technology, the findings may be subject to change over time and might not hold longitudinal validity.

Future Scope

There is vast potential for further exploration in this domain. Future research could delve into the integration of emotional intelligence in AI—developing empathy-driven bots that can recognize and respond to human emotions more intuitively. The proposed framework can also be extended to other sectors such as education and healthcare, where personalized and responsive CRM is equally crucial. Additionally, long-term studies could examine how consistent interactions with chatbots influence brand loyalty and customer lifetime value (CLV), offering deeper insights into the strategic value of conversational AI.

REFERENCES

[1] Y. K. Dwivedi, D. L. Hughes, et al., "Artificial Intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy," *Int. J. of Information Management*, vol. 57, p. 101994, 2021.
[2] S. Chatterjee, N. P. Rana, K. Tamilmani, and Y. K. Dwivedi, "The impact of AI-powered chatbots on customer relationships," *J. of Business Research*, vol. 116, pp. 263–278, 2020.
[3] R. T. Rust and M.-H. Huang, "The Service Revolution and the Transformation of Marketing Science," *J. of the Academy of Marketing Science*, vol. 49, pp. 30–50, 2021.
[4] D. Grewal, S. Motyka, and M. Levy, "The Evolution of Retailing," *J. of Retailing*, vol. 98, no. 3, pp. 303–315, 2022.
[5] M.-H. Huang and R. T. Rust, "A Strategic Framework for Artificial Intelligence in Marketing," *J. of the Academy of Marketing Science*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 30–50, 2021.
[6] F. D. Davis, "Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and User Acceptance of

Information Technology," *MIS Quarterly*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 319–340, 1989.
[7] OpenAI, "GPT-4 Overview," [Online]. Available: https://platform.openai.com
[8] Google Dialogflow, "Dialogflow CX Documentation," [Online]. Available: https://cloud.google.com/dialogflow
[9] IBM Watson Assistant, "Watson AI for Business," [Online]. Available: https://www.ibm.com/cloud/watson-assistant